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#### Abstract

Let $R$ be a semiprime ring, $I$ a nonzero ideal of $R$ and $\alpha$ be an automorphism of $R$. A map $F: R \longrightarrow R$ is said to be a multiplicative (generalized) $(\alpha, 1)$-derivation associated with a map $d: R \longrightarrow R$ such that $F(x y)=$ $F(x) \alpha(y)+x d(y)$, for all $x, y \in R$. In the present paper, we shall prove that $R$ contains a nonzero central ideal if any one of the following holds: $(i) F[x, y] \pm$ $\alpha[x, y]=0,(i i) F(x \circ y) \pm \alpha(x \circ y)=0,(i i i) F[x, y]=[F(x), y]_{\alpha, 1},(i v) F[x, y]=$ $(F(x) \circ y)_{\alpha, 1},(v) F(x \circ y)=[F(x), y]_{\alpha, 1}$ and $(v i) F(x \circ y)=(F(x) \circ y)_{\alpha, 1}$, for all $x, y \in I$. Key words and Phrases: Semiprime rings, Multiplicative (generalized) ( $\alpha, 1$ )derivations, Ideal.


## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let $R$ be an associative ring with center $Z$. For any $x, y \in R$, the symbol $[x, y]$ stands for the commutator $x y-y x$ and symbol $x \circ y$ denotes for the anticommutator $x y+y x$. Recall, a ring $R$ is prime ring if $x R y=0$ implies $x=0$ or $y=0$ and $R$ is semiprime ring if $x R x=0$ implies $x=0$. Let $\alpha$ and $\beta$ be automorphisms of $R$. For any $x, y \in R,[x, y]_{\alpha, \beta}=x \alpha(y)-\beta(y) x$ and $(x \circ y)_{\alpha, \beta}=$ $x \alpha(y)+\beta(y) x$. By considering $\beta=1$, where 1 is an identity mapping on $R$, we have $[x, y]_{\alpha, 1}=x \alpha(y)-y x$ and $(x \circ y)_{\alpha, 1}=x \alpha(y)+y x$. An additive mapping $d: R \longrightarrow R$ is called a derivation if $d(x y)=d(x) y+x d(y)$ holds for all $x, y \in R$. The concept of a derivation was extended to generalized derivation by Bresar [2]. An additive mapping $F: R \longrightarrow R$ is said to be a generalized derivation if there exists a derivation $d: R \longrightarrow R$ such that $F(x y)=F(x) y+x d(y)$ for all $x, y \in R$.

[^0]Inspired by the work of Martindale III [11], Daif [5] introduced the concept of multiplicative derivations. Accordingly, a map $d: R \longrightarrow R$ is called a multiplicative derivation of $R$ if $d(x y)=d(x) y+x d(y)$ holds for all $x, y \in R$. Of course, these maps are not necessarily additive. Then the complete description of these maps was given by Goldman and Semrl [9]. Further, Daif and Tammam-El-Sayiad [7] extended the notion of multiplicative derivation to multiplicative generalized derivation of $R$ if $F(x y)=F(x) y+x d(y)$ holds for all $x, y \in R$, where $d$ is derivation on $R$. Recently, the definition of multiplicative generalized derivation was extended to multiplicative (generalized)-derivation by Dhara and Ali [8] as follows: a map $F: R \longrightarrow R$ (not necessarily additive) is said to be a multiplicative (generalized)derivation if $F(x y)=F(x) y+x d(y)$ holds for all $x, y \in R$, where $d$ can be any $\operatorname{map}($ not necessarily additive nor a derivation).

Chang [4] introduced the notion of a generalized $(\alpha, \beta)$-derivation of a ring $R$ and investigated some properties of such derivations. let $\alpha, \beta$ be mappings of $R$ into itself. An additive mapping $F: R \longrightarrow R$ is called a generalized $(\alpha, \beta)$ derivation of $R$ such that $F(x y)=F(x) \alpha(y)+\beta(x) d(y)$ for all $x, y \in R$ where $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are automorphisms on $R$. A mapping $F: R \longrightarrow R$ is said to be a multiplicative (generalized) ( $\alpha, \beta$ )-derivation if there exists a map $d$ on $R$ such that $F(x y)=F(x) \alpha(y)+\beta(x) d(y)$ for all $x, y \in R$. Obviously every generalized ( $\alpha, \beta$ )-derivation is a multiplicative (generalized) $(\alpha, \beta)$-derivation. In 1992, Daif [6], proved a result that if $R$ is a semiprime ring, $I$ be a non-zero ideal of $R$ and $d$ is a derivation of $R$ such that $d([x, y])= \pm[x, y]$ for all $x, y \in I$, then $I \subseteq Z(R)$. Quadri [12] extended the result of Daif by replacing derivation $d$ with a generalized derivation in a prime ring. Recently, shauliang [10] studied the identities related to generalized $(\alpha, \beta)$ derivation on prime rings. Asma Ali et al.[1] studied the identities related to multiplicative (generalized) $(\alpha, \beta)$-derivations in semiprime rings. In this line of investigation, in the present paper we shall prove that $R$ contains a non-zero central ideal if any one of the following holds: (i) $F[x, y] \pm$ $\alpha[x, y]=0,(i i) F(x \circ y) \pm \alpha(x \circ y)=0,(i i i) F[x, y]=[F(x), y]_{\alpha, 1},(i v) F[x, y]=$ $(F(x) \circ y)_{\alpha, 1},(v) F(x \circ y)=[F(x), y]_{\alpha, 1},(v i) F(x \circ y)=(F(x) \circ y)_{\alpha, 1}$, for all $x, y \in$ $I$.

