## SCREEN PSEUDO-SLANT LIGHTLIKE SUBMERSIONS

# S. S. SHUKLA<sup>1</sup> SHIVAM OMAR<sup>2,</sup>

### Department of Mathematics, University of Allahabad, Prayagraj, India

## <sup>1</sup>ssshukla\_au@rediffmail.com, <sup>2</sup>shivamomar.2010@gmail.com

**Abstract.** In this article, we introduce the notion of screen pseudo-slant lightlike submersions from an indefinite Kaehler manifold onto a lightlike manifold which include complex (invariant), screen real (anti-invariant), screen slant and SCR lightlike submersions. We study some properties of proper screen pseudo-slant lightlike submersions with non-trivial examples and gave a characterization theorem. We also obtain integrability conditions of distributions involved in the definition of such submersions.

Key words and Phrases: Submersion, Slant manifold, Lightlike manifold, Lightlike submersion, Kaehler manifold.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

A smooth map  $f: (M,g) \to (B,g')$  between Riemannian manifolds Mand B is called a Riemannian submersion if the derivative map  $f_*$  is surjective and  $g(X,Y) = g'(f_*X, f_*Y)$ , where X and Y are vector fields tangent to the horizontal space  $(Ker f_*)^{\perp}$ . Riemannian submersions between Riemannian manifolds were studied by ONeill [9] and Gray [8]. In [10], O' Neill studied Semi-Riemannian submersions between semi-Riemannian manifolds. In [14], Sahin and Gündüzalp defined lightlike submersions from semi-Riemannian manifolds onto lightlike manifolds. In [5], Duggal and Sahin gave the definition of SCR-lightlike submanifolds of an indefinite Kaehler manifold. Sahin [12, 13] introduced the notion of a slant and screen-slant lightlike submanifold of an indefinite Hermitian manifold. In [16], Shukla and Yadav gave the notion of screen pseudo-slant lightlike submanifolds of an indefinite Kaehler manifold. In the present paper, we study screen pseudo slant lightlike submersions as a natural generalization of screen slant and SCR lightlike submersions.

The present article is organized as follows. In Section 2, we give some basic definitions and formulas related to this paper. In Section 3, we define screen pseudo-slant lightlike submersions with non- trivial examples. In this section, we also obtain

64

<sup>2020</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification: 53B15, 53C50, 53C55, 53C56. Received: 03-10-2021, accepted: 04-01-2023.

a characterization theorem and investigate integrability conditions of distributions involved in the definition of such submersions.

### 2. PRELIMINARIES

Let (M, J) be a 2m-dimensional almost complex manifold, where J is an almost complex structure and g is a semi-Riemannian metric with index  $0 < r \leq 2m$ . Then M is called an indefinite almost Hermitian manifold, if

$$g(JX, JY) = g(X, Y), \quad \forall X, Y \in \Gamma(TM).$$
(1)

Also, if J is a complex structure on M, then M is said to be an indefinite Hermitian manifold. Now, let (M, J, g) is an indefinite almost Hermitian manifold with Levi-Civita connection  $\nabla$ . Then, M is called an indefinite Kaehler manifold if

$$(\nabla_X J)Y = 0, \quad \forall X, Y \in \Gamma(TM).$$
 (2)

Let (M, g) be a real m-dimensional  $C^{\infty}$  manifold. The Radical (or null) space Rad  $T_pM$  of  $T_pM$  is defined as Rad  $T_pM = \{\xi \in T_pM : g(\xi, X) = 0, \forall X \in T_pM\}$ . If Rad  $TM : p \in M \to Rad T_pM$  defines a smooth distribution of rank r > 0 of Msuch that  $0 < r \leq m$ , then Rad TM is called a radical or null distribution of Mand the manifold M is called an r-lightlike manifold.

Let  $f: (M,g) \to (B,g')$  be a smooth submersion from a semi-Riemannian manifold M onto an r-lightlike manifold B. Then,  $Ker f_{*p} = \{X \in T_pM : f_{*p}X = 0\}$  and  $(Ker f_{*p})^{\perp} = \{Y \in T_pM : g(Y,X) = 0, \forall X \in Ker f_{*p}\}$ . As  $T_pM$  is a semi-Riemannian vector space  $(Ker f_{*p})^{\perp}$  may not be a complementary space to  $Kerf_{*p}$ . Assume that  $Ker f_{*p} \cap (Ker f_{*p})^{\perp} = \Delta_p \neq \{0\}$ . In this case  $\Delta : p \to \Delta_p$  is said to be a radical distribution of M. As  $\Delta$  is a lightlike distribution, we have  $Ker f_* = \Delta \perp S(Ker f_*)$ . Similarly  $(Ker f_*)^{\perp} = \Delta \perp S(Ker f_*)^{\perp}$ . Here  $S(Ker f_*)^{\perp}$  is the complementary distribution to  $\Delta$  in  $(Ker f_*)^{\perp}$ . Now, let  $dim(\Delta) = r > 0$ . Since  $\Delta \subset (S(ker f_*)^{\perp})^{\perp}$  and  $(S(kerf_*)^{\perp})^{\perp}$  is non-degenerate, then there exists null vectors  $N_1, N_2..., N_r$ , such that  $g(N_i, N_j) = 0, g(\xi_i, N_j) = \delta_{ij}$ , where  $\{N_i\}$  and  $\{\xi_i\}$  are smooth null vector fields in  $S(Ker f_*)^{\perp}$  and lightlike basis of  $\Delta$ , respectively. Assume that  $ltr(ker f_*) = ltr(kerf_*) \perp S(kerf_*)^{\perp}$ . Moreover, we have

$$TM = (\Delta \oplus ltr(Ker \ f_*)) \perp S(Ker \ f_*) \perp S(Ker \ f_*)^{\perp}.$$
(3)

