

## THE RAMSEY NUMBERS OF LINEAR FOREST VERSUS $3K_3 \cup 2K_4$

I W. SUDARSANA, E. T. BASKORO, H. ASSIYATUN, AND S.  
UTTUNGGADEWA

**Abstract.** For given two graphs  $G$  and  $H$ , a graph  $F$  is called a  $(G, H)$ -good graph if  $F$  contains no  $G$  and  $\overline{F}$  contains no  $H$ . Furthermore, any  $(G, H)$ -good graph on  $n$  vertices will be denoted by  $(G, H, n)$ -good graph. The *Ramsey number*  $R(G, H)$  is defined as the smallest natural number  $n$  such that no  $(G, H, n)$ -good graph exists. In this paper, we determine the Ramsey numbers  $R(G, H)$  for disconnected graphs  $G$  and  $H$ . In particular,  $G = \bigcup_{i=1}^k P_{n_i}$  and  $H = 3K_3 \cup 2K_4$ .

### 1. INTRODUCTION

We consider finite undirected graphs without loops and multiple edges. Let  $G(V, E)$  be a graph, the notation  $V(G)$  and  $E(G)$  (in short  $V$  and  $E$ ) stand for the vertex set and the edge set of the graph  $G$ , respectively. A graph  $H(V', E')$  is a *subgraph* of  $G$  if  $V' \subseteq V$  and  $E' \subseteq E$ . For  $A \subseteq V$ ,  $G[A]$  represents the *subgraph induced* by  $A$  in  $G$ .

For given two graphs  $G$  and  $H$ , a graph  $F$  is called a  $(G, H)$ -good graph if  $F$  contains no  $G$  and  $\overline{F}$  contains no  $H$ . Furthermore, any  $(G, H)$ -good graph on  $n$  vertices will be denoted by  $(G, H, n)$ -good graph. The *Ramsey number*  $R(G, H)$  is defined as the smallest natural number  $n$  such that no  $(G, H, n)$ -good graph exists. The Ramsey numbers  $R(G, H)$  for connected graphs  $G$  and  $H$  have been intensively studied since Chvátal and Harary [4] established the general lower bound  $R(G, H) \geq (c(G) - 1)(h - 1) + 1$ , where  $h$  is the chromatic number of  $H$  and  $c(G)$  is the number of vertices of the largest component of  $G$ . A connected graph  $G$  is

---

Received 20-05-2009, Accepted 05-08-2009.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 05C55

*Key words and Phrases*: Ramsey number, union graph, good graph, forest, linear forest

called  $H$ -good if  $R(G, H) = (|G| - 1)(h - 1) + s$ , where  $s$  is the chromatic surplus of  $H$ . The chromatic surplus of  $H$  is the minimum cardinality of a color class taken over all proper  $h$  colorings of  $H$ .

Let  $G_i$  be a graph with the vertex set  $V_i$  and the edge set  $E_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ . The union  $G = \bigcup_{i=1}^k G_i$  has the vertex set  $V = \bigcup_{i=1}^k V_i$  and the edge set  $E = \bigcup_{i=1}^k E_i$ . We denote the union by  $kF$  when  $G_i = F$  for every  $i$ . If  $G_i$  is isomorphic to a tree for every  $i$  then the union is called a *forest*. A forest is called linear forest, if all the components are a path.

Some recent results on the Ramsey number for a combination of disconnected (union) and connected graphs can be found in ([1], [2], [6], [7], [9], [10], [11]). Other results concerning graph Ramsey numbers can be seen in [8]. In this note, we determine the Ramsey numbers  $R(\bigcup_{i=1}^k P_{n_i}, 3K_3 \cup 2K_4)$ .

## 2. MAIN RESULTS

Let us note firstly the previous theorems and lemmas used in the proof of our results.

