

## FUZZY TRANSLATIONS OF FUZZY $H$ -IDEALS IN $BCK/BCI$ -ALGEBRAS

TAPAN SENAPATI<sup>1</sup>, MONORANJAN BHOWMIK<sup>2</sup>, MADHUMANGAL PAL<sup>3</sup>,  
AND BIJAN DAVVAZ<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics, Padima Janakalyan Banipith, Kukrakhupi,  
India

math.tapan@gmail.com

<sup>2</sup> Department of Education, Assam University, Silchar, India

mbvttc@gmail.com

<sup>3</sup> Department of Applied Mathematics with Oceanology and Computer  
Programming, Vidyasagar University, Midnapore, India

mmpalvu@gmail.com

<sup>4</sup> Department of Mathematics, Yazd University, Yazd, Iran

davvaz@yazduni.ac.ir

**Abstract.** In this paper, the concepts of fuzzy translation to fuzzy  $H$ -ideals in  $BCK/BCI$ -algebras are introduced. The notion of fuzzy extensions and fuzzy multiplications of fuzzy  $H$ -ideals with several related properties are investigated. Also, the relationships between fuzzy translations, fuzzy extensions and fuzzy multiplications of fuzzy  $H$ -ideals are investigated.

*Key words and Phrases:* Fuzzy ideal, fuzzy  $H$ -ideal, fuzzy translation, fuzzy extension, fuzzy multiplication.

**Abstrak.** Dalam paper ini, diperkenalkan konsep pergeseran fuzzy pada fuzzy  $H$ -ideals dalam  $BCK/BCI$ -algebra. Kemudian diperiksa ide perluasan dan perkalian fuzzy dari fuzzy  $H$ -ideal dengan beberapa sifat terkait. Diperiksa juga hubungan antara pergeseran fuzzy, perluasan fuzzy, dan perkalian fuzzy dari fuzzy  $H$ -ideal.

*Kata kunci:* Fuzzy ideal, fuzzy  $H$ -ideal, pergeseran fuzzy, perluasan fuzzy, perkalian fuzzy.

---

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 06F35, 03G25, 08A72.

Received: 04-03-2014, revised: 15-02-2015, accepted: 22-02-2015.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Fuzzy set theory, which was introduced by Zadeh [24], is the oldest and most widely reported component of present day soft computing, allowing the design of more flexible information processing systems [18], with applications in different areas, such as artificial intelligence, multiagent systems, machine learning, knowledge discovery, information processing, statistics and data analysis, system modeling, control system, decision sciences, economics, medicine and engineering, as shown in the recent literature collected by Dubois et al. [2, 3]. Fuzzy logic provides a precise formalization and the effective means for the mechanization of the human capabilities of approximate reasoning and decision making in an environment of imperfect information, allowing the performance of a wide variety of physical and mental tasks without any measurements and any computations [25].

In [8, 9], *BCK*-algebras and *BCI*-algebras are abbreviated to two Boolean algebras. The former was raised in 1966 by Imai and Iseki, and the latter was primitives in the same year due to Iseki. In 1991, Xi [23] applied the concept of fuzzy sets to *BCK*-algebras. In 1993, Jun [10] and Ahmad [1] applied it to *BCI*-algebras. After that Jun, Meng, Liu and several researchers investigated further properties of fuzzy *BCK*-algebras and fuzzy ideals (see [4, 6, 7, 14-20]). In 1999, Khalid and Ahmad [12] introduced fuzzy *H*-ideals in *BCI*-algebras. In 2010, Satyanarayana et al. [19] introduced intuitionistic fuzzy *H*-ideals in *BCK*-algebras. Lee et al. [13] and Jun [11] discussed fuzzy translations, fuzzy extensions and fuzzy multiplications of fuzzy subalgebras and ideals in *BCK/BCI*-algebras. They investigated relations among fuzzy translations, fuzzy extensions and fuzzy multiplications.

In this paper, fuzzy translations, fuzzy extensions and fuzzy multiplications of fuzzy *H*-ideals in *BCK/BCI*-algebras are discussed. Relations among fuzzy translations, fuzzy extensions and fuzzy multiplications of fuzzy *H*-ideals in *BCK/BCI*-algebras are also investigated.

## 2. INTRODUCTION

In this section, some elementary aspects that are necessary for this paper are included.

By a *BCI*-algebra we mean an algebra  $X$  with a constant  $0$  and a binary operation “ $*$ ” satisfying the following axioms for all  $x, y, z \in X$ :

- (i)  $((x * y) * (x * z)) * (z * y) = 0$
- (ii)  $(x * (x * y)) * y = 0$
- (iii)  $x * x = 0$
- (iv)  $x * y = 0$  and  $y * x = 0$  imply  $x = y$ .

We can define a partial ordering  $\leq$  by  $x \leq y$  if and only if  $x * y = 0$ .

If a *BCI*-algebra  $X$  satisfies  $0 * x = 0$ , for all  $x \in X$ , then we say that  $X$  is a *BCK*-algebra. Any *BCK*-algebra  $X$  satisfies the following axioms for all

$x, y, z \in X$ :

- (1)  $(x * y) * z = (x * z) * y$
- (2)  $((x * z) * (y * z)) * (x * y) = 0$
- (3)  $x * 0 = x$
- (4)  $x * y = 0 \Rightarrow (x * z) * (y * z) = 0, (z * y) * (z * x) = 0.$

Throughout this paper,  $X$  always means a  $BCK/BCI$ -algebra without any specification.

A non-empty subset  $S$  of  $X$  is called a subalgebra of  $X$  if  $x * y \in S$  for any  $x, y \in S$ .

A nonempty subset  $I$  of  $X$  is called an ideal of  $X$  if it satisfies

- ( $I_1$ )  $0 \in I$  and
- ( $I_2$ )  $x * y \in I$  and  $y \in I$  imply  $x \in I$ .

A non-empty subset  $I$  of  $X$  is said to be an  $H$ -ideal [12] of  $X$  if it satisfies ( $I_1$ ) and

- ( $I_3$ )  $x * (y * z) \in I$  and  $y \in I$  imply  $x * z \in I$ , for all  $x, y, z \in X$ .

A  $BCI$ -algebra is said to be associative [5] if  $(x * y) * z = x * (y * z)$ , for all  $x, y, z \in X$ .

A fuzzy set  $\mu : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is called a fuzzy subalgebra of  $X$  if it satisfies the inequality  $\mu(x * y) \geq \min\{\mu(x), \mu(y)\}$ , for all  $x, y \in X$ .

