SOME PROPERTIES OF MULTIPLICATION MODULES

H. A. TAVALLAEE¹ and R. Mahtabi²

¹Department of Mathematics, Tehran-North Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran tavallaee@iust.ac.ir ²Faculty of sciences, University of Garmsar, P.O.Box 318000062, Garmsar, Iran r.mahtabi@gmail.com

Abstract. Let M be an R-module. The module M is called multiplication if for any submodule N of M we have N = IM, where I is an ideal of R. In this paper we state some basic properties of submodules of these modules. Also, we study the relationship between the submodules of a multiplication R-module M and ideals of ring R. Finally, by definition of semiprime submodule, we state some properties of radical submodules of multiplication modules.

 $Key\ words\ and\ Phrases:$ Multiplication module, prime submodule, primary submodule.

Abstrak. Diberikan ring R dan diketahui M adalah R-modul. Modul M disebut modul multiplikasi jika untuk setiap submodul N di M memenuhi sifat N = IM, untuk suatu ideal I di R. Dalam paper ini diberikan beberapa sifat dasar submodul submodul dalam modul multiplikasi. Selain itu diberikan juga hubungan antara submodul di dalam modul multiplikasi M atas R dan ideal-ideal di dalam ring R. Dengan menggunakan definisi submodul semiprima, dihasilkan juga beberapa sifat submodule radikal dalam modul multiplikasi.

Kata kunci: Modul multiplikasi, submodul prima, submodul utama.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper all rings are commutative with identity and all modules over rings are unitary. Let K and N be submodules of an R-module M, we recall that $(N:_R K) = (N:K) = \{r \in R \mid rK \subseteq N\}$, which is an ideal of R. Let N be a proper submodule of an R-module M, then N is called a prime submodule of M,

47

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification: 13E05, 13E10, 13C99.

Received: 2 Aug 2016, revised: 11 June 2017, accepted: 11 June 2017.

if for every $r \in R$, $x \in M$; $rx \in N$ we have $x \in N$ or $r \in (N : M)$. In such a case p = (N : M) is a prime ideal of R and N is said to be p-prime. The set of all prime submodules of M is denoted by Spec(M) and for a submodule N of M, $rad(N) = \bigcap_{L \in Spec(M), N \subseteq L} L$. If no prime submodule of M contains N, we write rad(N) = M. Also the set of all maximal submodules of M is denoted by Max(M) and $RadM = \bigcap_{P \in Max(M)} P$. Also we recall that if I is an ideal of a ring R, then radical of I, i.e., r(I) is defined as $\{r \in R \mid \exists k \in \mathbb{N} ; r^k \in I\}$. Now, let \underline{a} be an ideal of a ring R and $\underline{a} = \bigcap_{i=1}^{l} q_i$, where $r(q_i) = p_i$ is a normal primary decomposition of \underline{a} , then $ass(\underline{a}) = \{p_1, ..., p_l\}$.

An *R*-module *M* is called a multiplication module if for every submodule *N* of *M* there exists an ideal *I* of *R* such that N = IM. It can be shown that N = (N : M)M.

2. Definitions and Results

Lemma 2.1. Let R be a non-trivial ring and let M be a multiplication R-module. Then $IM \neq M$ for any proper ideal I of R.

PROOF. Let I be an arbitrary proper ideal of R, then there exists a maximal ideal \underline{m} of R such that $I \subseteq \underline{m}$. We remind that $R_{\underline{m}} = S^{-1}R$ and $M_{\underline{m}} = S^{-1}M$ are quotient ring of R and quotient module respectively where $S = R - \underline{m}$.

We show that $\underline{m}M \neq M$. By [1, Lemma 2(i)], $M_{\underline{m}}$ is a multiplication $R_{\underline{m}}$ -module and also by [3, Theorem 2.5], $(\underline{m}R_{\underline{m}})M_{\underline{m}}$ is the only maximal submodule of $M_{\underline{m}}$. Thus $(\underline{m}R_{\underline{m}})M_{\underline{m}} = (\underline{m}M)_{\underline{m}} \neq M_{\underline{m}}$ and hence $((\underline{m}M)_{\underline{m}} : M_{\underline{m}}) = \underline{m}R_{\underline{m}}$. Therefore $\underline{m}M \neq M$.

Now since $IM \subseteq \underline{m}M \neq M$, therefore $IM \neq M$ for every proper ideal I of R.

Lemma 2.2. Let M be a multiplication R-module, then $pM \in Spec(M)$ and (pM : M) = p for every $(0) \neq p \in Spec(R)$.

PROOF. Let M be a multiplication R-module and let $(0) \neq p \in Spec(R)$ be arbitrary. By Lemma 2.1, $pM \neq M$. We show that (pM : M) = p. Let $r \in (pM : M)$ be arbitrary then $rM \subseteq pM$ and hence we have:

$$(rM)_p \subseteq (pM)_p \Longrightarrow \frac{r}{1}M_p \subseteq (pM)_p \Longrightarrow \frac{r}{1} \in ((pM)_p : M_p).$$

But by [1, Lemma 2(i)], M_p is a multiplication R_p -module and by [3, Theorem 2.5], $(pM)_p$ is the only maximal submodule of M_p and so $((pM)_p : M_p) = pR_p$. Thus $r \in p$ and hence (pM : M) = p.

