# $H_v$ -FIELD OF FRACTIONS AND $H_v$ -QUOTIENT RINGS

#### MANSOUR GHADIRI

### Department of Mathematics, Yazd University, Yazd, Iran, mghadiri@yazd.ac.ir

**Abstract.** A larger class of algebraic hyperstructures satisfying the ring (field)-like axioms is the class of  $H_v$ -rings ( $H_v$ -fields). In this paper, we define the  $H_v$ -integral domain and introduce the  $H_v$ -field of fractions of an  $H_v$ -integral domain. Also, the  $H_v$ -quotient ring and some relative theorems are presented. Finally, some interesting results about the  $H_v$ -rings of fractions,  $H_v$ -quotient rings and the relations between them are proved.

Key words and Phrases:  $H_v$ -integral domain,  $H_v$ -field of fractions,  $H_v$ -normal subgroup,  $H_v$ -quotient ring, fundamental relation.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

Let H be a non-empty set and  $\mathcal{P}^*(H)$  be the non-empty subsets of H. A hyperoperation on H is a mapping  $*: H \times H \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}^*(H)$ . The pair (H, \*) is called a hypergroupoid. A semi-hypergroup is a hypergroupoid with associative law: (x \* y) \* z = x \* (y \* z) for every  $x, y, z \in H$ ; and a hypergroup is a semi-hypergroup with the reproduction axiom: x \* H = H \* x = H for every  $x \in H$ . The theory of hyperstructures (hypergroup) was introduced by Marty in 1934 during the  $8^{th}$ Congress of the Scandinavian Mathematics [7]. This theory has been studied in the following decades and nowadays by many mathematicians. There are applications to the following subjects: geomemtry, hypergraphs, binary relations, lattices, fuzzy sets and rough sets. The concept of  $H_v$ -structures as a larger class than the well known hyperstructures was introduced by Vougiouklis in 1990 at Fourth Congress of AHA where the associative law was replaced by the non-empty intersections:  $(x * y) * z \cap x * (y * z) \neq \emptyset$  for every  $x, y, z \in H$ . The basic definitions and results of  $H_v$ -structures can be found in [12]. We deal with  $H_v$ -rings and  $H_v$ -fields.  $H_v$ rings are the largest class of algebraic systems that satisfy ring-like axioms. In

<sup>2020</sup> AMS Mathematics Subject Classification: 20N20 Received: 24-08-2019, accepted: 16-02-2021.

[8], Spartalis studied a wide class of  $H_v$ -rings resulting from an arbitrary ring by using the p-hyperoperations. Ghadiri, et al. introduced the concepts of direct limit and direct system of  $H_v$ -modules on an  $H_v$ -rings in [4], and n-ary  $P - H_v$ -rings in [6]. Darafsheh and Davvaz defined the  $H_v$ -ring of fractions of a commutative hyperring which is a generalization of the concept of ring of fractions in [1]. In this paper, we define a zero divisor, an  $H_v$ -integral domain and an  $H_v$ -field of fractions which are generalization of concepts. If  $x \in H$  and  $A, B \subseteq H$  then  $A * B = \bigcup_{a \in A, b \in B} a * b, \ A * x = A * \{x\}, \ x * B = \{x\} * B.$  An  $H_v$ -group His called weak-commutative if  $(x * y) \cap (y * x) \neq \emptyset$  for every  $x, y \in H$ . A nonempty subset K of H is called an  $H_v$ -subgroup if (K, \*) is an  $H_v$ -group. A triple  $(R, +, \cdot)$  is called an  $H_v$ -ring if (R, +) is an  $H_v$ -group,  $(R, \cdot)$  is a semi- $H_v$ -group and  $\cdot$  is weak distributive with respect to +, i.e.,  $(x \cdot (y+z)) \cap ((x \cdot y) + (x \cdot z)) \neq \emptyset$ and  $((x+y) \cdot z) \cap ((x \cdot z) + (y \cdot z)) \neq \emptyset$ . A mapping  $f: R_1 \longrightarrow R_2$  on  $H_v$ -rings  $(R_1, +_1, \cdot_1)$  and  $(R_2, +_2, \cdot_2)$  is called a weak homomorphism if for every  $x, y \in R_1$ we have  $(f(x+y) \cap (f(x)) + f(y)) \neq \emptyset$ ,  $f(x \cdot y) \cap (f(x) \cdot f(y)) \neq \emptyset$  and is called strong homomorphism if f(x + y) = f(x) + f(y),  $f(x \cdot y) = f(x) \cdot f(y)$ . For more definitions, results and applications on  $H_v$ -rings and  $H_v$ -modules, see [1, 3, 4, 6, 8, 10, 11, 13]. The smallest equivalence relation  $\gamma^*$  such that the quotient  $R/\gamma^*$  is a ring, is called the fundamental relation that is the transitive closure of the relation  $\gamma$  defined as follows [10]: let N be the set of natural numbers and the set of all finite polynomials of elements R over N denoted by  $U(U_R)$ . Now,

$$x\gamma y \Leftrightarrow \{x, y\} \subseteq u \in U.$$

 $a\gamma^*b$  if and only if there exist  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{m+1}$  in R such that  $x_1 = a, x_{m+1} = b$ and there exist  $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_m$  in U such that  $\{x_i, x_{i+1}\} \subseteq u_i$  for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ . Suppose  $\gamma^*(r)$  is the equivalence class containing  $r \in R$ . On  $R/\gamma^*$ , the operations  $\oplus$  and  $\odot$  is defined as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \gamma^*(x) \oplus \gamma^*(y) &= \gamma^*(c), \text{ for all } c \in \gamma^*(x) + \gamma^*(y), \\ \gamma^*(x) \odot \gamma^*(y) &= \gamma^*(d), \text{ for all } d \in \gamma^*(x) \cdot \gamma^*(y). \end{array}$$

If  $\phi: R \longrightarrow R/\gamma^*$  is the canonical map, then the kernel of  $\phi$ ,  $\omega_R = \{x \in R | \phi(x) = 0\}$  is called core of R and is denoted by  $\omega_R$ , where 0 is the identity element of the group  $(R/\gamma^*, \oplus)$ . We have  $\omega_R \oplus \gamma^*(x) = \gamma^*(x) \oplus \omega_R = \gamma^*(x)$  and

$$\gamma^*(x+y) = \gamma^*(x) \oplus \gamma^*(y), \ \gamma^*(x \cdot y) = \gamma^*(x) \odot \gamma^*(y),$$

for all  $x, y \in R$  and so the map  $\phi: R \longrightarrow R/\gamma^*$  defined by  $\phi(x) = \gamma^*(x)$  is a strong homomorphism. An  $H_v$ -ring can be commutative with respective either "+" or " $\cdot$ "; if it is in both commutative we call it commutative  $H_v$ -ring. The expression  $(x \in x \cdot u = u \cdot x)$  defines a unit element. A scalar element u is such that  $u \cdot x$  and  $x \cdot u$ are single element subsets. Thus the scalar unit u is such that  $u \cdot x = x \cdot u = \{x\}$ . A non-empty subset I of R is called an  $H_v$ -ideal if (I, +) is an  $H_v$ -subgroup of (R, +) and  $I \cdot R \subseteq I$ ,  $R \cdot I \subseteq I$ . A non-empty subset S of R is called a strong multiplicatively closed subset (s.m.c.s) if  $1 \in S$  and  $S \cdot a = a \cdot S \subseteq S$  for all  $a \in S$ . An  $H_v$ -ring is called  $H_v$ -field if it's fundamental ring  $R/\gamma^*$  is a field. The  $H_v$ -ring of fractions with relative theorems and results are presented in section 2. Then in section 3, we define the  $H_v$ -integral domain and introduce the  $H_v$ -field of fraction of an  $H_v$ -integral domain. In section 4, it is considered an  $H_v$ ideal I of an  $H_v$ -ring R and we introduce the  $H_v$ -quotient ring R/I, then find out the fundamental relation of R/I. Also, some theorems that present the relation between  $H_v$ -field of fractions and  $H_v$ -quotient ring are proved.