A fuzzy set  $\mu$  in  $X$  is called a fuzzy ideal [1, 23] of  $X$  if it satisfies

- ( $F_1$ )  $\mu(0) \geq \mu(x)$  and
- ( $F_2$ )  $\mu(x) \geq \min\{\mu(x * y), \mu(y)\}$ , for all  $x, y \in X$ .

A fuzzy set  $\mu$  in  $X$  is called a fuzzy  $H$ -ideal [12] of  $X$  if it satisfies ( $F_1$ ) and

- ( $F_3$ )  $\mu(x * z) \geq \min\{\mu(x * (y * z)), \mu(y)\}$ , for all  $x, y, z \in X$ .

**Example 2.1.** Let  $X = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$  be a  $BCK$ -algebra with the following Cayley table:

*	0	1	2	3	4	5
0	0	0	0	3	3	3
1	1	0	1	4	3	4
2	2	2	0	5	5	3
3	3	3	3	0	0	0
4	4	3	4	1	0	1
5	5	5	3	2	2	0

Let  $\mu$  be a fuzzy subset of  $X$  defined by  $\mu(0) = t_0$ ,  $\mu(1) = t_1$  and  $\mu(x) = t_2$  for all  $x \in X \setminus \{0, 1\}$ , where  $t_0 > t_1 > t_2$  and  $t_0, t_1, t_2 \in [0, 1]$ . Routine calculations show that  $\mu$  is a fuzzy  $H$ -ideal of  $X$ . Since every fuzzy  $H$ -ideal is a fuzzy ideal, therefore it is also a fuzzy ideal.

### 3. MAIN RESULTS

Throughout this paper, we take  $\top = 1 - \sup\{\mu(x) \mid x \in X\}$  for any fuzzy set  $\mu$  of  $X$ .

**Definition 3.1.** [13] Let  $\mu$  be a fuzzy subset of  $X$  and let  $\alpha \in [0, \top]$ . A mapping  $\mu_\alpha^T : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is called a fuzzy  $\alpha$ -translation of  $\mu$  if it satisfies  $\mu_\alpha^T(x) = \mu(x) + \alpha$ , for all  $x \in X$ .

**Theorem 3.2.** *If  $\mu$  is a fuzzy  $H$ -ideal of  $X$ , then the fuzzy  $\alpha$ -translation  $\mu_\alpha^T$  of  $\mu$  is a fuzzy  $H$ -ideal of  $X$ , for all  $\alpha \in [0, \top]$ .*

PROOF. Assume that  $\mu$  is a fuzzy  $H$ -ideal of  $X$  and let  $\alpha \in [0, \top]$ . Then,  $\mu_\alpha^T(0) = \mu(0) + \alpha \geq \mu(x) + \alpha = \mu_\alpha^T(x)$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_\alpha^T(x * z) &= \mu(x * z) + \alpha \geq \min\{\mu(x * (y * z)), \mu(y)\} + \alpha \\ &= \min\{\mu(x * (y * z)) + \alpha, \mu(y) + \alpha\} \\ &= \min\{\mu_\alpha^T(x * (y * z)), \mu_\alpha^T(y)\} \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x, y, z \in X$ . Hence, the fuzzy  $\alpha$ -translation  $\mu_\alpha^T$  of  $\mu$  is a fuzzy  $H$ -ideal of  $X$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $\mu$  be a fuzzy subset of  $X$  such that the fuzzy  $\alpha$ -translation  $\mu_\alpha^T$  of  $\mu$  is a fuzzy  $H$ -ideal of  $X$  for some  $\alpha \in [0, \top]$ . Then,  $\mu$  is a fuzzy  $H$ -ideal of  $X$ .*

PROOF. Assume that  $\mu_\alpha^T$  is a fuzzy  $H$ -ideal of  $X$  for some  $\alpha \in [0, \top]$ . Let  $x, y, z \in X$  then  $\mu(0) + \alpha = \mu_\alpha^T(0) \geq \mu_\alpha^T(x) = \mu(x) + \alpha$  and so  $\mu(0) \geq \mu(x)$ . Now, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(x * z) + \alpha &= \mu_\alpha^T(x * z) \geq \min\{\mu_\alpha^T(x * (y * z)), \mu_\alpha^T(y)\} \\ &= \min\{\mu(x * (y * z)) + \alpha, \mu(y) + \alpha\} \\ &= \min\{\mu(x * (y * z)), \mu(y)\} + \alpha \end{aligned}$$

which implies that  $\mu(x * z) \geq \min\{\mu(x * (y * z)), \mu(y)\}$ . Hence,  $\mu$  is a fuzzy  $H$ -ideal of  $X$ .  $\square$

We now discuss the relation between fuzzy subalgebras and fuzzy  $\alpha$ -translation  $\mu_\alpha^T$  of  $\mu$  for the fuzzy  $H$ -ideals.

**Theorem 3.4.** *If the fuzzy  $\alpha$ -translation  $\mu_\alpha^T$  of  $\mu$  is a fuzzy  $H$ -ideal of  $X$ , for all  $\alpha \in [0, \top]$  then it must be a fuzzy subalgebra of  $X$ .*

PROOF. Let the fuzzy  $\alpha$ -translation  $\mu_\alpha^T$  of  $\mu$  is a fuzzy  $H$ -ideal of  $X$ . Then, we have  $\mu_\alpha^T(x * z) \geq \min\{\mu_\alpha^T(x * (y * z)), \mu_\alpha^T(y)\}$ . Substituting  $y$  for  $z$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_\alpha^T(x * y) &\geq \min\{\mu_\alpha^T(x * (y * y)), \mu_\alpha^T(y)\} \\ &= \min\{\mu_\alpha^T(x * 0), \mu_\alpha^T(y)\} \\ &= \min\{\mu_\alpha^T(x), \mu_\alpha^T(y)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $\mu_\alpha^T$  is a fuzzy subalgebra of  $X$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 3.5.** *Let  $\mu$  be a fuzzy subset of  $X$  such that the fuzzy  $\alpha$ -translation  $\mu_\alpha^T$  of  $\mu$  is a fuzzy ideal of  $X$  for  $\alpha \in [0, \top]$ . If  $(x * a) * b = 0$ , for all  $a, b, x \in X$ , then  $\mu_\alpha^T(x) \geq \min\{\mu_\alpha^T(a), \mu_\alpha^T(b)\}$ .*

PROOF. Let  $a, b, x \in X$  be such that  $(x * a) * b = 0$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_\alpha^T(x) &\geq \min\{\mu_\alpha^T(x * a), \mu_\alpha^T(a)\} \\ &\geq \min\{\min\{\mu_\alpha^T((x * a) * b), \mu_\alpha^T(b)\}, \mu_\alpha^T(a)\} \\ &= \min\{\min\{\mu_\alpha^T(0), \mu_\alpha^T(b)\}, \mu_\alpha^T(a)\} \\ &= \min\{\mu_\alpha^T(b), \mu_\alpha^T(a)\} \text{ since } \mu_\alpha^T(0) \geq \mu_\alpha^T(b) \\ &= \min\{\mu_\alpha^T(a), \mu_\alpha^T(b)\} \end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete.  $\square$

The following can easily be proved by induction.