Now by [2, Corollary 2], pM is a primary submodule of M and also by [5, Proposition 1], $pM \in Spec(M)$. The proof is now completed.

Corollary 2.3. Let R be an arbitrary ring and let M be a multiplication R-module. Then there exists a bijection between non-zero prime ideals of R and non-zero prime submodules of M.

PROOF. We show that for every $N \in Spec(M)$, N = pM where $p \in Spec(R)$. First, we show that, if M is a multiplication R-module and N is a submodule of M, then N = (N : M)M. Since M is a multiplication R-module, hence there exists an ideal I of R such that N = IM. From this, we have $I \subseteq (N : M)$, and $N = IM \subseteq (N : M)M \subseteq N$, hence N = (N : M)M. Second, let $N \in Spec(M)$. Since M is a multiplication R-module, N = (N : M)M and by [5, Proposition 1], $(N : M) \in Spec(R)$.

Now we define $\psi : Spec(M) \longrightarrow Spec(R)$ by $\psi(pM) = (pM : M)$ for any non-zero prime ideal p of R. Clearly ψ is well defined and by Lemma 2.2, ψ is a bijection.

Proposition 2.4. Let M be a faithful multiplication R-module. Then every proper direct summand of M is prime. Hence M is indecomposable.

PROOF. By [3, Lemma 4.1], M is torsion-free and by [5, Result 1], every direct summand of M is a prime submodule.

Now we show that M is indecomposable. If $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$ where $M_1, M_2 \neq 0$ then by the current form of the proposition, M_1 is a p-prime for some ideal p of R. Thus $M_1 = pM = pM_1 \oplus pM_2$. Hence $pM_2 = 0$. Since M is torsion-free and $M_2 \neq 0$, we have p = (0) and hence $M_1 = 0$, a contradiction.

Proposition 2.5. Let M be a multiplication R-module. Then for every submodule IM of M, if $IM \subseteq pM$ where $p \in Spec(R)$, then $I \subseteq p$.

PROOF. Let $IM \subseteq pM$ for $I \trianglelefteq R$ and $p \in Spec(R)$. Since $I \subseteq (IM : M) \subseteq (pM : M)$, then by Lemma 2.2, $I \subseteq p$.

Corollary 2.6. If M is a faithful multiplication R-module then M is finitely generated.

PROOF. Since M is a multiplication R-module, hence by Lemma 2.1, $M \neq IM$ for every proper ideal of I of R. Now, since M is a faithful multiplication R-module, then by [3, Theorem 3.1 part (i), (iv)], M is finitely generated.

Corollary 2.7. Let M be a faithful multiplication R-module. Then for every ideal I of R, (IM : M) = I.

PROOF. Let M is a faithful multiplication R-module, then by Corollary 2.6, M is a finitely generated R-module. Now, let (IM : M) = q where I and q be ideals of R. Since (IM : M) = q, $qM \subseteq IM$ and by [3, Theorem 3.1 part (ii)], $q \subseteq I$. Now, since $I \subseteq (IM : M) = q$, therefore I = (IM : M).

Lemma 2.8. If M is a faithful multiplication R-module. Then there exists a bijection between ideals of R and submodules of M.

PROOF. Since M is a multiplication R-module, hence for every submodule N of M there exists an ideal I of R such that N = IM and by Corollary 2.7, (N : M) =

(IM:M) = I. Now we define $\psi: M \longrightarrow R$ by $\psi(IM) = (IM:M)$ for any ideal I of R. Obviously ψ is well defined and also ψ is an epimorphism. Now let N_1, N_2 be submodules of M, then there exist $I_1, I_2 \leq R$ such that $N_1 = I_1M$ and $N_2 = I_2M$. If $\psi(N_1) = \psi(N_2)$ then $(I_1M:M) = (I_2M:M)$ and by Corollary 2.7, $I_1 = I_2$. Therefore ψ is a bijection.

Corollary 2.9. Let M be a Noetherian multiplication R-module. Then R satisfies the ascending chain condition on prime ideals.

PROOF. Let $p_1 \subseteq p_2 \subseteq p_3 \subseteq ...$ be an ascending chain of prime ideals of R. Then $p_1M \subseteq p_2M \subseteq p_3M \subseteq ...$ But, M is a Noetherian R-module, hence there exists submodule (by [3, Theorem 2.5 part (i)], specially a maximal submodule) N of M such that $p_1M \subseteq p_2M \subseteq p_3M \subseteq ... \subseteq N$. But M is a multiplication R-module, hence by [3, Theorem 2.5 part (ii)], there exists a maximal ideal \underline{m} of R such that $N = \underline{m}M$. So we have $p_1M \subseteq p_2M \subseteq p_3M \subseteq ... \subseteq \underline{m}M$ and hence $(p_1M:M) \subseteq (p_2M:M) \subseteq (p_3M:M) \subseteq ... \subseteq (\underline{m}M:M)$. Now by Lemma 2.2, $p_1 \subseteq p_2 \subseteq p_3 \subseteq ... \subseteq \underline{m}$. The proof is now completed.