## 2. $H_v$ -Ring of Fractions

Throughout this paper we let R be a commutative hyperring with scalar unit 1 and S is a s.m.c.s. of R. It is denoted the operations sum and product of all rings R,  $S^{-1}R$  and quotient rings by +,  $\cdot$  and we use index for the hyperoperations with the same symbols where is any ambiguity, like  $\oplus_R$ ,  $\oplus_{S^{-1}R}$ ,  $+_R$  and  $+_{S^{-1}R}$ .

For  $A \subseteq R$  and  $B \subseteq S$ , it is denoted the set  $\{(a,b) | a \in A, b \in B\}$  by (A, B). The relation  $\sim$  is defined on  $\mathcal{P}^*(R) \times \mathcal{P}^*(S)$  as follows:

 $(A, B) \sim (C, D)$  if and only if there exists a subset X of S such that

$$X \cdot (A \cdot D) = X \cdot (B \cdot C).$$

The relation  $\sim$  is an equivalence relation on  $\mathcal{P}^*(R) \times \mathcal{P}^*(S)$ . Also, for  $(r, s), (r_1, s_1) \in R \times S$ , define  $(r, s) \sim (r_1, s_1)$  if and only if there exists  $A \subseteq S$  such that  $A \cdot (r \cdot s_1) = A \cdot (r_1 \cdot s)$ , then the relation  $\sim$  is an equivalence relation on  $R \times S$ . The equivalence class containing (r, s) in  $R \times S$  is denoted by [r, s] and the set of all the equivalence classes by  $S^{-1}R$ . The equivalence class containing (A, B) in  $\mathcal{P}^*(R) \times \mathcal{P}^*(S)$  is denoted by [R, S]. It is defined:

$$\ll A, B \gg = \bigcup_{(A_1, B_1) \in ||A, B||} \{ [a_1, b_1] | a_1 \in A_1, b_1 \in B_1 \},\$$

and so  $\ll r, s \gg = \ll r.s', s.s' \gg$ .

The set  $S^{-1}R$  with the following hyperoperation:

$$\begin{split} [r_1, s_1] \oplus [r_2, s_2] &= \bigcup_{(A,B) \in \|r_1 \cdot s_2 + r_2 \cdot s_1, s_1 \cdot s_2\|} \{ [r,s] | \ r \in A, s \in B \} \\ &= \ll r_1 \cdot s_2 + r_2 \cdot s_1, s_1 \cdot s_2 \gg, \\ [r_1, s_1] \otimes [r_2, s_2] &= \bigcup_{(A,B) \in \|r_1 \cdot r_2, s_1 \cdot s_2\|} \{ [r,s] | \ r \in A, s \in B \} \\ &= \ll r_1 \cdot r_2, s_1 \cdot s_2 \gg. \end{split}$$

is an  $H_v$ -ring, which is called the  $H_v$ -ring of fractions of R [1]. For simplicity, we denote the  $\gamma_R^*$ ,  $\gamma_{S^{-1}R}^*$  and  $U_{S^{-1}R}$  with  $\gamma^*$ ,  $\gamma_s^*$  and  $U_s$ , respectively.

# Lemma 2.1. (i) If $u \in U_R$ then $\ll u, 1 \gg \in U_S$ , (ii) For $r_1, r_2 \in R$ ; $\gamma^*(r_1) = \gamma^*(r_2)$ implies $\gamma^*_s([r_1, 1]) = \gamma^*_s([r_2, 1])$ .

*Proof.* The proofs of (i) follows from definitions. For (ii), let  $\gamma^*(r_1) = \gamma^*(r_2)$ , so there exist  $x_1, \dots, x_{m+1} \in R$  and  $u_1, \dots, u_m \in U$  such that  $\{x_i, x_{i+1}\} \subseteq u_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, m$ . So  $\{[x_i, 1], [x_{i+1}, 1]\} \subseteq \ll u_i, 1 \gg \in U_S$  and thus  $\gamma^*_s([r_1, 1]) = \gamma^*_s([r_2, 1])$ .

M. Ghadiri

This Lemma is used in the proof of the following Theorem. Also we refer to this Lemma in the next sections.

**Theorem 2.2.** [1] The following diagram is a commutative diagram of  $H_v$ -homomorphisms and  $H_v$ -rings,



where  $\varphi$  and  $\varphi_s$  are canonical maps, h(r) = [r, 1] and  $h_s(\gamma^*(r)) = \gamma^*_s([r, 1])$ .

**Corollary 2.3.** If  $r \in \omega_R$  and  $s \in S$  then  $[r, s] \in \omega_{S^{-1}R}$ .

*Proof.* For  $r \in \omega_R$  and  $h_s$  in Theorem 2.2, we have  $\gamma^*(r) = \omega_R$  and  $\gamma^*_s([r, 1]) = h_s(\gamma^*(r)) = h_s(\omega_R) = \omega_{S^{-1}R}$ , because  $h_s$  is a homomorphism of rings. So for  $r \in \omega_R$  and  $s \in S$ ,

 $\gamma_s^*([r,s]) = \gamma_s^*([r,1] \cdot [1,s]) = \gamma_s^*([r,1]) \odot \gamma_s^*([1,s]) = \omega_{S^{-1}R} \odot \gamma_s^*([1,s]) = \omega_{S^{-1}R}.$ Therefore  $[r,s] \in \omega_{S^{-1}R}.$ 

# 3. $H_v$ -Integral Domain and $H_v$ -Field of Fractions

To introduce the  $H_v$ -field of fraction of a hyperring we need to define the concepts: of an  $H_v$ -zero divisor, an  $H_v$ -integral domain and an invertible element. Also we need an extension of  $H_v$ -ideal which is called weak ideal, for completeness of  $H_v$ -fields argument.