**Theorem 1** (Chvátal [5]). *Let  $T_n$  and  $K_m$  be a tree of order  $n \geq 1$  and a clique of order  $m \geq 1$ , respectively. Then,  $R(T_n, K_m) = (n - 1)(m - 1) + 1$ .*

**Theorem 2** (Sudarsana et al. [11]). *Let  $k \geq 1$  and  $n_k \geq n_{k-1} \geq \dots \geq n_1 \geq 6$  be integers. If  $G = \bigcup_{i=1}^k P_{n_i}$  then*

$$R(G, 2K_4) = \max_{1 \leq i \leq k} \left\{ 2n_i + \sum_{j=i}^k n_j \right\} - 1. \quad (1)$$

**Lemma 1** (Sudarsana et al. [11]). *Let  $k, t \geq 1$  be integers. Let  $H$  be a connected graph with the chromatic number  $h \geq 2$  and the chromatic surplus  $s \geq 1$ . Let  $G_i$  be connected graphs and satisfies  $|G_k| \geq |G_{k-1}| \geq \dots \geq |G_1| \geq \left(\frac{|H| - s}{h - 1}\right)st + 1$ . If  $G = \bigcup_{i=1}^k G_i$  and  $G_i$  is a  $H$ -good for every  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ , then*

$$R(G, tH) \geq \max_{1 \leq i \leq k} \left\{ (|G_i| - 1)(h - 2) + \sum_{j=i}^k |G_j| \right\} + st - 1. \quad (2)$$

**Lemma 2** (Sudarsana et al. [11]). *If  $P_n$  is a path of order  $n \geq 4$  then  $R(P_n, 2K_3) = 2n$ .*

Now, we are ready to prove our main results in the following.

**Lemma 3** *If  $P_n$  is a path of order  $n \geq 7$  then  $R(P_n, 3K_3) = 2n + 1$ .*

**Proof.** The inequality  $R(P_n, 3K_3) \geq 2n + 1$  is derived from Lemma 1. We will show the reverse inequality  $R(P_n, 3K_3) \leq 2n + 1$  by the following reason. Take an arbitrary graph  $G$  on  $2n + 1$  vertices and contains no  $P_n$ . We will show that  $\overline{G}$  contains  $3K_3$ . Since  $|G| > 2n$  then by Lemma 2 we obtain  $\overline{G} \supseteq 2K_3$ . Let  $B = \{a_1, b_1, c_1\} \cup \{a_2, b_2, c_2\}$  be the vertex set of  $2K_3$  in  $\overline{G}$ . We let  $D = V(G) \setminus B$  and  $T = G[D]$ . Clearly,  $|T| = 2(n - 2) - 1$ . Theorem 1 gives that  $T \supseteq P_{n-2}$  or  $\overline{T} \supseteq K_3$ . If  $\overline{T} \supseteq K_3$  then we finish the proof. Now, consider  $T$  contains  $P_{n-2}$  and call  $P_{n-2} = (p_1 p_2 \dots p_{n-3} p_{n-2})$ . Let  $K = V(T) \setminus V(P_{n-2})$  and hence  $|K| = n - 3$ . Now, we consider the connection of the end vertices of  $P_{n-2}$  and the vertex set  $K$ . Since  $G$  contains no  $P_n$  then we obtain the following facts.

**Fact 1.** *The vertex  $p_1$  or  $p_{n-2}$  is adjacent to at least two vertices in  $K$ .*

We let  $p_1$  adjacent to  $y_1$  and  $y_2$  in  $K$ . Since  $G$  does not contain  $P_n$  then  $\{p_{n-2}, y_1, y_2\}$  is an independent set in  $G$ . Therefore, the vertex set  $\{p_{n-2}, y_1, y_2\}$  forms a  $K_3$  in  $\overline{T}$  and together with  $B$  we have  $\overline{G} \supseteq 3K_3$ .