**Corollary 3.6.** *Let  $\mu$  be a fuzzy subset of  $X$  such that the fuzzy  $\alpha$ -translation  $\mu_\alpha^T$  of  $\mu$  is a fuzzy ideal of  $X$  for  $\alpha \in [0, \top]$ . If  $(\cdots((x * a_1) * a_2) * \cdots) * a_n = 0$ , for all  $x, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in X$ , then  $\mu_\alpha^T(x) \geq \min\{\mu_\alpha^T(a_1), \mu_\alpha^T(a_2), \dots, \mu_\alpha^T(a_n)\}$ .*

We now give a condition for the fuzzy  $\alpha$ -translation  $\mu_\alpha^T$  of  $\mu$  which is a fuzzy ideal of  $X$  to be a fuzzy  $H$ -ideal of  $X$ .

**Theorem 3.7.** *Let  $\mu$  be a fuzzy subset of  $X$  such that the fuzzy  $\alpha$ -translation  $\mu_\alpha^T$  of  $\mu$  is a fuzzy ideal of  $X$  for  $\alpha \in [0, \top]$ . If it satisfies the condition  $\mu_\alpha^T(x * y) \geq \mu_\alpha^T(x)$ , for all  $x, y \in X$ , then the fuzzy  $\alpha$ -translation  $\mu_\alpha^T$  of  $\mu$  is a fuzzy  $H$ -ideal of  $X$ .*

PROOF. Let the fuzzy  $\alpha$ -translation  $\mu_\alpha^T$  of  $\mu$  is a fuzzy ideal of  $X$ . For any  $x, y, z \in X$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_\alpha^T(x * z) &\geq \min\{\mu_\alpha^T((x * z) * (y * z)), \mu_\alpha^T(y * z)\} \\ &= \min\{\mu_\alpha^T((x * (y * z)) * z), \mu_\alpha^T(y * z)\} \\ &\geq \min\{\mu_\alpha^T(x * (y * z)), \mu_\alpha^T(y)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the fuzzy  $\alpha$ -translation  $\mu_\alpha^T$  of  $\mu$  is a fuzzy  $H$ -ideal of  $X$  for some  $\alpha \in [0, \top]$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.8.** *If  $\mu$  be a fuzzy subset of associative BCI/BCK-algebra  $X$  such that the fuzzy  $\alpha$ -translation  $\mu_\alpha^T$  of  $\mu$  is a fuzzy ideal of  $X$  for  $\alpha \in [0, \top]$ , then the fuzzy  $\alpha$ -translation  $\mu_\alpha^T$  of  $\mu$  is a fuzzy  $H$ -ideal of  $X$ .*

PROOF. Let the fuzzy  $\alpha$ -translation  $\mu_\alpha^T$  of  $\mu$  is a fuzzy ideal of  $X$ . For any  $x, y, z \in X$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_\alpha^T(x * z) &\geq \min\{\mu_\alpha^T((x * z) * y), \mu_\alpha^T(y)\} \\ &= \min\{\mu_\alpha^T((x * y) * z), \mu_\alpha^T(y)\} \\ &= \min\{\mu_\alpha^T(x * (y * z)), \mu_\alpha^T(y)\} \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the fuzzy  $\alpha$ -translation  $\mu_\alpha^T$  of  $\mu$  is a fuzzy  $H$ -ideal of  $X$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.9.** *If  $\mu$  be a fuzzy subset of  $X$  such that the fuzzy  $\alpha$ -translation  $\mu_\alpha^T$  of  $\mu$  is a fuzzy  $H$ -ideal of  $X$  for  $\alpha \in [0, \top]$ , then the set  $I_\mu := \{x \in X \mid \mu_\alpha^T(x) = \mu_\alpha^T(0)\}$  is an  $H$ -ideal of  $X$ .*

PROOF. Obviously,  $0 \in I_\mu$ . Let  $x, y, z \in X$  be such that  $x * (y * z) \in I_\mu$  and  $y \in I_\mu$ . Then,  $\mu_\alpha^T(x * (y * z)) = \mu_\alpha^T(0) = \mu_\alpha^T(y)$  and so  $\mu_\alpha^T(x * z) \geq \min\{\mu_\alpha^T(x * (y * z)), \mu_\alpha^T(y)\} = \mu_\alpha^T(0)$ . Since,  $\mu_\alpha^T$  of  $\mu$  is a fuzzy  $H$ -ideal of  $X$ , we conclude that  $\mu_\alpha^T(x * z) = \mu_\alpha^T(0)$ . This implies that  $\mu(x * z) + \alpha = \mu(0) + \alpha$  or,  $\mu(x * z) = \mu(0)$  so that  $x * z \in I_\mu$ . Therefore,  $I_\mu$  is an  $H$ -ideal of  $X$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 3.10.** *If the fuzzy  $\alpha$ -translation  $\mu_\alpha^T$  of  $\mu$  is a fuzzy  $H$ -ideal of  $X$ , then it is order reversing.*

PROOF. Let  $x, y \in X$  be such that  $x \leq y$ . Then,  $x * y = 0$  and hence

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_\alpha^T(x) &= \mu_\alpha^T(x * 0) \geq \min\{\mu_\alpha^T(x * (y * 0)), \mu_\alpha^T(y)\} \\ &= \min\{\mu_\alpha^T(x * y), \mu_\alpha^T(y)\} = \min\{\mu_\alpha^T(0), \mu_\alpha^T(y)\} \\ &= \mu_\alpha^T(y).\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

The characterizations of fuzzy  $\alpha$ -translation  $\mu_\alpha^T$  of  $\mu$  are given by the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.11.** *Let  $\mu$  be a fuzzy subset of  $X$  such that the fuzzy  $\alpha$ -translation  $\mu_\alpha^T$  of  $\mu$  is a fuzzy ideal of  $X$ , then the following assertions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\mu_\alpha^T$  is a fuzzy  $H$ -ideal of  $X$ ,
- (ii)  $\mu_\alpha^T(x * y) \geq \mu_\alpha^T(x * (0 * y))$ , for all  $x, y \in X$ ,
- (iii)  $\mu_\alpha^T((x * y) * z) \geq \mu_\alpha^T(x * (y * z))$ , for all  $x, y, z \in X$ .