**Lemma 3.1.**  $\omega_R$  is an  $H_v$ -ideal of R.

*Proof.* Let  $x, y \in \omega_R$  and  $r \in R$ , we have:

$$\gamma^*(x+y) = \gamma^*(x) \oplus \gamma^*(y) = \omega_R \oplus \omega_R = \omega_R.$$

So  $x+y \subseteq \omega_R$ . Also there exits  $z \in R$  such that  $y \in x+z$ . So  $\gamma^*(y) = \gamma^*(x) \oplus \gamma^*(z)$ ,  $\omega_R = \omega_R \oplus \gamma^*(z) = \gamma^*(z)$ , then  $z \in \omega_R$ On the other hand we have:

$$\gamma^*(r \cdot x) = \gamma^*(r) \odot \gamma^*(x) = \gamma^*(r) \odot \omega_R = \omega_R$$

So  $R \cdot \omega_R \subseteq \omega_R$  and similarly  $\omega_R \cdot R \subseteq \omega_R$ .

78

**Definition 3.2.** Let  $a \in R$ , a is called a zero-divisor of R if there exists  $b \in R - \omega_R$  such that  $a \cdot b \subseteq \omega_R$ . The set of all zero-divisors of R denoted by Z(R).

**Example 3.3.** For  $x \in \omega_R$  we have

 $\gamma^*(x \cdot a) = \gamma^*(x) \odot \gamma^*(a) = \omega_R \odot \gamma^*(a) = \omega_R$ , for every  $a \in R$ ,

so  $x \cdot a \subseteq \omega_R$  and  $x \in Z(R)$ . Therefore  $\omega_R \subseteq Z(R)$ .

**Definition 3.4.** A commutative hyper $(H_v)$ ring R where  $1_R \notin \omega_R$  or  $R \neq \omega_R$  is called a non-trivial hyper $(H_v)$  ring. Also, a non-trivial hyper  $(H_v)$ ring is called a hyper  $(H_v)$  integral domain if  $Z(R) = \omega_R$ .

- **Lemma 3.5.** (i) R is an  $H_v$ -integral domain if and only if  $R/\gamma^*$  is an integral domain.
  - (ii) Every  $H_v$ -field is an  $H_v$ -integral domain.
  - (iii) R is an  $H_v$ -integral domain if and only if for every  $a, b, c \in R \omega_R$ ,  $a \cdot b = a \cdot c \Rightarrow \gamma^*(b) = \gamma^*(c)$ .

Proof. (i) Suppose R is an  $H_v$ -integral domain, it is clear that  $\gamma^*(1)$  is an unit in  $R/\gamma^*$ . Since  $1_R \notin \omega_R$ , then  $\gamma^*(1) \neq \omega_R$  and  $R/\gamma^* \neq \{\omega_R\}$ . If  $\gamma^*(r) \in Z(R/\gamma^*)$  then there exists  $\gamma^*(x) \in R/\gamma^*$  such that  $\gamma^*(x) \neq \omega_R$  and  $\gamma^*(r \cdot x) = \gamma^*(r) \odot \gamma^*(x) = \omega_R$ , thus  $r \cdot x \subseteq \omega_R$ . From  $\omega_R \neq \gamma^*(x)$  we have  $x \notin \omega_R$  and since R is an  $H_v$ -integral domain,  $r \in Z(R) = \omega_R$ , so  $\gamma^*(r) = \omega_R$ . Therefore  $Z(R/\gamma^*) = \{\omega_R\}$  and  $R/\gamma^*$  is an integral domain. The converse is similarly. By using (i) the proofs of (ii) and (iii) are straightforward and omitted.

**Definition 3.6.** The element  $x \in R$  is called invertible if there exists  $y \in R$  such that  $1 \in x \cdot y$ .

**Example 3.7.** For every  $H_v$ -integral domain R;

(i) Every element of a s.m.c.s. of R is invertible,

(ii) If  $t \notin \omega_R$ , let us denote  $t^0 = 1, t^1 = t, t^2 = t \cdot t, \cdots, t^n = t \cdot t^{n-1}$  and  $S_t = \bigcup_{n \in N_0} t^n$ , then  $S_t$  is a s.m.c.s. of R.

**Theorem 3.8.** Let R be a non-trivial hyperintegral domain and  $S = R - \omega_R$ . Then  $S^{-1}R$  is an  $H_v$ -integral domain.

#### M. Ghadiri

Proof. First, we prove that  $S = R - \omega_R$  is a s.m.c.s of R. For  $x, y \in S$ ,  $x, y \notin \omega_R$ then  $\gamma^*(x) \neq \omega_R \neq \gamma^*(y)$ . By (i) of Lemma 3.5  $x \cdot y \not\subseteq \omega_R$ . Then  $x \cdot y \cap \omega_R = \emptyset$ and  $x \cdot y \subseteq R - \omega_R = S$ ; because, if  $t \in x \cdot y \cap \omega_R$ , we have  $r^*(t) = r^*(x \cdot y) = \omega_R$ and so  $x \cdot y \subseteq \omega_R$ , that it is a contradiction by  $x \cdot y \not\subseteq \omega_R$ . Also by reproduction axiom there exists  $z \in R$  such that  $x \in y.z$ . If  $z \in \omega_R$ , then  $\omega_R = \gamma^*(y) \odot \gamma^*(z) =$  $\gamma^*(y \cdot z) = \gamma^*(x)$ , that is a contradiction. So  $z \in R - \omega_R = S$ , and  $y \cdot S = S$ . Also  $1 \in R - \omega_R = S$ .

Now we show that; if  $[a, s] \cdot [b, t] \subseteq \omega_{S^{-1}R}$ , then [a, s] or [b, t] is in  $\omega_{S^{-1}R}$ .  $[a, s] \cdot [b, t] \subseteq \omega_{S^{-1}R}$  implies  $\omega_{S^{-1}R} = \gamma_s^*([c, d])$  for  $c \in \gamma^*(a \cdot b)$ ,  $d \in \gamma^*(s \cdot t)$ . For  $c \in \gamma^*(a \cdot b)$ , we consider two cases;  $c \in \omega_R$  and  $c \notin \omega_R$ . The second case is not possible. Because if  $c \notin \omega_R$ ,  $c \in R - \omega_R = S$  and  $\omega_{S^{-1}R} = \gamma_s^*([c, d]) \odot \gamma_s^*([d, c]) = \gamma_s^*([c, d] \cdot [d, c]) = \gamma_s^*([t, t])$ , for  $t \in \gamma^*(c \cdot d)$ .

For t' as an invertible of t we have

$$\gamma_s^*([1,1]) = \gamma_s^*([t' \cdot t'] \cdot [t,t]) = \gamma_s^*([t' \cdot t']) \odot \gamma_s^*([t,t]) = \omega_{S^{-1}R},$$

that is a contradiction and so  $c \in \omega_R$ .

If  $c \in \omega_R$ , then  $\gamma^*(a \cdot b) = \gamma^*(c) = \omega_R$  and  $a \cdot b \subseteq \omega_R$ , so  $a \in \omega_R$  or  $b \in \omega_R$ , since R is hyperintegral domain, therefore by corollary 2.3,  $[a, s] \in \omega_{S^{-1}R}$  or  $[b, t] \in \omega_{S^{-1}R}$  and  $S^{-1}R$  is an  $H_v$ -integral domain.