A Riemannian submersion  $f:(M,g)\to (B,g')$  is said to be r-lightlike submersion if

$$\dim \Delta = \dim\{(Ker \ f_*) \cap (Ker \ f_*)^{\perp}\} = r, \ 0 < r < \min\{\dim(ker \ f_*), \dim(ker \ f_*)^{\perp}\};$$

isotropic submersion if  $\dim \Delta = \dim(\operatorname{Ker} f_*) < \dim(\operatorname{Ker} f_*)^{\perp}$ ; co-isotropic submersion if  $\dim \Delta = \dim(\operatorname{Ker} f_*)^{\perp} < \dim(\operatorname{Ker} f_*)$  and totally lightlike submersion if  $\dim \Delta = \dim(\operatorname{Ker} f_*)^{\perp} = \dim(\operatorname{Ker} f_*)$ . A lightlike submersion  $f : (M,g) \to (B,g')$  determines two (1,2) type tensors fields T and A on M, given as

$$T_X Y = h \nabla_{\nu X} \nu Y + \nu \nabla_{\nu X} h Y, \tag{4}$$

#### S. S. Shukla, S. Omar

$$A_X Y = \nu \nabla_h X h Y + h \nabla_h X \nu Y. \tag{5}$$

Here T and A are vertical and horizontal tensors, respectively. For vertical tensor T, we have

$$T_X Y = T_Y X, \quad \forall \ X, Y \in \Gamma(Ker \ f_*).$$
(6)

Now, we suppose that f is a lightlike submersion from a real (m + n)dimensional semi-Riemannian manifold (M, g) onto a lightlike manifold (B, g'), with m, n > 1. Further, let Ker  $f_*$  be an m-dimensional lightlike distribution of M and  $tr(Ker f_*)$  is the complementary distribution of Ker  $f_*$  in M with respect to the pair  $\{S(Ker f_*), S(Ker f_*)^{\perp}\}$ . Let us denote by  $\hat{g}$  the induced metric on Ker  $f_*$  of g and by  $\nabla$  the Levi-Civita connection on M. Then, in view of (4), we have

$$\nabla_U V = \nabla_U V + T_U V,\tag{7}$$

$$\nabla_U X = T_U X + \nabla_U^{\perp} X, \tag{8}$$

 $\forall U, V \in \Gamma(Ker \ f_*), X \in \Gamma(Ker \ f_*)^{\perp}$ , where  $\hat{\nabla}_U V = \nu \nabla_U V$  and  $\nabla_U^{\perp} X = h \nabla_U X$ . Here  $\{\hat{\nabla}_U V, T_U X\}$  and  $\{T_U V, \nabla_U^{\perp} X\}$  belong to  $\Gamma(Ker \ f_*)$  and  $\Gamma(tr(Ker \ f_*))$ , respectively. Let  $S(Ker \ f_*)^{\perp} \neq \{0\}$ . Now, we denote by L and S the projections of  $tr(Ker \ f_*)$  on  $ltr(Ker \ f_*)$  and  $S(Ker \ f_*)^{\perp}$ , respectively. Then, from (7) and (8), we have

$$\nabla_U V = \hat{\nabla}_U V + T_U^l V + T_U^s V, \tag{9}$$

$$\nabla_U N = T_U N + \nabla_U^{\perp l} N + D^{\perp s}(U, N), \tag{10}$$

$$\nabla_U W = T_U W + D^{\perp l}(U, W) + \nabla_U^{\perp s} W, \tag{11}$$

 $\forall U, V \in \Gamma(Ker f_*), N \in \Gamma(ltr(Ker f_*)) \text{ and } W \in \Gamma(S(Ker f_*)^{\perp}).$  From equations (9)-(11) and the fact that  $\nabla$  is a metric connection, we obtain

$$g(T_U^s V, W) + g(V, D^{\perp l}(U, W)) = -\hat{g}(T_U W, V),$$
(12)

$$g(D^{\perp s}(U,N),W) = -g(N,T_UW)$$
 (13)

If f is either r-lightlike or co-isotropic submersion, then we write

$$\hat{\nabla}_U \xi = T_U^* \xi + \nabla_U^{*\perp} \xi, \tag{14}$$

 $\forall \ U \in \Gamma(Ker \ f_*), \ \xi \in \Gamma(\Delta). \ \text{Here} \ \ T^*_U \xi \in \Gamma(S(Ker \ f_*)) \ \text{and} \ \nabla^{*\perp}_U \xi \in \Gamma(\Delta).$ 

### 3. Screen Pseudo-Slant Lightlike Submersions

In this section, we introduce the notion of screen pseudo-slant lightlike submersions from an indefinite Kaehler manifold onto a lightlike manifold. First, we gave the following lemma, which is useful to define screen-pseudo slant lightlike submersions.

**Lemma 3.1.** Let  $f : (M,g) \to (B,g')$  be a 2*r*-lightlike submersion from an indefinite Kaehler manifold M onto a lightlike manifold B and Ker  $f_*$  is a lightlike distribution on M. Then the screen distribution  $S(Ker f_*)$  is Riemannian.