Throughout the present paper, we shall make use of the following basic identities without any specific mention:
(i) $[x, y z]=y[x, z]+[x, y] z$,
(ii) $[x y, z]=[x, z] y+x[y, z]$,
(iii) $x \circ y z=(x \circ y) z-y[x, z]=y(x \circ z)+[x, y] z$,
(iv) $x y \circ z=x(y \circ z)-[x, z] y=(x \circ z) y+x[y, z]$,
(v) $[x y, z]_{\alpha, 1}=x[y, z]_{\alpha, 1}+[x, z] y=x[y, \alpha(z)]+[x, z]_{\alpha, 1} y$,
(vi) $[x, y z]_{\alpha, 1}=y[x, z]_{\alpha, 1}+[x, y]_{\alpha, 1} \alpha(z)$,
(vii) $(x \circ(y z))_{\alpha, 1}=(x \circ y)_{\alpha, 1} \alpha(z)-y[x, z]_{\alpha, 1}=y(x \circ z)_{\alpha, 1}+[x, y]_{\alpha, 1} \alpha(z)$,
(viii) $((x y) \circ z)_{\alpha, 1}=x(y \circ z)_{\alpha, 1}-[x, z] y=(x \circ z)_{\alpha, 1} y+x[y, \alpha(z)]$.

## 2. MAIN RESULTS

In order to prove our main theorems, we shall need the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1. ([13, Lemma 2.1]) Let $R$ be a semiprime ring and $I$ is a nonzero two sided ideal of $R$ and $a \in R$ such that axa $=0$ for all $x \in I$, then $a=0$.

Theorem 2.2. Let $R$ be a semiprime ring, $I$ a nonzero ideal of $R$ and $\alpha$ is an automorphism of $R$. Suppose that $F$ is multiplicative (generalized) $(\alpha, 1)$-derivation on $R$ associated with the map $d$ on $R$. If $F[x, y] \pm \alpha[x, y]=0$ holds for all $x, y \in I$, then $d$ is commuting on $I$.

Proof. By the hypothesis, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
F[x, y] \pm \alpha[x, y]=0 \text { for all } x, y \in I \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Replacing $y$ by $y x$ in (2.1), we obtain that

$$
F([x, y] x) \pm \alpha([x, y] x)=0 \text { for all } x, y \in I
$$

and so

$$
F([x, y]) \alpha(x)+[x, y] d(x) \pm \alpha([x, y]) \alpha(x)=0 \text { for all } x, y \in I .
$$

Using the hypothesis, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
[x, y] d(x)=0 \text { for all } x, y \in I \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Replacing $y$ by $r y$ in (2.2), we get

$$
r[x, y] d(x)+[x, r] y d(x)=0 \text { for all } x, y \in I, r \in R .
$$

Using (2.2), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
[x, r] y d(x)=0 \text { for all } x, y \in I, r \in R . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Replacing $y$ by $y x$ in (2.3), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
[x, r] y x d(x)=0 \text { for all } x, y \in I, r \in R . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Right multiplying (2.3) by $x$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
[x, r] y d(x) x=0 \text { for all } x, y \in I, r \in R . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Subtracting (2.4) from (2.5), we get

$$
[x, r] y[x, d(x)]=0 \text { for all } x, y \in I, r \in R .
$$

Replacing $r$ by $d(x)$ in the last equation, we have

$$
[x, d(x)] y[x, d(x)]=0 \text { for all } x, y \in I
$$

That is

$$
[x, d(x)] I[x, d(x)]=0 \text { for all } x \in I
$$

By lemma 2.1, we conclude that $[x, d(x)]=0$ for all $x \in I$. Therefore $d$ is commuting on $I$.