## **Lemma 3.9.** Let R be an $H_v$ -ring, then

- (i)  $x \in R$  is invertible if and only if  $\gamma^*(x)$  is invertible in  $R/\gamma^*$ ,
- (ii) R is an  $H_v$ -field if and only if every element of  $R \omega_R$  is invertible,
- (iii) if R is an  $H_v$ -integral domain then  $a, b \in R \omega_R$  if and only if  $a \cdot b \nsubseteq \omega_R$ .

*Proof.* The proof follows from definitions and (i) of Lemma 3.5 immediately.  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 3.10.** Let R be a hyper integral domain with scalar unit. If  $S = R - \omega_R$ then  $(S^{-1}R, \oplus, \otimes)$  is an  $H_v$ -field. This  $H_v$ -field is called the  $H_v$ -field of fractions of R.

Proof. By Lemma 3.9  $S^{-1}R$  is an  $H_v$ -integral domain and an  $H_v$ -ring of fractions. So by Lemma 3.9 it is enough to prove  $S^{-1}R$  has unit element and every element of  $S^{-1}R - \omega_{S^{-1}R}$  is invertible. We know that  $[a,b] \in \ll a, b \gg = \ll 1 \cdot a, 1 \cdot b \gg = \bigcup_{(A,B) \in ||1\cdot a, 1\cdot b||} \{[x,y]| \ x \in A, y \in B\} = [1,1] \otimes [a,b]$ . By corollary 2.3 and (iii) of Lemma 3.9, if  $[a,b] \in S^{-1}R - \omega_{S^{-1}R}$  then  $a, b \notin \omega_R$  and  $a \cdot b \notin \omega_R$ . So  $[b,a] \in S^{-1}R$ . Then  $[1,1] \in \ll 1, 1 \gg = \ll a \cdot b, a \cdot b \gg = [a,b] \otimes [b,a]$ . Therefore, [a,b] is invertible and  $S^{-1}R$  is an  $H_v$ -field. **Definition 3.11.** A subset L of  $H_v$ -ring R is called weak-ideal (w-ideal) of R if  $\gamma^*(L)$  is an ideal of  $R/\gamma^*$ .

**Lemma 3.12.** Let R be an  $H_v$ -ring with fundamental relation  $\gamma^*$  and I be an  $H_v$ -ideal of R, then  $\gamma^*(I)$  is an ideal of  $R/\gamma^*$ .

*Proof.* If  $\gamma^*(x), \gamma^*(y) \in \gamma^*(I)$  then there exist  $i_1, i_2 \in I$  such that

$$\gamma^*(x) = \gamma^*(i_1), \ \gamma^*(y) = \gamma^*(i_2).$$

So,  $\gamma^*(x) \oplus \gamma^*(y) = \gamma^*(i_1) \oplus \gamma^*(i_2) = \gamma^*(i)$  for some  $i \in i_1 + i_2$ . Thus  $\gamma^*(x) \oplus \gamma^*(y) \in \gamma^*(I)$ .

For associativity law, let  $\gamma^*(x), \gamma^*(y), \gamma^*(z) \in \gamma^*(I)$ . We have:

$$\begin{split} \gamma^*(x) \oplus (\gamma^*(y) \oplus \gamma^*(z)) &= \gamma^*(x + (y + z)), \\ (\gamma^*(x) \oplus \gamma^*(y)) \oplus \gamma^*(z) &= \gamma^*((x + y) + z). \end{split}$$

Since I is an  $H_v$ -group, we have  $(x + (y + z)) \cap ((x + y) + z) \neq \emptyset$ . On the other hand, the left sides of above equations are single, so we obtain:

$$\gamma^*(x) \oplus (\gamma^*(y) \oplus \gamma^*(z)) = (\gamma^*(x) \oplus \gamma^*(y)) \oplus \gamma^*(z).$$

Suppose  $\gamma^*(x) = \gamma^*(i_1) \in \gamma^*(I)$  where  $i_1 \in I$ . By reproduction axiom of I there exists an  $i \in I$  such that  $i_1 \in i_1 + i$ . Thus  $\gamma^*(i_1) = \gamma^*(i_1) \oplus \gamma^*(i)$  and  $\omega_R = \gamma^*(i) \in \gamma^*(I)$  and so  $\gamma^*(I)$  has zero element. If  $\gamma^*(y) = \gamma^*(i_2) \in \gamma^*(I)$  where  $i_2 \in I$ , there exists  $i_3 \in I$  such that  $i \in i_2 + i_3$ . So  $\omega_R = \gamma^*(i) = \gamma^*(i_2) \oplus \gamma^*(i_3)$  and  $\gamma^*(i_3)$  is the inverse of  $\gamma^*(i_2)$  in  $\gamma^*(I)$ . So  $\gamma^*(I)$  is a subgroup of  $R/\gamma^*$ . Finally, since I is an  $H_v$ -ideal of R, for every  $r \in R$  we have  $\gamma^*(r) \odot \gamma^*(I) = \gamma^*(r \cdot I) \in \gamma^*(I)$ . Therefore  $\gamma^*(I)$  is an ideal of  $R/\gamma^*$ .

**Example 3.13.** (i) Every  $H_v$ -ideal is w-ideal,(ii) For  $a \in R$ ,  $Ra = \bigcup_{r \in R} r \cdot a$  is a w-ideal, that is not  $H_v$ -ideal necessary.

**Example 3.14.** By Theorem 3.2.2 of [12], if (H, +) be an  $H_v$ -group, then for every hyperoperation " $\cdot$ " such that  $\{x, y\} \subset x \cdot y$  for every  $x, y \in H$ , the hyperstructure  $(H, +, \cdot)$  is an  $H_v$ -ring. So  $R = \{a, b, c, d\}$  with the following hyperoperations is an  $H_v$ -ring:

	+	a	b	c	d			•	a	b	c	d		
	a	a,b	a, b	С	d			a	a	a,b	a, c	a,d		
	b	a,b	a, b	c	d			b	a,b	b	b,c	b,d		
	c	c	c	d	a, b			c	a, c	b,c	c	c,d		
	d	d	d	a, b	c			d	a,d	b,d	c,d	d		
Now $I = \{a$	, b, c	} is a	w-id	eal ( $\gamma$	*(I) =	= R) b	ut it i	s n c	ot an	$H_v$ -id	leal (c	c + c =	= d ∉	έI).

**Definition 3.15.** The  $H_v$ -ring R is called strong  $H_v$ -ring  $(s-H_v-ring)$  if every w-

**Definition 3.15.** The  $H_v$ -ring R is called strong  $H_v$ -ring (s- $H_v$ -ring) if ever ideal of R be an  $H_v$ -ideal of R. **Theorem 3.16.** The non-trivial s- $H_v$ -ring R is an  $H_v$ -field if and only if R and  $\omega_R$  are only w-ideals of R.

*Proof.* Suppose R and  $\omega_R$  are only w-ideals of R, we show that every element of  $R - \omega_R$  is invertible. For  $a \in R - \omega_R$  we consider the w-ideal  $R \cdot a$ . If  $R \cdot a = \omega_R$  then  $\{a\} = 1 \cdot a \subset R \cdot a = \omega_R$  that is contradiction. So  $R \cdot a \neq \omega_R$  and  $R \cdot a = R$ . Thus  $1 \in R \cdot a$  *i.e.*  $1 \in x \cdot a$  for some  $x \in R$ . Therefore, a is invertible and by Lemma 3.9 R is an  $H_v$ -field.