66

*Proof.* Let M be a real (m+n)-dimensional indefinite Kaehler manifold and  $Ker f_*$  be a lightlike distribution of dimension m. Then there exists a local quasi orthonormal field of frames on M along  $Ker f_*$ 

 $\{\xi_i, N_i, U_{\alpha}, Z_a\}, i \in \{1, ..., 2r\}, \alpha \in \{2r+1, ..., m\}, a \in \{2r+1, ..., n\}, a$ 

where  $\{\xi_i\}$ ,  $\{N_i\}$  are lightlike basis of  $\Delta$ ,  $ltr(Ker f_*)$  and  $U_{\alpha}$ ,  $Z_a$  are orthonormal basis of  $S(Ker f_*)$ ,  $S(Ker f_*)^{\perp}$ , respectively. With the help of null basis  $\{\xi_1, ..., \xi_{2r}, N_1, ..., N_{2r}\}$  of  $\Delta \oplus ltr(Ker *)$ , we construct following orthonormal basis  $\{X_1, ..., X_{4r}\}$ 

$$\begin{aligned} X_1 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\xi_1 + N_1), & X_2 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\xi_1 - N_1), \\ X_3 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\xi_2 + N_2), & X_4 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\xi_2 - N_2), \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ X_{4r-1} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\xi_{2r} + N_{2r}), & X_{4r} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\xi_{2r} - N_{2r}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, Span  $\{\xi_i, N_i\}$  is a non-degenerate space of index 2r, which enables us to conclude that  $\Delta \oplus ltr(Ker_*)$  is non-degenerate with constant index 2r on M. Moreover,

$$ind(TM) = ind(\Delta \oplus ltr(Ker f_*)) + ind(S(Ker f_*) \perp (S(Ker f_*))^{\perp}),$$

implies that  $S(Ker f_*) \perp S(Ker f_*)^{\perp}$  has a constant index zero. Hence,  $S(Ker f_*)$  and  $S(Ker f_*)^{\perp}$  are Riemannian distributions.

**Definition 3.2.** Let  $f : (M, g, J) \to (B, g')$  be a 2*r*-lightlike submersion from an indefinite Kaehler manifold M onto a lightlike manifold B, such that  $2r < \dim(Ker f_*)$ . Then we say that f is a screen pseudo-slant lightlike submersion if

- (a) the lightlike distribution  $\Delta$  is invariant with respect to J,
- (b) there exists two non-null distributions  $D_1$  and  $D_2$ , such that  $S(Ker f_*) = D_1 \oplus D_2$ ,
- (c)  $D_1$  is anti-invariant, i.e.,  $JD_1 \subseteq S(Ker \ f_*)^{\perp}$ ,
- (d)  $D_2$  is slant with slant angle  $\theta \left( \neq \frac{\pi}{2} \right)$ , that is, for every  $p \in M$  and for every non-zero vector  $U \in (D_2)_p$ , the angle  $\theta(U)$  between the vector subspace  $(D_2)_p$  and JU is a constant  $\left( \neq \frac{\pi}{2} \right)$ .

From the definition, it is clear that

- (a) if  $D_1 = 0$ , then f is a screen slant lightlike submersion.
- (b) if  $D_2 = 0$ , then f is a screen real lightlike submersion.
- (c) if  $D_1 = 0$  and  $\theta = 0$ , then f is a complex lightlike submersion.
- (d) if  $D_1 \neq 0$  and  $\theta = 0$ , then f is a SCR-lightlike submersion.

Thus, the above class of lightlike submersions is a natural generalization of screen slant, screen real, complex and SCR-lightlike submersions. If  $D_1 \neq 0$ ,  $D_2 \neq 0$  and

 $\theta \neq 0$ , then f is called a proper screen pseudo-slant lightlike submersion. Now, we give some non-trivial examples of screen pseudo-slant lightlike submersions.

Denote by  $\mathbb{R}^n_{r,q,p}$  the space  $\mathbb{R}^n$  equipped with the semi-Riemannian metric g, such that  $g(e_i, e_j)_{r,q,p} = (G_{r,q,p})_{ij}$ ,  $i \in \{1, ..., n\}$ , where  $e_i$  is the standard basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $G_{r,q,p}$  is the diagonal matrix determined by g, i.e.,  $G_{ij} = \text{diagonal}(\underbrace{0, ..., 0}, \underbrace{-1, ..., -1}, \underbrace{1, ..., 1})$ .

r-times q-times p-times

**Example 3.3.** Let  $\mathbb{R}^{12}_{0.2,10}$  and  $\mathbb{R}^6_{2.0,4}$  endowed with the semi-Riemannian metric

$$g = -(dx_1)^2 - (dx_2)^2 + (dx_3)^2 + (dx_4)^2 + (dx_5)^2 + (dx_6)^2 + (dx_7)^2 + (dx_8)^2 + (dx_9)^2 + (dx_{10})^2 + (dx_{11})^2 + (dx_{12})^2,$$

and degenerate metric  $g' = (dy_3)^2 + (dy_4)^2 + (dy_5)^2 + (dy_6)^2$ , where  $x_1, \ldots, x_{12}$ and  $y_1, \ldots, y_6$  are the canonical coordinates on  $\mathbb{R}^{12}$  and  $\mathbb{R}^6$ , respectively. Define the mapping  $f : (\mathbb{R}^{12}, g) \to (\mathbb{R}^6, g')$  as

$$(x_1, ..., x_{12}) \longmapsto \left(x_1 + x_5, x_2 + x_6, x_3, x_7, \frac{x_9 + x_{12}}{\sqrt{2}}, x_{11}\right).$$

Then, we can see easily that f is a 2-lightlike submersion with

$$\Delta = Kerf_* \cap (Kerf_*)^{\perp} = Span\left\{\xi_1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_5}, \xi_2 = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_6}\right\}$$

Since  $J\xi_1 = \xi_2$ ,  $\Delta$  is invariant with respect to J. By easy calculation we can see that

$$D_1 = Span\left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial x_4}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_8}\right\}$$

is anti-invariant distribution. Further, we see that

$$D_2 = Span\left\{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_9} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{12}}\right), \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{10}}\right\}$$

is slant distribution with slant angle  $\theta = \frac{\pi}{4}$ . Thus, f is a proper screen pseudo-slant lightlike submersion.