**Fact 2.** *The vertex  $p_1$  or  $p_{n-2}$  is adjacent to exactly one vertex in  $K$ .*

We let  $p_1$  adjacent to  $x$  in  $K$ . Since  $G$  contains no  $P_n$  then  $p_{n-2}$  must not adjacent to any vertex in  $K$  except the vertex  $x$ . If  $K \setminus x$  contains two independent vertices, call  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ , in  $T$  then the vertex  $p_{n-2}$  together with  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  induce a  $K_3$  in  $\overline{T}$  and hence  $\overline{G} \supseteq 3K_3$ . Therefore, the vertex set  $K \setminus x$  forms a  $K_{n-4}$  in  $T$ . Now, if there exists one vertex, say  $y$ , in  $K \setminus x$  that is not adjacent to one vertex in  $B$  then the vertex set  $\{p_{n-2}, x, y\} \cup B$  induces a  $3K_3$  in  $\overline{G}$ . Since otherwise we will get that every vertex in  $K \setminus x$  is adjacent to every vertex in  $B$ , which is impossible since  $G$  does not contain  $P_n$  with  $n \geq 7$ . Thus,  $\overline{G}$  contains  $3K_3$ .

**Fact 3.** *The vertex  $p_1$  and  $p_{n-2}$  do not adjacent to any vertex in  $K$ .*

If  $K$  contains two independent vertices, call  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ , in  $T$  then the vertex set  $\{p_{n-2}, x_1, x_2\}$  induce a  $K_3$  in  $\overline{T}$ . Therefore, we finish the proof since we have  $\overline{G} \supseteq 3K_3$ . Now, consider  $K$  shapes a  $K_{n-3}$  in  $T$ . Thus without loss of generality, one of the following conditions holds:

(i). *The vertex  $p_1$  or  $p_{n-2}$  is adjacent to every vertices in  $B$ .*

We let  $p_1$  adjacent to every vertex in  $B$ . Since  $G$  does not contain  $P_n$  then  $\{p_{n-2}\} \cup B$  is an independent set in  $T$  which each element does not adjacent to any vertex in  $K$ . Therefore, it can be verified that the set  $\{k_1, k_2, p_{n-2}\} \cup B$  induce a  $3K_3$  in  $\overline{T}$ , for any  $k_1, k_2$  in  $K$ . So,  $\overline{G} \supseteq 3K_3$ .

(ii). *The vertex  $p_1$  or  $p_{n-2}$  is adjacent to five vertices in  $B$ .*

We let  $p_1$  adjacent to every vertex in  $B \setminus a_2$ . Since  $G$  contains no  $P_n$  then it is not difficult to verify that the sets  $\{p_{n-2}, a_2, c_1\}$ ,  $\{a_1, c_2, k_2\}$  and  $\{b_1, b_2, k_1\}$  form a  $3K_3$  in  $\overline{G}$ , for any  $k_1, k_2$  in  $K$ .

(iii). *The vertex  $p_1$  or  $p_{n-2}$  is adjacent to four vertices in  $B$ .*

We let  $p_1$  adjacent to every vertex in  $B \setminus \{a_2, c_2\}$ . Again, since  $G$  contains no  $P_n$  then it can be verified that the sets  $\{p_{n-2}, a_2, c_2\}$ ,  $\{a_1, c_1, k_2\}$  and  $\{b_1, b_2, k_1\}$  form a  $3K_3$  in  $\overline{G}$ , for any  $k_1, k_2$  in  $K$ .

(iv). *The vertex  $p_1$  or  $p_{n-2}$  is adjacent to three vertices in  $B$ .*

Without loss of generality, we distinguish the following two cases.

*Case 1. The vertex  $p_1$  is adjacent to  $a_1, b_1$  and  $c_1$  in  $B$*

Thus the set  $\{p_1, a_2, b_2, c_2\}$  is an independent set in  $T$ . Since  $G$  contain no  $P_n$  then it is easy verify that the sets  $\{p_{n-2}, a_1, c_2\}$ ,  $\{a_2, b_2, p_1\}$  and  $\{b_1, c_1, k\}$  form a  $3K_3$  in  $\overline{G}$ , for every  $k \in K$ .

*Case 2. The vertex  $p_1$  is adjacent to  $a_1, b_1$  and  $c_2$  in  $B$*

Therefore, the set  $\{p_1, a_2, b_2\}$  is an independent set in  $T$ . Since  $G$  contain no  $P_n$  then it is easy verify that the sets  $\{p_1, a_2, b_2\}$ ,  $\{a_1, c_1, p_{n-2}\}$  and  $\{b_1, c_2, k\}$  form a  $3K_3$  in  $\overline{G}$ , for every  $k \in K$ .