Conversely, suppose R is an  $H_v$ -field *i.e.*  $R/\gamma^*$  is a field and every element of  $R - \omega_R$  is invertible. Let L be a w-ideal of R such that  $\omega_R \subseteq L$ . Suppose  $a \in L - \omega_R$ , then  $a \in R - \omega_R$  and there exists  $b \in R$  such that  $1 \in a \cdot b \subseteq R \cdot a \subseteq L$ (since R is a s- $H_v$ -ring, every w-ideal is an  $H_v$ -ideal and so  $R \cdot a \subseteq L$ ), so L = R. Therefore, R and  $\omega_R$  are only w-ideals of R.

**Example 3.17.** Every  $H_v$ -field is a s- $H_v$ -ring. The  $H_v$ -ring R in the Example 3.14 is not s- $H_v$ -ring.

# 4. $H_v$ -Quotient Ring

In this section, we build the quotient  $H_v$ -ring by using  $\gamma^*$ , the fundamental relation of ring.

**Theorem 4.1.** Let  $(R, +, \cdot)$  be a commutative  $H_v$ -ring and I be an  $H_v$ -ideal of R. Define the hyperoperations + and  $\times$  on  $R/I = \{r + I | r \in R\}$  as the following:

$$\begin{array}{ll} (r+I)+(r^{'}+I) &= \{x+I \mid x \in \gamma^{*}(r+r^{'}+I)\} = \gamma^{*}(r+r^{'}+I)+I, \\ (r+I) \times (r^{'}+I) &= \{x+I \mid x \in \gamma^{*}((r\cdot r^{'})+I)\} = \gamma^{*}(r\cdot r^{'}+I)+I. \end{array}$$

then  $(R/I, +, \times)$  is an  $H_v$ -ring, this is called  $H_v$ -quotient ring R on I.

Proof. We show that "×" is a well defined hyperoperation. First note that  

$$\gamma^*(r_1 \cdot r_2) \oplus \gamma^*(I) = \{\gamma^*(r_1 \cdot r_2) \oplus \gamma^*(i) | i \in I\}$$

$$= \{\gamma^*(r_1 \cdot r_2 + i) | i \in I\}$$

$$= \gamma^*(r_1 \cdot r_2 + I).$$

Suppose  $r_1 + I = r'_1 + I$  and  $r_2 + I = r'_2 + I$  then  $\gamma^*(r_i) \oplus \gamma^*(I) = \gamma^*(r'_i) \oplus \gamma^*(I)$ for i = 1, 2 and they are elements of ordinary quotient ring  $\frac{R/\gamma^*}{\gamma^*(I)}$ . Thus

$$(\gamma^*(r_1) \oplus \gamma^*(I)) \otimes (\gamma^*(r_2) \oplus \gamma^*(I)) = (\gamma^*(r'_1) \oplus \gamma^*(I)) \otimes (\gamma^*(r'_2) \oplus \gamma^*(I)) (\gamma^*(r_1) \otimes \gamma^*(r_2)) \oplus \gamma^*(I)) = (\gamma^*(r'_1) \otimes \gamma^*(r'_2)) \oplus \gamma^*(I)) \gamma^*(r_1 \cdot r_2) \oplus \gamma^*(I) = \gamma^*(r'_1 \cdot r'_2) \oplus \gamma^*(I) \gamma^*(r_1 \cdot r_2 + I) = \gamma^*(r'_1 \cdot r'_2 + I) \gamma^*(r_1 \cdot r_2 + I) + I = \gamma^*(r'_1 \cdot r'_2 + I) + I (r_1 + I) \times (r_2 + I) = (r'_1 + I) \times (r'_2 + I).$$

One can similarly investigate the other axioms in order to R/I is an  $H_v$ -ring.

**Proposition 4.2.** If I and J are  $H_v$ -ideals of the  $H_v$ -ring R and  $\gamma^*(J) \subseteq I$ , then  $\frac{I}{J}$  is an  $H_v$ -ideal of  $\frac{R}{J}$ .

Proof. If  $i_1, i_2 \in I$ , then  $(i_1 + J) + (i_2 + J) = \{x + I \mid x \in \gamma^*(i_1 + i_2 + J)\} \subseteq \frac{I}{J}$ . Let  $x + J, y + J \in \frac{I}{J}$  by the reproduction axiom for I, there exists  $z \in I$  such that  $x \in y + z$ , so  $x + J \in (y + J) + (z + J)$ . Now for every  $r + J \in \frac{R}{J}$  and  $i + J \in \frac{I}{J}$  we have  $(r + J) \times (i + J) = \{x + J \mid x \in \gamma^*((r \cdot i) + J)\} \subseteq \frac{I}{J}$ , since I is an  $H_v$ -ideal. Similarly  $(i + J) \times (r + J) \subseteq \frac{I}{J}$ . Therefore  $\frac{I}{J}$  is an  $H_v$ -ideal of  $\frac{R}{J}$ .

**Definition and Lemma 4.3.** [1] An  $H_v$ -ideal I is called an  $H_v$ -isolated ideal if it satisfies the following axiom:

For all 
$$X \subseteq I$$
,  $Y \subseteq S$  if  $(M, N) \in ||X, Y||$ , then  $M \subseteq I$ .

For  $H_v$ -isolated ideal I of R,  $S^{-1}I = \{[a, s] | a \in I, s \in S\}$  is an  $H_v$ -ideal of  $S^{-1}R$ .

So, if I is an  $H_v$ -isolated ideal of R, then  $\frac{S^{-1}R}{S^{-1}I}$  is an  $H_v$ -ring. Now by the following Lemma, we build a commutative diagram that relate the  $H_v$ -quotient rings and the  $H_v$ -ring of fractions.

It is straightforward to see that every element of  $U_{R/I}$  is of the form  $\gamma^*(u_i + I) + I$  for  $u_i \in U$ . So every expression of finite hyperoperations applied on finite subsets of R/I is equal to  $\gamma^*(u+I) + I$  for some  $u \in U$ .

**Lemma 4.4.** If  $\gamma^*$  and  $\gamma_I^*$  are the fundamental relations of  $H_v$ -rings R and R/I respectively, then  $\gamma_I^*(r_1 + I) = \gamma_I^*(r_2 + I)$  if and only if  $\gamma^*(r_1 + I) = \gamma^*(r_2 + I)$ .