PROOF. (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) Let  $\mu_\alpha^T$  is a fuzzy  $H$ -ideal of  $X$ . Then, for all  $x, y \in X$  we have  $\mu_\alpha^T(x * y) \geq \min\{\mu_\alpha^T(x * (0 * y)), \mu_\alpha^T(0)\} = \mu_\alpha^T(x * (0 * y))$ . Therefore, the inequality (ii) is satisfied.

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) Assume that (ii) is satisfied. For all  $x, y, z \in X$ , we have  $((x * y) * (0 * z)) * (x * (y * z)) = ((x * y) * (x * (y * z))) * (0 * z) \leq ((y * z) * y) * (0 * z) = ((y * y) * z) * (0 * z) = (0 * z) * (0 * z) = 0$ . It follows from Proposition 3.10 that  $\mu_\alpha^T((x * y) * (0 * z)) * (x * (y * z)) \geq \mu_\alpha^T(0)$ . Since  $\mu_\alpha^T$  is a fuzzy  $H$ -ideal of  $X$ , we have  $\mu_\alpha^T((x * y) * (0 * z)) * (x * (y * z)) = \mu_\alpha^T(0)$ .

Using (ii) we get

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_\alpha^T((x * y) * z) &\geq \mu_\alpha^T((x * y) * (0 * z)) \\ &= \min\{\mu_\alpha^T(((x * y) * (0 * z)) * (x * (y * z))), \mu_\alpha^T(x * (y * z))\} \\ &= \min\{\mu_\alpha^T(0), \mu_\alpha^T(x * (y * z))\} \\ &= \mu_\alpha^T(x * (y * z)).\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, inequality (iii) is also satisfied.

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) Assume that (iii) is valid. For all  $x, y, z \in X$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_\alpha^T(x * z) &\geq \min\{\mu_\alpha^T((x * z) * y), \mu_\alpha^T(y)\} \\ &= \min\{\mu_\alpha^T((x * y) * z), \mu_\alpha^T(y)\} \\ &\geq \min\{\mu_\alpha^T(x * (y * z)), \mu_\alpha^T(y)\}.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $\mu_\alpha^T$  is a fuzzy  $H$ -ideal of  $X$ . Hence, the assertion (i) holds. The proof is complete.  $\square$

Next we give another characterizations of fuzzy  $\alpha$ -translation  $\mu_\alpha^T$  of  $\mu$  in the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.12.** *Let  $\mu$  be a fuzzy subset of  $X$  such that the fuzzy  $\alpha$ -translation  $\mu_\alpha^T$  of  $\mu$  is a fuzzy ideal of  $X$ , then the following assertions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\mu_\alpha^T$  is a fuzzy  $H$ -ideal of  $X$ ,
- (ii)  $\mu_\alpha^T((x * z) * y) \geq \mu_\alpha^T((x * z) * (0 * y))$ , for all  $x, y, z \in X$ ,
- (iii)  $\mu_\alpha^T(x * y) \geq \min\{\mu_\alpha^T((x * z) * (0 * y)), \mu_\alpha^T(z)\}$ , for all  $x, y, z \in X$ .

PROOF. (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) Same as above theorem.

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) Assume that (ii) is valid. For all  $x, y, z \in X$ , we have  $\mu_\alpha^T(x * y) \geq \min\{\mu_\alpha^T((x * y) * z), \mu_\alpha^T(z)\} = \min\{\mu_\alpha^T((x * z) * y), \mu_\alpha^T(z)\} \geq \min\{\mu_\alpha^T((x * z) * (0 * y)), \mu_\alpha^T(z)\}$ . Therefore, (iii) is satisfied.

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) Assume that (iii) is valid. Therefore, for all  $x, y, z \in X$ , we have  $\mu_\alpha^T(x * y) \geq \min\{\mu_\alpha^T((x * z) * (0 * y)), \mu_\alpha^T(z)\}$ . Putting  $z = 0$  we get  $\mu_\alpha^T(x * y) \geq \min\{\mu_\alpha^T((x * 0) * (0 * y)), \mu_\alpha^T(0)\} = \min\{\mu_\alpha^T(x * (0 * y)), \mu_\alpha^T(0)\} = \mu_\alpha^T(x * (0 * y))$ .

It follows from Theorem 3.11 that  $\mu_\alpha^T$  is a fuzzy  $H$ -ideal of  $X$ . The proof is complete.  $\square$

**Definition 3.13.** [13] Let  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  be fuzzy subsets of  $X$ . If  $\mu_1 \leq \mu_2$ , for all  $x \in X$ , then we say that  $\mu_2$  is a fuzzy extension of  $\mu_1$ .

**Definition 3.14.** Let  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  be fuzzy subsets of  $X$ . Then,  $\mu_2$  is called a fuzzy  $H$ -ideal extension of  $\mu_1$  if the following assertions are valid:

(i)  $\mu_2$  is a fuzzy extension of  $\mu_1$ .

(ii) If  $\mu_1$  is a fuzzy  $H$ -ideal of  $X$ , then  $\mu_2$  is a fuzzy  $H$ -ideal of  $X$ .

From the definition of fuzzy  $\alpha$ -translation, we get  $\mu_\alpha^T(x) \geq \mu(x)$ , for all  $x \in X$ . Therefore, we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.15.** Let  $\mu$  be a fuzzy  $H$ -ideal of  $X$  and  $\alpha \in [0, \top]$ . Then, the fuzzy  $\alpha$ -translation  $\mu_\alpha^T$  of  $\mu$  is a fuzzy  $H$ -ideal extension of  $\mu$ .

A fuzzy  $H$ -ideal extension of a fuzzy  $H$ -ideal  $\mu$  may not be represented as a fuzzy  $\alpha$ -translation of  $\mu$ , that is, the converse of the Theorem 3.15 is not true in general as seen in the following example.