Theorem 2.3. Let $R$ be a semiprime ring, $I$ a nonzero ideal of $R$ and $\alpha$ is an automorphism of $R$. Suppose that $F$ is multiplicative (generalized) $(\alpha, 1)$-derivation on $R$ associated with the map $d$. If $F(x \circ y) \pm \alpha(x \circ y)=0$ holds for all $x, y \in I$, then $d$ is commuting on $I$.

Proof. By the hypothesis, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(x \circ y) \pm \alpha(x \circ y)=0 \text { for all } x, y \in I \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Replacing $y$ by $y x$ in (2.6), we obtain that

$$
F((x \circ y) x) \pm \alpha((x \circ y) x)=0 \text { for all } x, y \in I
$$

and so

$$
F((x \circ y)) \alpha(x)+(x \circ y) d(x) \pm \alpha((x \circ y)) \alpha(x)=0 \text { for all } x, y \in I
$$

Using the hypothesis, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x \circ y) d(x)=0 \text { for all } x, y \in I \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Replacing $y$ by $r y$ in (2.7), we find that

$$
r(x \circ y) d(x)+[x, r] y d(x)=0 \text { for all } x, y \in I, r \in R
$$

Using (2.7), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
[x, r] y d(x)=0 \text { for all } x, y \in I, r \in R \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the same arguments as used in the proof of Theorem 2.2, we get the required result.

Theorem 2.4. Let $R$ be a semiprime ring, $I$ a nonzero ideal of $R$ and $\alpha$ is an automorphism of $R$. Suppose that $F$ is multiplicative (generalized) $(\alpha, 1)$-derivation on $R$ associated with the map $d$. If $F[x, y]=[F(x), y]_{\alpha, 1}$ holds for all $x, y \in I$, then $d$ is commuting on $I$.

Proof. By the hypothesis, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
F[x, y]=[F(x), y]_{\alpha, 1} \text { for all } x, y \in I \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Replacing $y$ by $y x$ in (2.9), we obtain that

$$
F([x, y] x)=y[F(x), x]_{\alpha, 1}+[F(x), y]_{\alpha, 1} \alpha(x) \text { for all } x, y \in I
$$

and so

$$
F([x, y]) \alpha(x)+[x, y] d(x)=y[F(x), x]_{\alpha, 1}+[F(x), y]_{\alpha, 1} \alpha(x) \text { for all } x, y \in I
$$

Using the hypothesis, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
[x, y] d(x)=y[F(x), x]_{\alpha, 1} \text { for all } x, y \in I \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Replacing $y$ by $r y$ in (2.10), we find that

$$
r[x, y] d(x)+[x, r] y d(x)=r y[F(x), x]_{\alpha, 1} \text { for all } x, y \in I, r \in R
$$

Using (2.10), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
[x, r] y d(x)=0 \text { for all } x, y \in I, r \in R \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using similar argument as used in the proof of Theorem 2.2, we get the required result.

Theorem 2.5. Let $R$ be a semiprime ring, $I$ a nonzero ideal of $R$ and $\alpha$ is an automorphism of $R$. Suppose that $F$ is multiplicative (generalized) $(\alpha, 1)$-derivation on $R$ associated with the map d. If $F[x, y]=(F(x) \circ y)_{\alpha, 1}$ holds for all $x, y \in I$, then $d$ is commuting on $I$.

Proof. By the hypothesis, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
F[x, y]=(F(x) \circ y)_{\alpha, 1} \text { for all } x, y \in I \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Replacing $y$ by $y x$ in (2.12), we obtain that

$$
F([x, y] x)=(F(x) \circ y)_{\alpha, 1} \alpha(x)-y[F(x), x]_{\alpha, 1} \text { for all } x, y \in I
$$

and so,

$$
F([x, y]) \alpha(x)+[x, y] d(x)=(F(x) \circ y)_{\alpha, 1} \alpha(x)-y[F(x), x]_{\alpha, 1} \text { for all } x, y \in I
$$

Using the hypothesis, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
[x, y] d(x)=-y[F(x), x]_{\alpha, 1} \text { for all } x, y \in I \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Replacing $y$ by $r y$ in (2.13), we get

$$
r[x, y] d(x)+[x, r] y d(x)=-r y[F(x), x]_{\alpha, 1} \text { for all } x, y \in I, r \in R
$$

Using (2.13), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
[x, r] y d(x)=0 \text { for all } x, y \in I, r \in R . \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Arguing in the similar manner as in Theorem 2.2, we get the result.
Theorem 2.6. Let $R$ be a semiprime ring, $I$ a nonzero ideal of $R$ and $\alpha$ is an automorphism of $R$. Suppose that $F$ is multiplicative (generalized) $(\alpha, 1)$-derivation on $R$ associated with the map d. If $F(x \circ y)=[F(x), y]_{\alpha, 1}$ holds for all $x, y \in I$, then $d$ is commuting on $I$.