*Proof.* For some  $r_1, r_2 \in R$ , suppose  $\gamma_I^*(r_1 + I) = \gamma_I^*(r_2 + I)$  then there exist  $u_1, u_2, \cdots, u_m \in U$  and  $x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{m+1} \in R$  such that

$$x_1 + I = r_1 + I, \ x_{m+1} + I = r_2 + I,$$
  
$$\{x_i + I, x_{i+1} + I\} \subseteq u_i + I \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \cdots, m$$

Thus

$$\gamma^*(x_1) \oplus \gamma^*(I) = \gamma^*(r_1) \oplus \gamma^*(I), \ \gamma^*(x_{m+1}) \oplus \gamma^*(I) = \gamma^*(r_2) \oplus \gamma^*(I),$$
  
$$\{\gamma^*(x_1) \oplus \gamma^*(I), \gamma^*(x_{i+1}) \oplus \gamma^*(I)\} \subseteq \gamma^*(u_i + I) \oplus \gamma^*(I) \text{ for } u_i \in U.$$

Let for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ ,  $u_i \in \sum^{n_i} [r_{i1} \cdots r_{ik_i} \cdot \sum^{j_k} u_{ij}]$  where  $u_{ij} \in R$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, j_k$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n_i$ . Note that in this combination for  $u_i$  the order of hyperoperation omitted because this order is not important in  $\gamma^*(u_i)$ . Now by properties of fundamental relation, we have

$$\gamma^*(u_i) = \bigoplus^{n_i} [\gamma^*(r_{i_1}) \odot \cdots \odot \gamma^*(r_{i_k}) \odot (\oplus^{j_k} \gamma^*(u_{i_j}))] = \gamma^*(t_i) \text{ for every } t_i \in u_i.$$

Since  $\gamma^*(I)$  is an ideal of  $\gamma^*(R)$  then  $\gamma^*(x_i) + \gamma^*(I)$ ,  $\gamma^*(u_i) \oplus \gamma^*(I)$  and  $\gamma^*(t_i) + \gamma^*(I)$ are cosets of  $\gamma^*(I)$  in  $R/\gamma^*$ , thus

$$\gamma^*(x_i) \oplus \gamma^*(I) = \gamma^*(x_{i+1}) \oplus \gamma^*(I) = \gamma^*(t_i) \oplus \gamma^*(I) \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \cdots, m.$$

Therefore  $\gamma^*(r_1) \oplus \gamma^*(I) = \gamma^*(r_2) \oplus \gamma^*(I)$ .

Conversely, if  $\gamma^*(r_1) \oplus \gamma^*(I) = \gamma^*(r_2) \oplus \gamma^*(I)$  then  $\gamma^*(r_1+I) = \gamma^*(r_2+I)$ . So for every  $s_1 \in r_1 + I$  there exists  $s_2 \in r_2 + I$  such that  $\gamma^*(s_1) = \gamma^*(s_2)$ . Thus there exist  $x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{m+1} \in I$ ,  $u_1, u_2, \cdots, u_m \in U$  such that  $x_1 = s_1, x_{m+1} = s_2$  and  $\{x_i, x_{i+1}\} \subseteq u_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, \cdots, m$ . Thus  $x_1 + I = s_1 + I$ ,  $x_{m+1} + I = s_2 + I$ ,  $\{x_i + I, x_{i+1} + I\} \subseteq u_i + I$  for  $i = 1, 2, \cdots, m$ . By definition of  $\gamma^*_I$ , we conclude that  $\gamma^*_I(s_1 + I) = \gamma^*_I(s_2 + I)$  and so  $\gamma^*_I(r_1 + I) = \gamma^*_I(r_2 + I)$ .

**Theorem 4.5.** Let I be an  $H_v$ -isolated ideal of R. Then the following diagram of  $H_v$ -homomorphisms and  $H_v$ -rings are commutative.



*Proof.* We prove that the left, up and front faces diagrams of cube are commutative diagrams of  $H_v$ -homomorphisms and  $H_v$ -rings. The left face diagram is the diagram in Theorem 2.2. For front face diagram we define the mappings in the diagram as the following; f by f(r) = r + I, h by h(r) = [r, 1],  $\bar{h}$  by  $\bar{h}(r + I) = [r, 1] + S^{-1}I$  and  $f_s$  by  $f_s([r,s]) = [r,s] + S^{-1}I$ . By the proof of Theorem 2.2, h is an  $H_v$ -homomorphisms. It is easy to see that f and  $f_s$  are  $H_v$ -homomorphisms. Now we have

$$\bar{h}((r_1+I)+(r_2+I)) = \bar{h}(\{x+I \mid x \in \gamma^*(r_1+r_2+I)\}) 
= \{[x,1]+S^{-1}I \mid x \in \gamma^*(r_1+r_2+I)\},$$

84

and

$$\begin{split} \bar{h}(r_1+I) + \bar{h}(r_2+I) &= [r_1, 1] + S^{-1}I + [r_2, 1] + S^{-1}I \\ &= \{ [x, s] + S^{-1}I | \ [x, s] \in \gamma_S^*([r_1, 1] + [r_2, 1] + S^{-1}I) \} \\ &= \left\{ [x, s] + S^{-1}I | \ [x, s] \in \gamma_S^*([r, 1] + S^{-1}I), \\ &r \in \gamma_S^*(r_1+r_2) \right\}. \end{split}$$

By setting  $x = r \in r_1 + r_2$  and s = 1 we have

$$[r,s] + S^{-1}I \in \bar{h}((r_1+I) + (r_2+I)) \cap (\bar{h}(r_1+I) + \bar{h}(r_2+I)) \neq \emptyset.$$

Similarly we obtain  $\bar{h}((r_1 + I) \times (r_2 + I)) \cap (\bar{h}(r_1 + I) \times \bar{h}(r_2 + I)) \neq \emptyset$ . Finally, for commutativity, for every  $r \in R$  we have:

$$\bar{h}(f(r)) = \bar{h}(r+I) = [r,1] + S^{-1}I,$$
  
 $f_s(h(r)) = f_s([r,1]) = [r,1] + S^{-1}I.$ 

In the up face diagram;  $\varphi$  and  $\bar{\varphi}$  are the canonical strong homomorphisms of R and R/I related to fundamental ring  $R/\gamma^*$  and  $\frac{R}{I}/\gamma^*_I$ , respectively. Define  $\bar{f}$  by  $\bar{f}(\gamma^*(r)) = \gamma^*_I(r+I)$ . For  $r_1, r_2 \in R$ , we have:

$$\begin{split} \gamma^*(r_1) &= \gamma^*(r_2) \quad \Rightarrow \quad \gamma^*(r_1) + \gamma^*(I) = \gamma^*(r_2) + \gamma^*(I) \\ &\Rightarrow \quad \gamma^*(r_1 + I) = \gamma^*(r_2 + I) \\ &\Rightarrow \quad \gamma^*_I(r_1 + I) = \gamma^*_I(r_2 + I), \ by \ Lemma \ 4.4 \end{split}$$

Therefore,  $\bar{f}$  is well defined. Also

$$\bar{f}(\gamma^*(r_1) + \gamma^*(r_2)) = \bar{f}(\gamma^*(r_1 + r_2)) 
= \bar{f}(\gamma^*(t)) = \gamma_I^*(t+I), \text{ for some } t \in r_1 + r_2.$$
(1)

On the other hand

$$\bar{f}(\gamma^*(r_1)) + \bar{f}(\gamma^*(r_2)) = \gamma_I^*(r_1 + I) + \gamma_I^*(r_2 + I) \\
= \gamma_I^*(t + I), \text{ for some } t \in \gamma^*(r_1 + r_2 + I).$$
(2)

Since  $r_1 + r_2 \subseteq \gamma^*(r_1 + r_2) \subseteq \gamma^*(r_1 + r_2 + I)$ , the statements in (1) and (2) are equal and  $\bar{f}$  is a strong homomorphism. Also  $\bar{\varphi}(f(r)) = \bar{\varphi}(r+I) = \gamma_I^*(r+I)$  and  $\bar{f}(\varphi(r)) = \bar{f}(\gamma^*(r)) = \gamma_I^*(r+I)$ .