(v). *The vertex  $p_1$  or  $p_{n-2}$  is adjacent to two vertices in  $B$ .*

Without loss of generality, we distinguish the following two cases.

*Case 1. The vertex  $p_1$  is adjacent to  $a_1$  and  $b_1$  in  $B$*

Thus the set  $\{p_1, a_2, b_2, c_2\}$  is an independent set in  $T$ . Now, if there exists one vertex, call  $y$ , in  $K$  that is not adjacent to one vertex, say  $c_2$ , in  $B \setminus \{a_1, b_1\}$  then the vertex sets  $\{p_1, a_2, b_2\}$ ,  $\{b_1, c_2, y\}$  and  $\{a_1, c_1, p_{n-2}\}$  form a  $3K_3$  in  $\overline{G}$ . Since otherwise we get that vertex set  $K \cup \{a_2, b_2, c_2, c_1\}$  induces a graph  $K_{n-3} + (\overline{K}_3 \cup K_1)$  in  $T$ , which is impossible since  $G$  does not contain  $P_n$  with  $n \geq 7$ . Thus,  $\overline{G}$  contains  $3K_3$ .

*Case 2. The vertex  $p_1$  is adjacent to  $a_1$  and  $a_2$  in  $B$*

Since  $G$  contains no  $P_n$  then it is easy verify that the sets  $\{p_{n-2}, b_1, c_1\}$ ,  $\{p_1, b_2, c_2\}$  and  $\{a_1, a_2, k\}$  form a  $3K_3$  in  $\overline{G}$ , for every  $k \in K$ .

(vi). The vertex  $p_1$  or  $p_{n-2}$  is adjacent to one vertex in  $B$ .

We let  $p_1$  adjacent to  $a_1$  in  $B$ . Now, consider the vertex set  $\{b_1, c_1, a_2, b_2, c_2\}$ . If there exists a vertex, say  $k_1$ , in  $K$  that is not adjacent to one vertex in  $\{b_1, c_1, a_2, b_2, c_2\}$  then the vertex set  $\{p_1, p_{n-2}, k_1\} \cup B$  induces a  $3K_3$  in  $\overline{G}$ . Since otherwise we obtain that the vertex set  $K \cup \{b_1, c_1, a_2, b_2, c_2\}$  induces a graph  $K_{n-3} + (\overline{K}_3 \cup \overline{K}_2)$  in  $T$ , which is impossible since  $G$  does not contain  $P_n$  with  $n \geq 7$ . Thus,  $\overline{G}$  contains  $3K_3$ .

(vii). The vertex  $p_1$  or  $p_{n-2}$  does not adjacent to any vertices in  $B$ .

If there exists a vertex, say  $k$ , in  $K$  that is not adjacent to one vertex in  $B$  then the vertex set  $\{p_1, p_{n-2}, k\} \cup B$  induces a  $3K_3$  in  $\overline{G}$ . Since otherwise we derive that the vertex set  $K \cup \{b_1, c_1, a_2, b_2, c_2\}$  induces a graph  $K_{n-3} + (2\overline{K}_3)$  in  $T$ , which is impossible since  $G$  does not contain  $P_n$  with  $n \geq 7$ . Thus  $\overline{G} \supseteq 3K_3$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 3** Let  $k \geq 1$  and  $n_k \geq n_{k-1} \geq \dots \geq n_1 \geq 7$  be integers. If  $G = \bigcup_{i=1}^k P_{n_i}$  then

$$R(G, 3K_3) = \max_{1 \leq i \leq k} \left\{ n_i + \sum_{j=i}^k n_j \right\} + 1. \quad (3)$$

**Proof.** For  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , let  $G = \bigcup_{i=1}^k P_{n_i}$  and  $G_i = \bigcup_{j=i}^k P_{n_j}$ . Obviously,  $G = G_1$ . Suppose that the maximum of the right side of the equation (3) is achieved for  $i_0$ . Write  $t_0 = \sum_{j=i_0}^k n_j$  and  $t = n_{i_0} + t_0$ . The lower bound  $R(G, 3K_3) \geq t + 1$  can be obtained by using Lemma 1. We will prove  $R(G, 3K_3) \leq t + 1$ .