**Example 3.4.** Let  $\mathbb{R}^{8}_{0,2,6}$  and  $\mathbb{R}^{4}_{2,0,2}$  be endowed with the semi-Riemannian metric  $g = -(dx_{1})^{2} - (dx_{2})^{2} + (dx_{3})^{2} + (dx_{4})^{2} + (dx_{5})^{2} + (dx_{6})^{2} + (dx_{7})^{2} + (dx_{8})^{2}$ , and degenerate metric  $g' = (dy_{3})^{2} + (dy_{4})^{2}$ , where  $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{8}$  and  $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{4}$  are the canonical coordinates on  $\mathbb{R}^{8}$  and  $\mathbb{R}^{4}$ , respectively. Define the map  $f : (\mathbb{R}^{8}, g) \to (\mathbb{R}^{4}, g')$  as  $(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{8}) \longmapsto (x_{1} + x_{7}, x_{2} + x_{8}, \frac{x_{4} + x_{6}}{\sqrt{2}}, x_{3})$ . Then

$$Ker f_* = Span \Big\{ U_1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_7}, \ U_2 = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_8}, \ U_3 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \Big( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_4} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_6} \Big), \ U_4 = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_5} \Big\}$$

and

$$(Ker \ f_*)^{\perp} = Span \left\{ U_1, U_2, \ X = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_4} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_6} \right), \ Y = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} \right\}$$

Thus f is a 2-lightlike submersion with  $\Delta = Kerf_* \cap (Kerf_*)^{\perp} = Span\{U_1, U_2\}$ , which is invariant with respect to J. Also,  $D_2 = S(Ker f_*) = Span\{U_3, U_4\}$  is slant with slant angle  $\theta = \frac{\pi}{4}$ . Hence, f is a screen slant lightlike submersion.

**Example 3.5.** Let  $\mathbb{R}^{8}_{0,2,6}$  and  $\mathbb{R}^{4}_{2,0,2}$  be endowed with the semi-Riemannian metric  $g = -(dx_{1})^{2} - (dx_{2})^{2} + (dx_{3})^{2} + (dx_{4})^{2} + (dx_{5})^{2} + (dx_{6})^{2} + (dx_{7})^{2} + (dx_{8})^{2}$ , and degenerate metric  $g' = (dy_{3})^{2} + (dy_{4})^{2}$ , where  $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{8}$  and  $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{4}$  are the canonical coordinates on  $\mathbb{R}^{8}$  and  $\mathbb{R}^{4}$ , respectively. Define the map  $f : (\mathbb{R}^{8}, g) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^{4}, g')$  as  $(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{8}) \longmapsto (x_{1} + x_{5}, x_{2} + x_{6}, \frac{x_{3} - x_{7}}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{x_{4} - x_{8}}{\sqrt{2}})$ . Then, we obtain

$$Kerf_* = Span\Big\{U_1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_5}, \ U_2 = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_6}, \\ U_3 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_7}\Big), \ U_4 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_4} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_8}\Big)\Big\},$$

and

$$(Kerf_*)^{\perp} = Span\Big\{U_1, \ U_2, \ X = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_7}\Big), \ Y = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_4} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_8}\Big).$$

Then, f is a 2-lightlike submersion with  $\Delta = Span\{U_1, U_2\}$ . Since  $JU_1 = U_2$ ,  $\Delta$  is invariant with respect to J. Further, since  $JU_3 = U_4$ ,  $S(Ker f_*) = D_2 = Span\{U_3, U_4\}$  is slant distribution with slant angle  $\theta = 0$ , that is,  $D_2$  is invariant. Thus,  $D_1 = 0$ . Hence f is a complex lightlike submersion.

**Example 3.6.** Let  $\mathbb{R}^{12}_{0,4,8}$  and  $\mathbb{R}^6_{4,0,2}$  be endowed with the semi-Riemannian metric

$$g = -(dx_1)^2 - (dx_2)^2 - (dx_3)^2 - (dx_4)^2 + (dx_5)^2 + (dx_6)^2 + (dx_7)^2 + (dx_8)^2 + (dx_9)^2 + (dx_{10})^2 + (dx_{11})^2 + (dx_{12})^2,$$

and degenerate metric  $g' = (dy_5)^2 + (dy_6)^2$ , where  $x_1, \ldots, x_{12}$  and  $y_1, \ldots, y_6$ are the canonical coordinates on  $\mathbb{R}^{12}$  and  $\mathbb{R}^6$ , respectively. Let us define the map

$$f: (\mathbb{R}^{12}, g) \to (\mathbb{R}^6, g'), \quad (x_1, \dots, x_{12}) \longmapsto \left(\frac{x_1 - x_7}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{x_2 - x_8}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{x_3 + x_9}{2}, \frac{x_4 + x_{10}}{2}, x_6, x_{12}\right)$$
Then we obtain