**Example 3.16.** Let  $X = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$  be a BCK-algebra with the following Cayley table:

*	0	1	2	3	4
0	0	0	0	0	0
1	1	0	1	0	0
2	2	2	0	0	0
3	3	3	3	0	0
4	4	3	4	1	0

Let  $\mu$  be a fuzzy subset of  $X$  defined by

$X$	0	1	2	3	4
$\mu$	0.8	0.7	0.6	0.5	0.5

Then,  $\mu$  is a fuzzy  $H$ -ideal of  $X$ . Let  $\nu$  be a fuzzy subset of  $X$  given by

$X$	0	1	2	3	4
$\nu$	0.82	0.78	0.65	0.51	0.51

Then,  $\nu$  is a fuzzy  $H$ -ideal extension of  $\mu$ . But it is not the fuzzy  $\alpha$ -translation  $\mu_\alpha^T$  of  $\mu$ , for all  $\alpha \in [0, \top]$ .

Clearly, the intersection of fuzzy  $H$ -ideal extensions of a fuzzy subset  $\mu$  of  $X$  is a fuzzy  $H$ -ideal extension of  $\mu$ . But the union of fuzzy  $H$ -ideal extensions of a

fuzzy subset  $\mu$  of  $X$  is not a fuzzy  $H$ -ideal extension of  $\mu$  as seen in the following example.

**Example 3.17.** Let  $X = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$  be a BCK-algebra with the following Cayley table:

*	0	1	2	3	4
0	0	0	0	0	0
1	1	0	1	0	0
2	2	2	0	0	2
3	3	2	1	0	2
4	4	1	4	1	0

Let  $\mu$  be a fuzzy subset of  $X$  defined by

$X$	0	1	2	3	4
$\mu$	0.74	0.52	0.52	0.52	0.52

Then,  $\mu$  is a fuzzy  $H$ -ideal of  $X$ . Let  $\nu$  and  $\delta$  be a fuzzy subset of  $X$  given by

$X$	0	1	2	3	4
$\nu$	0.87	0.77	0.54	0.54	0.77
$\delta$	0.89	0.62	0.68	0.62	0.62

respectively. Then,  $\nu$  and  $\delta$  are fuzzy  $H$ -ideal extensions of  $\mu$ . Obviously, the union  $\nu \cup \delta$  is a fuzzy extension of  $\mu$ , but it is not a fuzzy  $H$ -ideal extension of  $\mu$  since  $(\nu \cup \delta)(3 * 0) = (\nu \cup \delta)(3) = 0.62 \not\geq 0.68 = \min\{(\nu \cup \delta)(1), (\nu \cup \delta)(2)\} = \min\{(\nu \cup \delta)(3 * (2 * 0)), (\nu \cup \delta)(2)\}$ .

For a fuzzy subset  $\mu$  of  $X$ ,  $\alpha \in [0, \top]$  and  $t \in [0, 1]$  with  $t \geq \alpha$ , let

$$U_\alpha(\mu; t) := \{x \in X \mid \mu(x) \geq t - \alpha\}.$$

If  $\mu$  is a fuzzy  $H$ -ideal of  $X$ , then it is clear that  $U_\alpha(\mu; t)$  is an  $H$ -ideal of  $X$ , for all  $t \in \text{Im}(\mu)$  with  $t \geq \alpha$ . But, if we do not give a condition that  $\mu$  is a fuzzy  $H$ -ideal of  $X$ , then  $U_\alpha(\mu; t)$  is not an  $H$ -ideal of  $X$  as seen in the following example.

**Example 3.18.** Let  $X = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$  be a BCK-algebra in Example 3.17 and  $\mu$  be a fuzzy subset of  $X$  defined by

$X$	0	1	2	3	4
$\mu$	0.78	0.62	0.43	0.62	0.62

Since  $\mu(3 * 1) = \mu(3) = 0.43 \not\geq 0.62 = \min\{\mu(3), \mu(0)\} = \min\{\mu(3 * (0 * 1)), \mu(0)\}$ , we have  $\mu$  is not a fuzzy  $H$ -ideal of  $X$ . For  $\alpha = 0.18$  and  $t = 0.63$ , we obtain  $U_\alpha(\mu; t) = \{0, 1, 3, 4\}$  which is not an  $H$ -ideal of  $X$  since  $3 * (0 * 1) = 3 \in \{0, 1, 3, 4\}$ , but  $3 * 1 = 2 \notin \{0, 1, 3, 4\}$ .

**Theorem 3.19.** Let  $\mu$  be a fuzzy subset of  $X$  and  $\alpha \in [0, \top]$ . Then, the fuzzy  $\alpha$ -translation  $\mu_\alpha^T$  of  $\mu$  is a fuzzy  $H$ -ideal of  $X$  if and only if  $U_\alpha(\mu; t)$  is an  $H$ -ideal of  $X$ , for all  $t \in \text{Im}(\mu)$  with  $t > \alpha$ .



PROOF. Suppose that  $\mu_\alpha^T$  is a fuzzy  $H$ -ideal of  $X$  and  $t \in Im(\mu)$  with  $t > \alpha$ . Since  $\mu_\alpha^T(0) \geq \mu_\alpha^T(x)$ , for all  $x \in X$ , we have  $\mu(0) + \alpha = \mu_\alpha^T(0) \geq \mu_\alpha^T(x) = \mu(x) + \alpha \geq t$  for  $x \in U_\alpha(\mu; t)$ . Hence,  $0 \in U_\alpha(\mu; t)$ . Let  $x, y, z \in X$  such that  $x * (y * z), y \in U_\alpha(\mu; t)$ . Then,  $\mu(x * (y * z)) \geq t - \alpha$  and  $\mu(y) \geq t - \alpha$  i.e.,  $\mu_\alpha^T(x * (y * z)) = \mu(x * (y * z)) + \alpha \geq t$  and  $\mu_\alpha^T(y) = \mu(y) + \alpha \geq t$ . Since  $\mu_\alpha^T$  is a fuzzy  $H$ -ideal. So, we have  $\mu(x * z) + \alpha = \mu_\alpha^T(x * z) \geq \min\{\mu_\alpha^T(x * (y * z)), \mu_\alpha^T(y)\} \geq t$  that is,  $\mu(x * z) \geq t - \alpha$  so that  $x * z \in U_\alpha(\mu; t)$ . Therefore,  $U_\alpha(\mu; t)$  is an  $H$ -ideal of  $X$ .

Conversely, suppose that  $U_\alpha(\mu; t)$  is an  $H$ -ideal of  $X$ , for all  $t \in Im(\mu)$  with  $t > \alpha$ . If there exists  $a \in X$  such that  $\mu_\alpha^T(0) < \beta \leq \mu_\alpha^T(a)$ , then  $\mu(a) \geq \beta - \alpha$  but  $\mu(0) < \beta - \alpha$ . This shows that  $a \in U_\alpha(\mu; t)$  and  $0 \notin U_\alpha(\mu; t)$ . This is a contradiction, and  $\mu_\alpha^T(0) \geq \mu_\alpha^T(x)$ , for all  $x \in X$ .