The diagram in other faces get from discussed diagrams by replacing R/I,  $S^{-1}R$ ,  $S^{-1}I$ ,  $\gamma_s^*$ ,  $\gamma_{Is}^*$  instead of  $R, R, I, \gamma^*, \gamma_I^*$ , respectively and so these diagrams are commutative diagrams of  $H_v$ -homomorphisms and  $H_v$ -rings.

**Theorem 4.6.** Let I and J be  $H_v$ -ideals of  $H_v$ -rings R such that  $I \subseteq L \subseteq R$  then

- (i) L/I is a w-ideal of R/I,
- (ii)  $\gamma_I^*(\frac{L}{I}) \cong \frac{\gamma^*(L)}{\gamma^*(I)}$ .

*Proof.* (i) We know  $\frac{L}{I} = \{l + I | l \in L\}, \ \gamma_I^*(\frac{L}{I}) = \{\gamma_I^*(l + I) | l \in L\}$ . Suppose  $l_1 + I, l_2 + I \in \frac{L}{I}$  and  $r + I \in \frac{R}{I}$ , we show that

$$\gamma_I^*(l_1+I) \oplus \gamma_I^*(l_2+I) \in \gamma_I^*(\frac{L}{I}) \text{ and } \gamma_I^*(r+I) \otimes \gamma_I^*(l_1+I) \in \gamma_I^*(\frac{L}{I}).$$

For  $t \in \gamma^*(l_1 + l_2 + I)$  we have

$$\gamma^*(t) \in \gamma^*(l_1 + l_2 + I) = \gamma^*(l_1 + l_2) \oplus \gamma^*(I)$$

and

 $\gamma^*(t+I) = \gamma^*(t) \oplus \gamma^*(I) = \gamma^*(l_1+l_2) \oplus \gamma^*(I) = \gamma^*(l) \oplus \gamma^*(I), \text{ where } l \in l_1+l_2.$ Thus for every  $t \in \gamma^*(l_1+l_2+I)$  and  $l \in l_1+l_2$ :

$$\begin{array}{lll} \gamma^*(t+I) &=& \gamma^*(l+I),\\ \gamma^*_I(t+I) &=& \gamma^*_I(l+I), \ by \ Lemma \ 4.4. \end{array}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{split} \gamma_I^*(l_1+I) \oplus \gamma_I^*(l_2+I) &= \gamma_I^*(t+I), \ for \ some \ t \in \gamma^*(l_1+l_2+I) \\ &= \gamma_I^*(l+I), \ for \ some \ l \in l_1+l_2 \\ &\in \quad \gamma_I^*(\frac{L}{I}). \end{split}$$

And by similar argument, we conclude:

$$\gamma_I^*(r+I) \otimes \gamma_I^*(l_1+I) \in \gamma_I^*(\frac{L}{I}).$$

(ii) Define  $\theta : \gamma_I^*(\frac{L}{I}) \longrightarrow \frac{\gamma^*(L)}{\gamma^*(I)}$  by  $\theta(\gamma_I^*(l+I)) = \gamma^*(l) \oplus \gamma^*(I)$ . By Lemma 4.4,  $\theta$  is an one to one mapping. Let  $l_1 + I, l_2 + I \in \frac{L}{I}$ , we have

$$\begin{split} \theta(\gamma_{I}^{*}(l_{1}+I)\oplus\gamma_{I}^{*}(l_{2}+I)) &= \theta(\gamma_{I}^{*}[(l_{1}+I)+(l_{2}+I)]) \\ &= \theta(\gamma_{I}^{*}[\gamma^{*}(l_{1}+l_{2}+I)+I]) \\ &= \theta(\gamma_{I}^{*}(x+I)), \ for \ some \ x \in \gamma^{*}(l_{1}+l_{2}+I) \\ &= \gamma^{*}(x)\oplus\gamma^{*}(I), \ for \ some \ x \in \gamma^{*}(l_{1}+l_{2}+I) \\ &= \gamma^{*}(l_{1}+l_{2})\oplus\gamma^{*}(I) \\ &= (\gamma^{*}(l_{1})\oplus\gamma^{*}(l_{2}))\oplus\gamma^{*}(I) \\ &= (\gamma^{*}(l_{1})\oplus\gamma^{*}(I))\oplus(\gamma^{*}(l_{2})\oplus\gamma^{*}(I)) \\ &= \theta(\gamma^{*}(l_{1}+I))\oplus\theta(\gamma^{*}(l_{2}+I)). \end{split}$$

And so

$$\begin{split} \theta(\gamma_I^*(r+I)\otimes\gamma_I^*(l_1+I)) &= \theta(\gamma_I^*((r+I)\times(l_1+I))) \\ &= \theta(\gamma_I^*(\gamma^*(r\cdot l_1+I)+I)) \\ &= \theta(\gamma_I^*(x+I)), \ where \ x \in \gamma^*(r\cdot l_1+I) \\ &= \gamma^*(x)\oplus\gamma^*(I), \ for \ some \ x \in \gamma^*(r\cdot l_1+I) \\ &= \gamma^*(r\cdot l_1)\oplus\gamma^*(I) \\ &= \gamma^*(r)\odot\gamma^*(l_1)\oplus\gamma^*(I) \\ &= (\gamma^*(r)\oplus\gamma^*(I))\otimes(\gamma^*(l_1)\oplus\gamma^*(I)) \\ &= \theta(\gamma_I^*(r+I))\otimes\theta(\gamma_I^*(l_1+I)). \end{split}$$

87

**Corollary 4.7.** Let I and J are  $H_v$ -ideals of an  $H_v$ -ring R and  $I \subseteq J$ , then

 $\begin{array}{ll} (\mathrm{i}) & \frac{R/I}{\gamma_{I}^{*}} \cong \frac{\gamma^{*}(R)}{\gamma^{*}(I)}, \\ (\mathrm{ii}) & \omega_{\frac{R}{I}} = \gamma^{*}(I) + I, \\ (\mathrm{iii}) & \omega_{\frac{R}{\omega \mu}} = \omega_{R}. \end{array}$ 

*Proof.* (i) is immediate corollary of Theorem 4.6. (ii) Consider the isomorphism  $\theta : \frac{R/I}{\gamma_I^*} \longrightarrow \frac{\gamma^*(R)}{\gamma^*(I)}$  similar to Theorem 4.6 (ii), then by (i)

$$\omega_{R/I} = \{r+I \mid \theta(\gamma_I^*(r+I)) = \gamma^*(I)\} \\ = \{r+I \mid \gamma^*(r) \oplus \gamma^*(I) = \gamma^*(I)\} \\ = \gamma^*(I) + I.$$

(iii) By using the proof of (ii) we have  $\omega_{\frac{R}{\omega_R}} = \gamma^*(\omega_R) + \omega_R = \omega_R + \omega_R = \omega_R$ .  $\Box$ 

**Proposition 4.8.** Let M be a maximal  $H_v$ -ideal of an s- $H_v$ -ring R then  $\gamma^*(M)$  is a maximal ideal of  $R/\gamma^*$ .