Then, we obtain

$$Kerf_* = Span\left\{U_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_7}\right), U_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_8}\right), U_3 = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_9}\right), U_4 = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_4} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{10}}\right), U_5 = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_5}, U_6 = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{11}}\right\},$$

and

$$(Kerf_*)^{\perp} = Span\left\{U_1, U_2, U_3, U_4, X = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_6}, Y = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{12}}\right\}$$

Thus, f is a 4-lightlike submersion with  $\Delta = Span\{U_1, U_2, U_3, U_4\}$ . As  $JU_1 = U_2$ and  $JU_3 = U_4$ ,  $\Delta$  is invariant with respect to J. Also  $JU_5 = X$  and  $JU_6 = Y$ , implies that  $S(Ker f_*) = D_1 = Span\{U_5, U_6\}$  is anti-invariant. Also  $D_2 = 0$ . Hence f is a screen real lightlike submersion. S. S. SHUKLA, S. OMAR

**Example 3.7.** Let  $\mathbb{R}^{16}_{0,2,14}$  and  $\mathbb{R}^8_{2,0,6}$  be endowed with the semi-Riemannian metric

$$g = - (dx_1)^2 - (dx_2)^2 + (dx_3)^2 + (dx_4)^2 + (dx_5)^2 + (dx_6)^2 + (dx_7)^2 + (dx_8)^2 + (dx_9)^2 + (dx_{10})^2 + (dx_{11})^2 + (dx_{12})^2 + (dx_{13})^2 + (dx_{14})^2 + (dx_{15})^2 + (dx_{16})^2,$$

and degenerate metric  $g' = (dy_3)^2 + (dy_4)^2 + (dy_5)^2 + (dy_6)^2 + (dy_7)^2 + (dy_8)^2$ , where  $x_1, \ldots, x_{16}$  and  $y_1, \ldots, y_8$  are the canonical coordinates on  $\mathbb{R}^{16}$  and  $\mathbb{R}^8$ , respectively. Let us define the map  $f : (\mathbb{R}^{16}, g) \to (\mathbb{R}^8, g')$  as

$$(x_1, \dots, x_{16}) \longmapsto \left(x_1 + x_3, \ x_2 + x_4, \ \frac{x_5 - x_{11}}{\sqrt{2}}, \ \frac{x_6 - x_{12}}{\sqrt{2}}, \\ \frac{x_7 + x_9}{\sqrt{2}}, \ \frac{x_8 + x_{10}}{\sqrt{2}}, \ x_{14}, \ x_{16}\right).$$

Then, we obtain

$$Ker f_* = Span \Big\{ U_1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3}, \ U_2 = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_4}, \ U_3 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \Big( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_5} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{11}} \Big), \\ U_4 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \Big( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_6} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{12}} \Big), \ U_5 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \Big( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_7} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_9} \Big), \\ U_6 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \Big( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_8} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{10}} \Big), \ U_7 = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{13}}, \ U_8 = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{15}} \Big\},$$

and

$$(Kerf_*)^{\perp} = Span\Big\{U_1, \ U_2, \ V_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_5} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{11}}\Big), \ V_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_6} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{12}}\Big), \\ V_3 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_7} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_9}\Big), \ V_4 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_8} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{10}}\Big), \ V_5 = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{14}}, \ V_6 = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{16}}\Big\},$$

Since  $JU_1 = U_2$ . So  $\Delta = Span\{U_1, U_2\}$  is invariant with respect to J. It follows that f is a 2-lightlike submersion. Also,  $JU_7 = V_5$  and  $JU_8 = V_6$  implies that  $D_1 = Span\{U_7, U_8\}$  is anti-invariant. Finally, since  $JU_3 = U_4$  and  $JU_5 = U_6$ ,  $D_2 = Span\{U_3, U_4, U_5, U_6\}$  is slant with slant angle zero, i.e.,  $D_2$  is invariant. Hence, f is a proper SCR lightlike submersion.

For any  $U \in \Gamma(Ker f_*)$ , we assume that

$$JU = \phi U + FU. \tag{15}$$

Here  $\phi U$  and FU are tangential and normal components of JU respectively. Now, let  $\phi_1, \phi_2$  and  $\phi_3$  denotes the projections of  $Ker f_*$  on  $\Delta$ ,  $D_1$  and  $D_2$ , respectively. Also, denote the projections of  $tr(Ker f_*)$  on  $ltr(Ker f_*)$ ,  $JD_1$  and D' by  $Q_1, Q_2$ and  $Q_3$ , respectively. Here D' is non-null orthogonal complementary distribution of  $JD_1$  in  $S(Ker f_*)^{\perp}$ . Then, for any vector field U tangent to  $Ker f_*$ , we have

$$U = \phi_1 U + \phi_2 U + \phi_3 U. \tag{16}$$

Above equation gives  $JU = J\phi_1 U + J\phi_2 U + J\phi_3 U$ , which implies

$$JU = J\phi_1 U + J\phi_2 U + \psi\phi_3 U + F\phi_3 U,$$
(17)

where  $\psi \phi_3 U$  and  $F \phi_3 U$  denotes the tangential and normal components of  $J \phi_3 U$ , respectively. Therefore,  $J \phi_1 U \in \Gamma(\Delta)$ ,  $J \phi_2 U \in \Gamma(D_1)$ ,  $\psi \phi_3 U \in \Gamma(D_2)$  and  $F \phi_3 U \in \Gamma(S(Ker f_*)^{\perp})$ . Further, for any vector field W tangent to  $tr(Ker f_*)$ , we put