Now we assume that there exist  $a, b \in X$  such that  $\mu_\alpha^T(a * c) < \gamma \leq \min\{\mu_\alpha^T(a * (b * c)), \mu_\alpha^T(b)\}$ . Then,  $\mu(a * (b * c)) \geq \gamma - \alpha$  and  $\mu(b) \geq \gamma - \alpha$  but  $\mu(a * c) < \gamma - \alpha$ . Hence,  $a * (b * c) \in U_\alpha(\mu; t)$  and  $b \in U_\alpha(\mu; t)$  but  $a * c \notin U_\alpha(\mu; t)$  which is a contradiction. Thus,  $\mu_\alpha^T(x * z) \geq \min\{\mu_\alpha^T(x * (y * z)), \mu_\alpha^T(y)\}$ , for all  $x, y, z \in X$ . Consequently,  $\mu_\alpha^T$  is a fuzzy  $H$ -ideal of  $X$ .  $\square$

If we put  $t \leq \alpha$  in the sufficient part of the Theorem 3.19 then we get  $U_\alpha(\mu; t) = X$ .

**Theorem 3.20.** *Let  $\mu$  be a fuzzy  $H$ -ideal of  $X$  and let  $\alpha, \beta \in [0, \top]$ . If  $\alpha \geq \beta$ , then the fuzzy  $\alpha$ -translation  $\mu_\alpha^T$  of  $\mu$  is a fuzzy  $H$ -ideal extension of the fuzzy  $\beta$ -translation  $\mu_\beta^T$  of  $\mu$ .*

PROOF. Straightforward.  $\square$

Now, for every fuzzy  $H$ -ideal  $\mu$  of  $X$  and  $\beta \in [0, \top]$ , the fuzzy  $\beta$ -translation  $\mu_\beta^T$  of  $\mu$  is a fuzzy  $H$ -ideal of  $X$ . If  $\nu$  is a fuzzy  $H$ -ideal extension of  $\mu_\beta^T$ , then there exists  $\alpha \in [0, \top]$  such that  $\alpha \geq \beta$  and  $\nu(x) \geq \mu_\alpha^T(x)$ , for all  $x \in X$ . Hence, we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.21.** *Let  $\mu$  be a fuzzy  $H$ -ideal of  $X$  and  $\beta \in [0, \top]$ . For every fuzzy  $H$ -ideal extension  $\nu$  of the fuzzy  $\beta$ -translation  $\mu_\beta^T$  of  $\mu$ , there exists  $\alpha \in [0, \top]$  such that  $\alpha \geq \beta$  and  $\nu$  is a fuzzy  $H$ -ideal extension of the fuzzy  $\alpha$ -translation  $\mu_\alpha^T$  of  $\mu$ .*

Let us illustrate the Theorem 3.21 using the following example.

**Example 3.22.** *Let  $X = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$  be a BCK-algebra with the following Cayley table:*

*	0	1	2	3	4
0	0	0	0	0	0
1	1	0	1	0	1
2	2	2	0	2	0
3	3	1	3	0	3
4	4	4	2	4	0

Let  $\mu$  be a fuzzy subset of  $X$  defined by

$X$	0	1	2	3	4
$\mu$	0.6	0.4	0.3	0.4	0.3

Then,  $\mu$  is a fuzzy  $H$ -ideal of  $X$  and  $\top = 0.4$ . If we take  $\beta = 0.17$ , then the fuzzy  $\beta$ -translation  $\mu_\beta^T$  of  $\mu$  is given by

$X$	0	1	2	3	4
$\mu_\beta^T$	0.77	0.57	0.47	0.57	0.47

Let  $\nu$  be a fuzzy subset of  $X$  defined by

$X$	0	1	2	3	4
$\nu$	0.83	0.67	0.55	0.67	0.55

Then,  $\nu$  is a fuzzy  $H$ -ideal extension of the fuzzy  $\beta$ -translation  $\mu_\beta^T$  of  $\mu$ . But  $\nu$  is not a fuzzy  $\alpha$ -translation of  $\mu$ , for all  $\alpha \in [0, \top]$ . If we take  $\alpha = 0.21$  then  $\alpha = 0.21 > 0.17 = \beta$  and the fuzzy  $\alpha$ -translation  $\mu_\alpha^T$  of  $\mu$  is given as follows:

$X$	0	1	2	3	4
$\mu_\alpha^T$	0.81	0.61	0.51	0.61	0.51

Note that  $\nu(x) \geq \mu_\alpha^T(x)$ , for all  $x \in X$ , and hence  $\nu$  is a fuzzy  $H$ -ideal extension of the fuzzy  $\alpha$ -translation  $\mu_\alpha^T$  of  $\mu$ .

**Definition 3.23.** [13] Let  $\mu$  be a fuzzy subset of  $X$  and  $\gamma \in [0, 1]$ . A fuzzy  $\gamma$ -multiplication of  $\mu$ , denoted by  $\mu_\gamma^m$ , is defined to be a mapping  $\mu_\gamma^m : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ ,  $x \mapsto \mu(x) \cdot \gamma$

For any fuzzy subset  $\mu$  of  $X$ , a fuzzy 0-multiplication  $\mu_0^m$  of  $\mu$  is clearly a fuzzy  $H$ -ideal of  $X$ .

**Theorem 3.24.** *If  $\mu$  is a fuzzy  $H$ -ideal of  $X$ , then the fuzzy  $\gamma$ -multiplication of  $\mu$  is a fuzzy  $H$ -ideal of  $X$ , for all  $\gamma \in [0, 1]$ .*

PROOF. Straightforward.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.25.** *Let  $\mu$  be a fuzzy subset of  $X$ . Then,  $\mu$  is a fuzzy  $H$ -ideal of  $X$  if and only if the fuzzy  $\gamma$ -multiplication  $\mu_\gamma^m$  of  $\mu$  is a fuzzy  $H$ -ideal of  $X$ , for all  $\gamma \in [0, 1]$ .*