Let  $F$  be a graph of order  $t + 1$  and suppose that  $\overline{F}$  contains no  $3K_3$ . We shall show that  $F$  contains  $G$ . We prove this by induction on  $i$ . For  $i = k$ , we get  $G = P_{n_k}$ . Since  $t + 1 \geq 2n_k + 1$  and  $\overline{F} \not\supseteq 3K_3$  then the theorem holds by Lemma 3. Let us state the inductive hypothesis:  $F$  contains  $G_{i+1}$  for some  $1 \leq i \leq k$ . We will show that  $F$  contains  $G_i$  for any  $i \geq 1$ . By induction hypothesis, we have  $F \supseteq G_{i+1}$ . Clearly,  $|G_{i+1}| = \sum_{j=i+1}^k n_j$ . Let  $A = V(F) \setminus V(G_{i+1})$  and  $W = F[A]$ , then  $|W| = (t + 1) - \sum_{j=i+1}^k n_j$ . By definition of  $t$ , we get  $t \geq n_i + \sum_{j=i}^k n_j$  for every  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ . Therefore,  $|W| \geq 2n_i + 1$ . Since  $\overline{W} \not\supseteq 3K_3$  then Lemma 3 guarantees that  $W$  contains  $P_{n_i}$ . Therefore,  $F \supseteq G_i$  for any  $i \geq 1$ . Thus  $F \supseteq G_1$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 4** Let  $k \geq 1$  and  $n_k \geq n_{k-1} \geq \dots \geq n_1 \geq 9$  be integers. Let  $G = \bigcup_{i=1}^k P_{n_i}$  and  $H = 3K_3 \cup 2K_4$ . If  $R(G, 2K_4) - R(G, 3K_3) \geq 9$  then

$$R(G, H) = R(G, 2K_4). \quad (4)$$

**Proof.** By Theorem 2, we let  $R(G, 2K_4) = l - 1$ . Since  $2K_4 \subset H$  then  $R(G, H) \geq l - 1$ . Now, we will show that  $R(G, H) \leq l - 1$ . Let  $U$  be a graph of order  $l - 1$  and contains no  $G$ . We shall show that  $\overline{U}$  contains  $H$ . Theorem 2 provides

$\bar{U} \supseteq 2K_4$ . Let  $L = V(U) \setminus V(2K_4)$  and  $Q = U[L]$ . Clearly,  $|Q| = l - 9$ . By Theorem 3, we let  $R(G, 3K_3) = l'$ . Thus,  $|Q| = l - 9 = l' + (l - l') - 9 \geq l'$  when  $l - l' \geq 9$ . Since  $Q \not\supseteq G$  then  $\bar{Q} \supseteq 3K_3$ . This concludes that  $\bar{U}$  contains  $H$ .  $\square$

**Remark.** If  $n_i = n$  for every  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ , then the union  $G$  is isomorphic to  $kP_n$ . Therefore, by Theorem 3 we obtain  $R(kP_n, 3K_3) = (k + 1)n + 1$  when  $n \geq 7$ . Meanwhile, Theorem 2 gives  $R(kP_n, 2K_4) = (k + 2)n - 1$  when  $n \geq 6$  and Theorem 4 also provides  $R(kP_n, 3K_3 \cup 2K_4) = (k + 2)n - 1$  when  $n \geq 9$ . Furthermore, if  $G = \bigcup_{i=1}^k l_i P_{n_i}$  and  $l_i$  is the number of the paths of order  $n_i$  in  $G$  then the following corollaries hold.