$$W = Q_1 W + Q_2 W + Q_3 W, (18)$$

which gives  $JW = JQ_1W + JQ_2W + JQ_3W$ . Then, we have

$$JW = JQ_1W + JQ_2W + BQ_3W + CQ_3W,$$
(19)

where  $BQ_3W$  and  $CQ_3W$  denotes the tangential and normal components of  $JQ_2W$ , respectively. Here  $JQ_1W \in \Gamma(ltr(Ker f_*))$ ,  $JQ_2W \Gamma(D_1)$ ,  $BQ_3W \in \Gamma(D_2)$  and  $CQ_3W \in \Gamma(D')$ . Now, from (2), (9), (11) and (16)-(19) and identifying the components of  $\Delta$ ,  $D_1$ ,  $D_2$ ,  $ltr(Ker f_*)$ ,  $JD_1$  and D', we have

$$\nabla_U^{*\perp} J\phi_1 V + \phi_1 (T_U J\phi_2 V) + \phi_1 (\hat{\nabla}_U \psi \phi_3 V) + \phi_1 (T_U F\phi_3 V) = J\phi_1 (\hat{\nabla}_U V), \quad (20)$$

$$\phi_2(T_U^*J\phi_1V) + \phi_2(T_UJ\phi_2V) + \phi_2(T_UF\phi_3V) + \phi_2(\hat{\nabla}_U\psi\phi_3V) = JQ_2T_U^sV, \quad (21)$$

$$\phi_3(T_U^*J\phi_1V) + \phi_3(T_UJ\phi_2V) + \phi_3(T_UF\phi_3V) + \phi_3(\hat{\nabla}_U\psi\phi_3V) = \psi\phi_3(\hat{\nabla}_UV) + BQ_3T_U^sV$$
(22)

$$T_{U}^{l}J\phi_{1}V + D^{\perp l}(U, J\phi_{2}V) + T_{U}^{l}\psi\phi_{3}V + D^{\perp l}(U, F\phi_{3}V) = JT_{U}^{l}V$$
(23)  
$$Q_{2}(\nabla_{U}^{\perp s}J\phi_{2}V) + Q_{2}(\nabla_{U}^{\perp s}F\phi_{3}V) + Q_{2}(T_{U}^{s}J\phi_{1}V) + Q_{2}(T_{U}^{s}\psi\phi_{3}V) = J\phi_{2}(\hat{\nabla}_{U}V)$$
(24)  
$$Q_{2}(\nabla_{U}^{\perp s}I\phi_{2}V) + Q_{2}(\nabla_{U}^{\perp s}F\phi_{3}V) + Q_{2}(T_{U}^{s}\psi\phi_{2}V) + Q_{2}(T_{U}^{s}I\phi_{2}V) = F\phi_{2}(\hat{\nabla}_{U}V)$$
(24)

$$Q_3(\nabla_U^{\perp s} J\phi_2 V) + Q_3(\nabla_U^{\perp s} F\phi_3 V) + Q_3(T_U^s \psi\phi_3 V) + Q_3(T_U^s J\phi_1 V) = F\phi_3(\hat{\nabla}_U V) + CQ_3 T_U^s V$$
(25)

Next, we give a characterization of screen pseudo-slant lightlike submersions:

**Theorem 3.8.** Let f be a 2r-lightlike submersion from an indefinite Kaehler manifold M onto a lightlike manifold B. Then, f is a screen pseudo-slant lightlike submersion if and only if

- (i)  $ltr(Ker f_*)$  is invariant with respect to J,
- (ii)  $D_1$  is anti-invariant with respect to J,
- (iii) there exists a constant  $\lambda \in (0,1]$  such that  $\phi^2 U = -\lambda U$ ,  $\forall U \in \Gamma(D_2)$ , where  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  are non-null orthogonal distributions, such that  $S(Ker f_*) = D_1 \oplus D_2$  and  $\lambda = \cos^2\theta$ ,  $\theta$  is a slant angle of  $D_2$ .

Moreover, there also exists a constant  $\kappa \in [0,1)$ , such that  $BFU = -\kappa U$ ,  $\forall U \in \Gamma(D_2)$ .

*Proof.* Let f be a screen pseudo-slant lightlike submersion from an indefinite Kaehler manifold M onto a lightlike manifold B. Then the distribution  $D_1$  is anti-invariant with respect to J. Using (1) and (17), we have

$$g(JN,U) = -g(N,JU) = -g(N,J\phi_1U + J\phi_2U + \psi\phi_3U + F\phi_3U) = 0,$$

for any  $U \in \Gamma(S(Ker f_*))$ ,  $N \in \Gamma(ltr(Ker f_*))$ . So JN does not belong to  $S(Ker f_*)$ . Now, for any  $W \in \Gamma(S(Ker f_*)^{\perp})$ , using (2.1) and (3.5) we derive

$$g(JN,W) = -g(N,JW) = -g(N,JQ_1W + JQ_2W + BQ_3W + CQ_3W) = 0,$$

which implies that JN does not belongs to  $\Gamma(S(Ker f_*)^{\perp})$ . Now, if  $JN \in \Gamma(\Delta)$ , then  $J(JN) = J^2N = -N \in \Gamma(ltr(Ker f_*))$ . But, it is absurd as  $\Delta$  is invariant with respect to J. Thus  $ltr(Ker f_*)$  is invariant with respect to J. Now, let  $U \in \Gamma(D_2)$ , then we have

$$\cos(\theta)(U) = \frac{g(JU, \phi U)}{|J(U)||\phi U|} = -\frac{g(U, \phi^2 U)}{|JU||\phi U|}$$

Also, we have

$$cos(\theta)(U) = \frac{|\phi U|}{|JU|}.$$

Thus, we obtain

$$\cos^2\theta(U) = -\frac{\hat{g}(U,\phi^2 U)}{|U|^2}.$$

Since  $\theta(U)$  is constant, we have  $\phi^2 U = -\lambda U, \lambda \in (0, 1]$ , where  $\lambda = \cos^2 \theta$ .