PROOF. Necessity follows from Theorem 3.24. Let  $\gamma \in (0, 1]$  be such that  $\mu_\gamma^m$  is a fuzzy  $H$ -ideal of  $X$ . Then,  $\mu(0) \cdot \gamma = \mu_\gamma^m(0) \geq \mu_\gamma^m(x) = \mu(x) \cdot \gamma$  which implies that  $\mu(0) \geq \mu(x)$ , for all  $x \in X$ . Also, for  $x, y, z \in X$ , we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(x * z) \cdot \gamma &= \mu_\gamma^m(x * z) \geq \min\{\mu_\gamma^m(x * (y * z)), \mu_\gamma^m(y)\} \\ &= \min\{\mu(x * (y * z)) \cdot \gamma, \mu(y) \cdot \gamma\} \\ &= \min\{\mu(x * (y * z)), \mu(y)\} \cdot \gamma \end{aligned}$$

which implies that  $\mu(x * z) \geq \min\{\mu(x * (y * z)), \mu(y)\}$ , for all  $x, y, z \in X$ . Hence,  $\mu$  is a fuzzy  $H$ -ideal of  $X$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.26.** *Let  $\mu$  be a fuzzy subset of  $X$ ,  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$  and  $\gamma \in (0, 1]$ . Then, every fuzzy  $\alpha$ -translation  $\mu_\alpha^T$  of  $\mu$  is a fuzzy  $H$ -ideal extension of the fuzzy  $\gamma$ -multiplication  $\mu_\gamma^m$  of  $\mu$ .*

PROOF. For all  $x \in X$ , we have  $\mu_\alpha^T(x) = \mu(x) + \alpha \geq \mu(x) \geq \mu(x) \cdot \gamma = \mu_\gamma^m(x)$  and so  $\mu_\alpha^T$  is a fuzzy extension of  $\mu_\gamma^m$ . Assume that  $\mu_\gamma^m$  is a fuzzy  $H$ -ideal of  $X$ . Then, by Theorem 3.25,  $\mu$  is a fuzzy  $H$ -ideal of  $X$ . It follows from Theorem 3.2 that the fuzzy  $\alpha$ -translation  $\mu_\alpha^T$  of  $\mu$  is a fuzzy  $H$ -ideal of  $X$ , for all  $\alpha \in [0, \top]$ . Therefore, every fuzzy  $\alpha$ -translation  $\mu_\alpha^T$  of  $\mu$  is a fuzzy  $H$ -ideal extension of fuzzy  $\gamma$ -multiplication  $\mu_\gamma^m$  of  $\mu$ .  $\square$

The following example shows that Theorem 3.26 is not valid for  $\gamma = 0$ .

**Example 3.27.** Let  $(\mathbb{Z}, *, 0)$  be a BCI-algebra, where  $\mathbb{Z}$  is the set of all integers and  $*$  is the minus operation. Define a fuzzy subset  $\mu : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  by

$$\mu(x) := \begin{cases} 0.4 & \text{if } x > 2 \\ 0.6 & \text{if } x \leq 2 \end{cases}$$

If we take  $\gamma = 0$ , then  $\mu_0^m(x * z) = 0 = \min\{\mu_0^m(x * (y * z)), \mu_0^m(y)\}$ , for all  $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$  that is,  $\mu_0^m$  is a fuzzy  $H$ -ideal of  $\mathbb{Z}$ . But

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_\alpha^T(3 * 0) &= \mu_\alpha^T(3) = 0.4 + \alpha < 0.6 + \alpha \\ &= \min\{\mu(3 * (1 * 0)), \mu(1)\} + \alpha \\ &= \min\{\mu(3 * (1 * 0)) + \alpha, \mu(1) + \alpha\} \\ &= \min\{\mu_\alpha^T(3 * (1 * 0)), \mu_\alpha^T(1)\} \end{aligned}$$

for all  $\alpha \in [0, 0.4]$ , which shows that  $\mu_\alpha^T$  is not a fuzzy  $H$ -ideal of  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Hence,  $\mu_\alpha^T$  is not a fuzzy  $H$ -ideal extension of  $\mu_0^m$ , for all  $\alpha \in [0, 0.4]$ .

Let us illustrate Theorem 3.26 using the following examples.

**Example 3.28.** Let  $X = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$  be a BCK-algebra with the following Cayley table:

$*$	0	1	2	3	4
0	0	0	0	0	0
1	1	0	1	0	0
2	2	2	0	0	0
3	3	3	3	0	0
4	4	3	4	1	0

Let  $\mu$  be a fuzzy subset of  $X$  defined by

$X$	0	1	2	3	4
$\mu$	0.8	0.6	0.6	0.4	0.4

Then,  $\mu$  is a fuzzy  $H$ -ideal of  $X$ . If we take  $\gamma = 0.15$ , then the fuzzy 0.15-multiplication  $\mu_{0.15}^m$  of  $\mu$  is given by

$X$	0	1	2	3	4
$\mu_{0.15}^m$	0.12	0.09	0.09	0.06	0.06

Then,  $\mu_{0.15}^m$  is a fuzzy  $H$ -ideal of  $X$ . Also, for any  $\alpha \in [0, 0.2]$ , the fuzzy  $\alpha$ -translation  $\mu_\alpha^T$  of  $\mu$  is given as follows:

$X$	0	1	2	3	4
$\mu_\alpha^T$	$0.8 + \alpha$	$0.6 + \alpha$	$0.6 + \alpha$	$0.4 + \alpha$	$0.4 + \alpha$

Then,  $\mu_\alpha^T$  is a fuzzy extension of  $\mu_{0.15}^m$  and  $\mu_\alpha^T$  is always a fuzzy  $H$ -ideal of  $X$ , for all  $\alpha \in [0, 0.2]$ . Therefore,  $\mu_\alpha^T$  is a fuzzy  $H$ -ideal extension of  $\mu_{0.15}^m$ , for all  $\alpha \in [0, 0.2]$ .