Proof. We prove that  $\gamma^*(M) \oplus R/\gamma^* \otimes X = R/\gamma^*$  for every  $X \in R/\gamma^* - \gamma^*(M)$ . Suppose for some  $x \in R$ ,  $\gamma^*(x) = X \in R/\gamma^* - \gamma^*(M)$ , so  $x \notin M$ . But  $\gamma^*(M + R \cdot x) = \gamma^*(M) \oplus R/\gamma^* \otimes \gamma^*(x)$  is an ideal of  $R/\gamma^*$  and  $M + R \cdot x$  is a w-ideal of R so  $M + R \cdot x$  is an  $H_v$ -ideal of R. Therefore,  $M + R \cdot x = R$  and  $\gamma^*(M) + R/\gamma^* \otimes X = \gamma^*(R)$ .

**Theorem 4.9.** (First homomorphism theorem) Let  $f : R \longrightarrow S$  be a strong homomorphism of  $H_v$ -rings and  $I = \ker f$ , then  $\varphi : R/I \longrightarrow S/\omega_S$  where  $\varphi(r+I) = f(r) + \omega_S$  is an  $H_v$ -homomorphism of  $H_v$ -rings.

Proof. For  $r_1 + I$ ,  $r_2 + I \in R/I$ ;

$$r_1 + I = r_2 + I \quad \Rightarrow \quad f(r_1) + f(I) + \omega_S = f(r_2) + f(I) + \omega_S$$
$$\Rightarrow \quad f(r_1) + \omega_S = f(r_2) + \omega_S, \text{ since } f(I) \subseteq \omega_S.$$

So  $\varphi$  is well defined.

For  $t_0 \in r_1 + r_2$  we have:

$$f(t_0) \in f(r_1 + r_2) \subseteq \gamma^*(f(r_1 + r_2)) \oplus \omega_S = \gamma^*(f(r_1 + r_2) + \omega_S),$$
(3)

$$t_0 \in \gamma^*(t_0) \in \gamma^*(t_0) \oplus \gamma^*(I) = \gamma^*(r_1 + r_2) \oplus \gamma^*(I) = \gamma^*(r_1 + r_2 + I).$$
(4)

Also

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi((r_1 + I) + (r_2 + I)) &= \varphi(\gamma^*(r_1 + r_2 + I) + I) \\ &= \{f(t) + \omega_S | t \in \gamma^*(r_1 + r_2 + I)\}, \\ \varphi(r_1 + I) + \varphi(r_2 + I) &= (f(r_1) + \omega_S) + (f(r_2) + \omega_S) \\ &= \gamma^*((f(r_1) + f(r_2) + \omega_S) + \omega_S) \\ &= \gamma^*(f(r_1 + r_2) + \omega_S) + \omega_S \\ &= \{s + \omega_S | s \in \gamma^*(f(r_1 + r_2) + \omega_S)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then by (3) and (4), for  $t_0 \in r_1 + r_2$ ,

$$f(t_0) + \omega_S \in \varphi((r_1 + I) + (r_2 + I)) \cap \big(\varphi(r_1 + I) + \varphi(r_2 + I)\big),$$

For  $u_0 \in r_1 \cdot r_2$ , we have

$$f(u_0) \in f(r_1 \cdot r_2) \subseteq \gamma^*(f(r_1 \cdot r_2)) \oplus \omega_S = \gamma^*(f(r_1 \cdot r_2) + \omega_S), \tag{5}$$

$$u_0 \in \gamma^*(u_0) \in \gamma^*(u_0) \oplus \gamma^*(I) = \gamma^*(r_1 \cdot r_2) \oplus \gamma^*(I) = \gamma^*(r_1 \cdot r_2 + I).$$
(6)

$$\varphi((r_1+I)\cdot(r_2+I)) = \varphi(\gamma^*(r_1\cdot r_2+I)+I)$$
  
= {f(t) + \omega\_S | t \in \gamma^\*(r\_1 \cdot r\_2+I)},

$$\varphi(r_1 + I) \cdot \varphi(r_2 + I) = \{s + \omega_S \mid s \in \gamma^*(f(r_1 \cdot r_2) + \omega_S)\}.$$

Therefore, by (5) and (6),  $f(u_0) + \omega_S \in \varphi((r_1 + I) \cdot (r_2 + I)) \cap (\varphi(r_1 + I) \cdot \varphi(r_2 + I))$ , and  $\varphi$  is an  $H_v$ -homomorphism of  $H_v$ -rings.

### REFERENCES

- M. R. Darafsheh, B. Davvaz, H<sub>v</sub>-ring of Fractions, Italian J. Pure Appl. Math. 5 (1999), 25-34.
- [2] B. Davvaz, Remark on Weak Hypermodules, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. 36 (1999), no. 3, 599-608.
- [3] B. Davvaz and M. Ghadiri, Weak equality and exact sequences in H<sub>v</sub>-modules, Southeast Asian Bull. Math. 25 (2001), no. 3, 403-411.
- [4] M. Ghadiri and B. Davvaz, Direct System and Direct Limit of H<sub>v</sub>-modules, Iran. J. Sci. Technol. Trans. A Sci. 28 (2004), no. 2, 267–275.
- [5] M. Ghadiri and B. N. Waphare, *n-ary P-H<sub>v</sub>-Groups*, J. Appl. Math. & Informatics **26** (2008), no. 5-6, 445-959.
- [6] M. Ghadiri, B. N. Waphare and B. Davvaz, Quotient n-ary P-H<sub>v</sub>-ring, Carpathian J. Math. 28 (2012), no. 2, 239-246.
- [7] F. Marty, Sur une generalization de la notion de groupe, 8th Congress Math. Scandinaves, Stockholm. (1934), 45-49.
- [8] S. Spartalis, On the number of H<sub>v</sub>-rings with P-hyperoperations, Discrete Math. 155 (1996), no. 1-3, 225-231.
- [9] S. Spartalis and T. Vougiouklis, The Fundamental Relations in H<sub>v</sub>-rings, Rivista Math. Pura ppl. 14 (1994) 7-20.

88

- [10] T. Vougiouklis, The Fundamental Relations in Hyperrings, The General Hyperfield, Proc. Fourth Int. Congress on Algebraic Hyperstructures and Applications (AHA 1990), Scientific (1991) 203-211.
- [11] T. Vougiouklis, Convolutions on WASS hyperstructures, Discrete Math. 174 (1997), 347-355.
- [12] T. Vougiouklis, Hyperstructures and Their Representations, Hadronic Press Inc., Florida, 1994.
- [13] T. Vougiouklis, On H<sub>v</sub>-rings and H<sub>v</sub>-representations, Discrete Math. 208-209 (1999) 615-620.