**Corollary 1** Let  $k \geq 1$  and  $n_k \geq n_{k-1} \geq \dots \geq n_1 \geq 7$  be integers. If  $G = \bigcup_{i=1}^k l_i P_{n_i}$  then

$$R(G, 3K_3) = \max_{1 \leq i \leq k} \left\{ n_i + \sum_{j=i}^k l_j n_j \right\} + 1. \quad (5)$$

**Corollary 2** Let  $k \geq 1$  and  $n_k \geq n_{k-1} \geq \dots \geq n_1 \geq 9$  be integers. Let  $G = \bigcup_{i=1}^k l_i P_{n_i}$  and  $H = 3K_3 \cup 2K_4$ . If  $R(G, 2K_4) - R(G, 3K_3) \geq 9$  then

$$R(G, H) = \max_{1 \leq i \leq k} \left\{ 2n_i + \sum_{j=i}^k l_j n_j \right\} - 1. \quad (6)$$

## REFERENCES

1. E.T BASKORO, HASMAWATI, AND H. ASSIYATUN, "The Ramsey number for disjoint unions of trees", *Discrete Math.* **306** (2006), 3297–3301.
2. H. BIELAK, "Ramsey numbers for a disjoint of some graphs", *Appl. Math. Lett.* **22** (2009), 475–477.
3. S. A. BURR, "Ramsey numbers involving graphs with long suspended paths", *J. London Math. Soc. (2)* **24** (1981), 405–413.
4. V. CHVÁTAL AND F. HARARY, "Generalized Ramsey theory for graphs, III: small off-diagonal numbers", *Pac. J. Math.* **41** (1972), 335–345.
5. V. CHVÁTAL, "Tree complete graphs Ramsey number", *J. Graph Theory* **1** (1977), 93.
6. HASMAWATI, E.T BASKORO, AND H. ASSIYATUN, "The Ramsey number for disjoint unions of graphs", *Discrete Math.* **308** (2008), 2046–2049.
7. HASMAWATI, H. ASSIYATUN, E.T. BASKORO, AND A.N.M. SALMAN, "Ramsey numbers on a union of identical stars versus a small cycle", *LNCS* **4535** (2008), 85–89.
8. S. P. RADZISZOWSKI, "Small Ramsey numbers", *Electron. J. Combin.* August 2006 DS1.9.  $\langle$  <http://www.combinatorics.org/>  $\rangle$ .

9. I W. SUDARSANA, E. T. BASKORO, H. ASSIYATUN, AND S. UTTUNGGADEWA, “On the Ramsey Numbers  $R(S_{2,m}, K_{2,q})$  and  $R(sK_2, K_s + C_n)$ ”, *Ars Combin.* to appear
10. I W. SUDARSANA, E. T. BASKORO, H. ASSIYATUN, AND S. UTTUNGGADEWA, “On the Ramsey numbers of certain forest respect to small wheels”, *J. Combin. Math. Combin. Comput.* **71** (2009), 257–264.
11. I W. SUDARSANA, E. T. BASKORO, H. ASSIYATUN, AND S. UTTUNGGADEWA, “The Ramsey numbers for the union graph with  $H$ -good components”, *Far East J. Math. Sci. (FJMS)* **39:1** (2010), 29-40.

I W. SUDARSANA: Combinatorial Mathematics Research Division, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, Institut Teknologi Bandung (ITB), Jalan Ganesha No. 10 Bandung 40132, Indonesia dan Jurusan Matematika, FMIPA, Universitas Tadulako (UNTAD), Jl. Soekarno-Hatta Km. 8 Palu 94118, Indonesia. E-mail: sudarsanaiwayan@yahoo.co.id

E. T. BASKORO: Combinatorial Mathematics Research Division, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, Institut Teknologi Bandung (ITB), Jalan Ganesha No. 10 Bandung 40132, Indonesia.  
E-mail: ebaskoro@math.itb.ac.id

H. ASSIYATUN: Combinatorial Mathematics Research Division, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, Institut Teknologi Bandung (ITB), Jalan Ganesha No. 10 Bandung 40132, Indonesia.  
E-mail: hilda@math.itb.ac.id

S. UTTUNGGADEWA: Combinatorial Mathematics Research Division, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, Institut Teknologi Bandung (ITB), Jalan Ganesha No. 10 Bandung 40132, Indonesia.  
E-mail: s\_uttunggadewa }@math.itb.ac.id