Proof. By the hypothesis, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(x \circ y)=[F(x), y]_{\alpha, 1} \text { for all } x, y \in I \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Replacing $y$ by $y x$ in (2.15), we obtain that

$$
F((x \circ y) x)=y[F(x), x]_{\alpha, 1}+[F(x), y]_{\alpha, 1} \alpha(x) \text { for all } x, y \in I
$$

and so

$$
F((x \circ y)) \alpha(x)+(x \circ y) d(x)=y[F(x), x]_{\alpha, 1}+[F(x), y]_{\alpha, 1} \alpha(x) \text { for all } x, y \in I
$$

Using the hypothesis, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x \circ y) d(x)=y[F(x), x]_{\alpha, 1} \text { for all } x, y \in I \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Replacing $y$ by $r y$ in (2.16), we get

$$
r(x \circ y) d(x)+[x, r] y d(x)=r y[F(x), x]_{\alpha, 1} \text { for all } x, y \in I, r \in R .
$$

Using (2.16), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
[x, r] y d(x)=0 \text { for all } x, y \in I, r \in R . \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Arguing in the similar manner as in Theorem 2.2, we get the result.
Theorem 2.7. Let $R$ be a semiprime ring, $I$ a nonzero ideal of $R$ and $\alpha$ is an automorphism of $R$. Suppose that $F$ is multiplicative (generalized) $(\alpha, 1)$-derivation on $R$ associated with the map d. If $F(x \circ y)=(F(x) \circ y)_{\alpha, 1}$ holds for all $x, y \in I$, then $d$ is commuting on $I$.

Proof. By the hypothesis, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(x \circ y)=(F(x) \circ y)_{\alpha, 1} \text { for all } x, y \in I \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Replacing $y$ by $y x$ in (2.18), we obtain that

$$
F((x \circ y) x)=(F(x) \circ y)_{\alpha, 1} \alpha(x)-y[F(x), x]_{\alpha, 1} \text { for all } x, y \in I,
$$

and so
$F((x \circ y)) \alpha(x)+(x \circ y) d(x)=(F(x) \circ y)_{\alpha, 1} \alpha(x)-y[F(x), x]_{\alpha, 1}$ for all $x, y \in I$.
Using the hypothesis, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x \circ y) d(x)=-y[F(x), x]_{\alpha, 1} \text { for all } x, y \in I . \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Replacing $y$ by $r y$ in (2.19), we find that

$$
r(x \circ y) d(x)+[x, r] y d(x)=-r y[F(x), x]_{\alpha, 1} \text { for all } x, y \in I, r \in R .
$$

Using (2.19), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
[x, r] y d(x)=0 \text { for all } x, y \in I, r \in R . \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Arguing in the similar manner as in Theorem 2.2, we get the result.

Corollary 2.8. Let $R$ be a semiprime ring. Suppose that $F, d$ is a multiplicative (generalized) ( $\alpha, 1$ )-derivation of $R$. If any one of the following holds:
(i) $F[x, y] \pm \alpha[x, y]=0$
(ii) $F(x \circ y) \pm \alpha(x \circ y)=0$
(iii) (iii) $F[x, y]=[F(x), y]_{\alpha, 1}$
(iv) $F[x, y]=(F(x) \circ y)_{\alpha, 1}$
(v) $F(x \circ y)=[F(x), y]_{\alpha, 1}$
(vi) $F(x \circ y)=(F(x) \circ y)_{\alpha, 1} \forall x, y \in R$
then $d$ is commuting on $R$.

## 3. Example

In this, we construct an example to the condition (i) of corollary 2.8 so that the semiprimeness condition of the ring is essential.
Example 1. Let $\mathbb{Z}$ be the set of integers and $R=\left\{\left.\left(\begin{array}{ll}a & b \\ 0 & c\end{array}\right) \right\rvert\, a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}, I$ $=\left\{\left.\left(\begin{array}{ll}a & b \\ 0 & c\end{array}\right) \right\rvert\, a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}$. Let us define $F, d, \alpha: R \longrightarrow R$ by $\mathrm{F}\left(\begin{array}{cc}a & b \\ 0 & c\end{array}\right)=$ $\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & -b \\ 0 & c\end{array}\right), \mathrm{d}\left(\begin{array}{cc}a & b \\ 0 & c\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & -b \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right), \alpha\left(\begin{array}{cc}a & b \\ 0 & c\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}a & -b \\ 0 & c\end{array}\right)$. It is easy to verify that $I$ is an ideal on $R, F$ is multiplicative (generalized) ( $\alpha, 1$ )-derivation associated with the map $d, \alpha$ is an automorphism on $R$. We see that $\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right) \mathrm{R}$ $\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right)$, but $\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right)$ is nonzero element of $R$. It implies that $R$ is not semiprime.
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