Now, applying J to (15) and comparing the tangential parts, we get  $-U = \phi^2 U + BFU$ ,  $\forall U \in \Gamma(D_2)$ . It gives  $BFU = -\mu U$ , where  $1 - \lambda = \mu \in [0, 1)$ . The reverse implication can be proved in a similar way.

As an immediate consequence of the above theorem, we have following lemma:

**Corollary 3.1.** Let f be a screen pseudo-slant lightlike submersion from an indefinite Kaehler manifold M onto a lightlike manifold B, with slant angle  $\theta$ . Then, for any  $U, V \in \Gamma(D_2)$ , we have

$$\hat{g}(\phi U, \phi V) = \cos^2 \theta \hat{g}(U, V), \qquad (26)$$

and

$$\hat{g}(FU, FV) = \sin^2\theta \hat{g}(U, V). \tag{27}$$

**Theorem 3.9.** Let  $f: M \to B$  be a screen pseudo-slant lightlike submersion from an indefinite Kaehler manifold M onto a lightlike manifold B. Then, the radical distribution  $\Delta$  is integrable if and only if  $\forall U, V \in \Gamma(\Delta)$ , we have

(i)  $Q_2(T_U^s J \phi_1 V) = Q_2(T_V^s J \phi_1 U),$ (ii)  $Q_3(T_U^s J \phi_1 V) = Q_3(T_V^s J \phi_1 U),$ 

(*iii*)  $\phi_3(T_U^*J\phi_1V) = \phi_3(T_V^*J\phi_1U).$ 

*Proof.* If  $U, V \in \Gamma(\Delta)$ , then using (24), we get  $Q_2(T_U^s J\phi_1 V) = J\phi_2(\hat{\nabla}_U V)$ , which implies

$$Q_2(T_U^s J\phi_1 V) - Q_2(T_V^s J\phi_1 U) = J\phi_2[U, V].$$
(28)

From (25), we have  $Q_3(T_U^s J \phi_1 V) = F \phi_3(\hat{\nabla}_U V) + C Q_3(T_U^s V)$ , which implies

$$Q_3(T_U^s J\phi_1 V) - Q_3(T_V^s J\phi_1 U) = F\phi_3[U, V].$$
(29)

Finally, using (22), we obtain  $\phi_3(T_U^*J\phi_1V) = \psi\phi_3(\ddot{\nabla}_UV) + BQ_3T_U^sV$ , which implies  $\langle a a \rangle$ 

$$\phi_3(T_U^{*}J\phi_1V) - \phi_3(T_V^{*}J\phi_1U) = \psi\phi_3[U,V], \tag{30}$$

Our assertion follows from (28), (29) and (30).

**Theorem 3.10.** Let  $f: M \to B$  be a screen pseudo-slant lightlike submersion from an indefinite Kaehler manifold M onto a lightlike manifold B. Then, the anti-invariant distribution  $D_1$  is integrable if and only if

- (i)  $\phi_1(T_U J \phi_2 V) = \phi_1(T_U J \phi_2 V),$
- (*ii*)  $\phi_3(T_U J \phi_2 V) = \phi_3(T_U J \phi_2 V),$
- (*iii*)  $Q_3(\hat{\nabla}_U^{\perp s} J \phi_2 V) = Q_3(\hat{\nabla}_V^{\perp s} J \phi_2 U),$
- for any  $U, V \in \Gamma(D_1)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $U, V \in \Gamma(D_1)$ . Using (20), we have  $\phi_1(T_U J \phi_2 V) = J \phi_1(\hat{\nabla}_U V)$ , which implies

$$\phi_1(T_U J \phi_2 V) - \phi_1(T_U J \phi_2 V) = J \phi_1[U, V].$$
(31)

From (22), we obtain  $\phi_3(T_U J \phi_2 V) = \psi \phi_3(\hat{\nabla}_U V) + B Q_3 T_U^s V$ , which gives

$$\phi_3(T_U J \phi_2 V) - \phi_3(T_U J \phi_2 V) = \psi \phi_3[U, V].$$
(32)

Finally, from (25), we get  $Q_3(\hat{\nabla}_{U}^{\perp s} J \phi_2 V) = F \phi_3(\hat{\nabla}_{U} V) + C Q_3 T_U^s V$ , which implies

$$Q_3(\hat{\nabla}_U^{\perp s} J\phi_2 V) - Q_3(\hat{\nabla}_V^{\perp s} J\phi_2 U) = F\phi_3[U, V].$$
(33)

The proof follows from (31), (32) and (33).