**Example 3.29.** Let  $X = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$  be a  $BCI$ -algebra with the following Cayley table:

*	0	1	2	3	4	5
0	0	0	0	3	3	3
1	1	0	1	3	3	3
2	2	2	0	3	3	3
3	3	3	3	0	0	0
4	4	3	4	1	0	0
5	5	3	5	1	1	0

Let  $\mu$  be a fuzzy subset of  $X$  defined by

$X$	0	1	2	3	4	5
$\mu$	0.7	0.5	0.5	0.3	0.3	0.3

Then,  $\mu$  is a fuzzy  $H$ -ideal of  $X$ . If we take  $\gamma = 0.25$ , then the fuzzy 0.25-multiplication  $\mu_{0.25}^m$  of  $\mu$  is given by

$X$	0	1	2	3	4	5
$\mu_{0.25}^m$	0.175	0.125	0.125	0.075	0.075	0.075

Then,  $\mu_{0.25}^m$  is a fuzzy  $H$ -ideal of  $X$ . Also, for any  $\alpha \in [0, 0.3]$ , the fuzzy  $\alpha$ -translation  $\mu_\alpha^T$  of  $\mu$  is given as follows:

$X$	0	1	2	3	4	5
$\mu_\alpha^T$	$0.7 + \alpha$	$0.5 + \alpha$	$0.5 + \alpha$	$0.3 + \alpha$	$0.3 + \alpha$	$0.3 + \alpha$

Then,  $\mu_\alpha^T$  is a fuzzy extension of  $\mu_{0.25}^m$  and  $\mu_\alpha^T$  is always a fuzzy  $H$ -ideal of  $X$ , for all  $\alpha \in [0, 0.3]$ . Therefore,  $\mu_\alpha^T$  is a fuzzy  $H$ -ideal extension of  $\mu_{0.25}^m$ , for all  $\alpha \in [0, 0.3]$ .

#### 4. CONCLUDING REMARKS

In this paper, the notion of translation of fuzzy  $H$ -ideals in  $BCK/BCI$ -algebra are introduced and investigated some of their useful properties. We have shown that the fuzzy  $\alpha$ -translation of a fuzzy  $H$ -ideal is a fuzzy  $H$ -ideal extension but the converse is not true. It is also shown that intersection of fuzzy  $H$ -ideal extensions of a fuzzy subset is a fuzzy  $H$ -ideal extension but the union of fuzzy  $H$ -ideal extensions of a fuzzy subset is not a fuzzy  $H$ -ideal extension. The relationships are discussed between fuzzy translations, fuzzy extensions and fuzzy multiplications of fuzzy  $H$ -ideals in  $BCK/BCI$ -algebras.

It is our hope that this work would other foundations for further study of the theory of  $BCK/BCI$ -algebras. In our future study of fuzzy structure of  $BCK/BCI$ -algebra, may be the following topics should be considered: (i) to find translation of fuzzy  $a$ -ideals in  $BCK/BCI$ -algebra, (ii) to find translation of fuzzy

$p$ -ideals in  $BCK/BCI$ -algebra, (iii) to find the relationship between translations of fuzzy  $H$ -ideals,  $a$ -ideals and  $p$ -ideals in  $BCK/BCI$ -algebra.

**Acknowledgement.** The authors would like to express sincere appreciation to the referees for their valuable suggestions and comments helpful in improving this paper.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Ahmad, B., "Fuzzy  $BCI$ -algebras", *J. Fuzzy Math.* **1** (1993), 445-452.
- [2] Dubois, D., Prade, H. and Sessa, S., "Recent literature", *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* **160** (2009), 3017-3026.
- [3] Dubois, D., Prade, H. and Sessa, S., "Recent literature", *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* **161** (2010), 1039-1046.
- [4] Dudek, W.A., "On group-like  $BCI$ -algebras", *Demonstratio Math.* **21** (1998), 369-376.
- [5] Hu, Q.P. and Iseki, K., "On  $BCI$ -algebra satisfying  $(x * y) * z = x * (y * z)$ ", *Math. Sem. Notes* **8** (1980), 553-555.
- [6] Huang, W.P., "On the  $BCI$ -algebras in which every subalgebra is an ideal", *Math. Japonica* **37** (1992), 645-647.
- [7] Huang, Y. and Chen, Z., "On ideals in  $BCK$ -algebras", *Math. Japonica* **50** (1999), 211-226.
- [8] Imai, Y. and Iseki, K., "On axiom system of propositional calculi", *Proc. Japan Academy* **42** (1966), 19-22.
- [9] Iseki, K., "An algebra related with a propositional calculus", *Proc. Japan Academy* **42** (1966), 26-29.
- [10] Jun, Y.B., "Closed fuzzy ideals in  $BCI$ -algebras", *Math. Japonica* **38** (1993), 199-202.
- [11] Jun, Y.B., "Translations of fuzzy ideals in  $BCK/BCI$ -algebras", *Hacetatepe Journal of Mathematics and Statistics* **40** (2011), 349-358.
- [12] Khalid, H.M. and Ahmad, B., "Fuzzy  $H$ -ideals in  $BCI$ -algebras", *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* **101** (1999), 153-158.
- [13] Lee, K.J., Jun Y.B. and Doh, M.I., "Fuzzy translations and fuzzy multiplications of  $BCK/BCI$ -algebras", *Commun. Korean Math. Soc.* **24** (2009), 353-360.
- [14] Lele, C., Wu, C., Weke, P., Mamadou, T. and Njock, G.E., "Fuzzy ideals and weak ideals in  $BCK$ -algebras", *Sci. Math. Japonicae* **54** (2001), 323-336.
- [15] Liu, Y.L. and Meng, J., "Fuzzy ideals in  $BCI$ -algebras", *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* **123** (2001), 227-237.
- [16] Meng, J. and Lin, X.L., "Commutative  $BCI$ -algebras", *Math. Japonica* **37** (1992), 569-572.
- [17] Meng, J. and Guo, X., "On fuzzy ideals in  $BCK$ -algebras", *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* **149** (2005), 509-525.
- [18] Mitra, S. and Pal, S.K., "Fuzzy sets in pattern recognition and machine intelligence", *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* **156** (2005), 381-386.
- [19] Satyanarayana, B., Madhavi, U.B. and Prasad, R.D., "On intuitionistic fuzzy  $H$ -Ideals in  $BCK$ -algebras", *International Journal of Algebra* **4** (2010), 743-749.
- [20] Satyanarayana, B., Madhavi, U.B. and Prasad, R.D., "On foldness of intuitionistic fuzzy  $H$ -Ideals in  $BCK$ -algebras", *International Mathematical Forum* **5** (2010), 2205-2221.
- [21] Senapati, T., Bhowmik, M. and Pal, M., "Interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy  $BG$ -subalgebras", *J. Fuzzy Math.* **20** (2012) 707-720.
- [22] Senapati, T., Bhowmik, M. and Pal, M., "Fuzzy dot structure of  $BG$ -algebras", *Fuzzy Information and Engineering* **6** (2014) 315-329.
- [23] Xi, O., "Fuzzy  $BCK$ -algebras", *Math. Japonica* **36** (1991), 935-942.

- [24] Zadeh, L.A., "Fuzzy sets", *Inform. and Control* **8** (1965), 338-353.
- [25] Zadeh, L.A., "Is there a need for fuzzy logic?", *Inform. Sci.* **178** (2008), 2751-2779.