**Theorem 3.11.** Let  $f: M \to B$  be a screen pseudo-slant lightlike submersion from an indefinite Kaehler manifold M onto a lightlike manifold B. Then, the slant distribution  $D_2$  is integrable if and only if  $\forall U, V \in \Gamma(D_2)$ , we have

- (i)  $\phi_1(\hat{\nabla}_U\psi\phi_3V \hat{\nabla}_V\psi\phi_3U) = \phi_1(T_VF\phi_3U T_UF\phi_3V),$
- (*ii*)  $Q_2(\hat{\nabla}_U^{\perp s} F \phi_3 V \hat{\nabla}_V^{\perp s} F \phi_3 U) = Q_2(T_V^s \psi \phi_3 U T_U^s \psi \phi_3 V).$

*Proof.* Assume that  $U, V \in \Gamma(D_2)$ . Using (20), we have  $\phi_1(\hat{\nabla}_U \psi \phi_3 V) + \phi_1(T_U F \phi_3 V) =$  $J\phi_1 \hat{\nabla}_U V$ , which gives

$$\phi_1(\hat{\nabla}_U \psi \phi_3 V - \hat{\nabla}_V \psi \phi_3 U) + \phi_1(T_U F \phi_3 V - T_V F \phi_3 U) = J \phi_1[U, V].$$
(34)

In view of (24), we get  $Q_2(\hat{\nabla}_U^{\perp s} F \phi_3 V) + Q_2(T_U^s \psi \phi_3 V) = J \phi_2(\hat{\nabla}_U V)$ , which implies

$$Q_2(\hat{\nabla}_U^{\perp s} F \phi_3 V - \hat{\nabla}_V^{\perp s} F \phi_3 U) + Q_2(T_U^s \psi \phi_3 V - T_V^s \psi \phi_3 U) = J\phi_2[U, V].$$
(35)  
or (34) and (35), we have the required proof.

Using (34) and (35), we have the required proof.

**Theorem 3.12.** Let  $f: M \to B$  be a screen pseudo-slant lightlike submersion from an indefinite Kaehler manifold M onto a lightlike manifold B. Then, the induced connection  $\hat{\nabla}$  on  $S(Ker f_*)$  is a metric connection if and only if  $\forall U \in$  $\Gamma(S(Ker \ f_*))$  and  $\xi \in \Gamma(\Delta)$ , we have

- (i)  $JQ_2T_U^s\xi = 0$ , (*ii*)  $BQ_3T_U^s\xi = 0$ ,
- (iii)  $JT_U^*\xi = 0$  on  $\Gamma(Ker f_*)$ .

Proof. The induced connection  $\hat{\nabla}$  on  $S(Ker f_*)$  is a metric connection if and only if  $\Delta$  is a parallel distribution with respect to  $\hat{\nabla}$ . In view of (2), (9) and (14), we derive  $\nabla_U J\xi = JT_U^*\xi + J\nabla_U^{*\perp}\xi + JT_U^l\xi + JQ_2T_U^s\xi + BQ_3T_U^s\xi + CQ_3T_U^s\xi$ , for any  $U \in \Gamma(S(Ker f_*))$  and  $\xi \in \Gamma(\Delta)$ . Comparing the tangential components of above equation, we get  $\hat{\nabla}_U J\xi = JT_U^*\xi + J\nabla_U^{*\perp}\xi + JQ_2T_U^s\xi + BQ_3T_U^s\xi$ . Thus the proof is completed.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Barros, M. and Romero, A., Indefinite Kaehler manifolds, Math. Ann., 261, 55-62 (1982).
- [2] Chen, B. Y., Geometry of slant submanifolds, Katholieke Univ., Leuven (1990).
- [3] Duggal, K. L., Bejancu, A., Lightlike submanifolds of semi-Riemannian manifolds and applications, Kluwar Acad. Dordrecht, 364 (1996).
- [4] Duggal, K. L., Sahin, B., Differential geometry of lightlike submanifolds, Frontiers in Mathematics (2010).
- [5] Duggal, K. L., Sahin, B., Screen Cauchy Riemann lightlike submanifolds, Acta. Math., Hungar., 106(1-2), 137-165 (2005).
- [6] Falcitelli, M., Ianus, S., Pastore, A. M., Riemannian submersions and related topics, World Scientific (2004).
- [7] Falcitelli, M., Pastore, A. M., A note on almost Kaehler and Nearly Kaehler submersions, J. Geom. 69 (1), 79-87 (2000).
- [8] Gray, A., Pseudo-Riemannian almost product manifolds and submersions, J. Math. Mech. 16, 715-737 (1967).
- [9] O' Neill, B., The fundamental equations of a submersion, Michigan Mat. J., 13 (4), 459-469 (1966).
- [10] O' Neill, B., Semi Riemannian geometry with applications to relativity, Academic press, New York (1983).
- [11] Prasad, R., Shukla, S. S., Kumar, S., On quasi bi-slant submersions, Mediterr. J. Math., 16 (6), 155 (2019).
- [12] Sahin, B., Slant lightlike submanifolds of indefinite Hermitian manifolds, Balkan J. Geo. and its Appl. (BJGA), 13 (1), 107-119 (2008).
- [13] Sahin, B., Screen slant lightlike submanifolds, Int. Electronic J. of Geometry, 2 (1), 41-54 (2009).
- [14] Sahin, B., Gündüzalp, Y., Submersions from semi-Riemannian manifolds onto lightlike Manifolds, Hacct. J. Math. Stat., 39 (1), 41-53 (2010).
- [15] Sahin, B., Slant submersions from almost Hermitian manifolds, Bull. Math. Soc., Sci. Math. Roumanie, 54 (102), 93-105 (2011).
- [16] Shukla, S. S., Yadav, A., Screen pseudo-slant lightlike submanifolds of indefinite Kaehler Manifolds, Novi sad J. Math., 46 (1), 147-158 (2016).
- [17] Shukla, S. S., Omar, S., Screen cauchy Riemann lightlike submersions, J. Math. Comp. Sci. 11 (2) 2377-2402 (2021).