Corollary 2.10. Let R be an arbitrary ring and let M be a multiplication Rmodule. Then $Ann_R(M) \subseteq p$ for each $(0) \neq p \in Spec(R)$.

PROOF. By the Lemma 2.2, $pM \in Spec(M)$ for every $(0) \neq p \in Spec(R)$. Therefore by [3, Corollary 2.11 part (i), (iii)], $Ann_R(M) \subseteq p$.

We recall that in the following lemma J(R) and \underline{n}_R denote the Jacobson radical and nilradical of R, respectively.

Lemma 2.11. Let R be a ring and M a multiplication R-module. Then $\bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (p_{\lambda}M) = (\bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} p_{\lambda})M$ for any non-empty collection of non-zero prime ideals p_{λ} ($\lambda \in \Lambda$) of R. Also if R is a ring which is not an integral domain then $\bigcap_{0 \neq P \in Spec(M)} P = \underline{n}_R M$ and RadM = J(R)M.

PROOF. Let M be a multiplication R-module and let p_{λ} ($\lambda \in \Lambda$) be any non-empty collection of non-zero prime ideals of R. By [3, Corollary 1.7], $\bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (p_{\lambda}M) = (\bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} [p_{\lambda} + Ann_{R}(M)])M$. But by Corollary 2.10, $\bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (p_{\lambda}M) = (\bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} p_{\lambda})M$. By Lemma 2.2, $\bigcap_{0 \neq P \in Spec(M)} P = \bigcap_{(0) \neq P \in Spec(R)} (pM)$ and also by above we have $\bigcap_{(0) \neq P \in Spec(R)} (pM) = \underline{n}_{R}M$. So $\bigcap_{0 \neq P \in Spec(M)} P = \underline{n}_{R}M$. Also by Lemma 2.2, $RadM = \bigcap_{\underline{m} \in Max(R)} (\underline{m}M)$ and by above $\bigcap_{\underline{m} \in Max(R)} \underline{m}M = J(R)M$. Hence RadM = J(R)M.

Lemma 2.12. Let R be a ring and M a multiplication R-module. Let IM be an arbitrary non-zero proper submodule of M for some ideal I of R. Then rad(IM) = (radI)M and (rad(IM) : M) = radI, where radI = r(I).

PROOF. It is easy to show that $rad(IM) = \bigcap_{p \in v(I)} (pM)$ (we recall that $v(I) = \{p \in Spec(R) | I \subseteq p\}$). By Lemma 2.11, rad(IM) = (radI)M and consequently (rad(IM) : M) = radI.

We recall the following definition from [6].

Definition 2.13. A proper submodule N of an R-module M is said to be semiprime in M, if for every ideal I of R and every submodule K of M, $I^2K \subseteq N$ implies that $IK \subseteq N$. Note that since the ring R is an R-module by itself, a proper ideal I of R is semiprime if for every ideals J and K of R, $J^2K \subseteq I$ implies that $JK \subseteq I$.

Definition 2.14. There exists another definition of semiprime submodules in [4] as follows:

A proper submodule N of the R-module M is semiprime if whenever $r^k m \in N$ for some $r \in R$, $m \in M$ and positive integer k, then $rm \in N$.

By [7, Remark 2.6], we see that this definition is equivalent to Definition 2.13.

Definition 2.15. Let M be an R-module and $N \leq M$. The envelope of the submodule N is denoted by $E_M(N)$ or simply by E(N) and is defined as $E(N) = \{x \in M \mid \exists r \in R, a \in M; x = ra \text{ and } r^n a \in N \text{ for some positive integer } n\}.$

The envelope of a submodule is not a submodule in general.

Let M be an R-module and $N \leq M$. If there exists a semiprime submodule of M which contains N, then the intersection of all semiprime submodules containing N is called *semi-radical* of N and is denoted by $S - rad_M N$, or simply S - radN. If there is no semiprime submodule containing N, then we define S - radN = M, in particular S - radM = M.

We say that M satisfies the radical formula, or M (s.t.r.f) if for every $N \leq M$, rad $N = \langle E(N) \rangle$. Also we say that M satisfies the semi-radical formula, or M(s.t.s.r.f) if for every $N \leq M$, $S - radN = \langle E(N) \rangle$. Now let $x \in E(N)$ and P be a semiprime submodule of M containing N. Then x = ra for some $r \in R$, $a \in M$ and for some positive integer n, $r^n a \in N$. But $r^n a \in P$ and since P is semiprime we have $ra \in P$. Hence $E(N) \subseteq P$. We see that $E(N) \subseteq \bigcap P$ (P is a semiprime submodule containing N). So $\langle E(N) \rangle \subseteq S - radN$. On the other hand, since every prime submodule of M is clearly semiprime, we have $S - radN \subseteq radN$. We conclude that $\langle E(N) \rangle \subseteq S - radN \subseteq radN$ and as a result if M (s.t.r.f) then it is also (s.t.s.r.f).

Lemma 2.16. Let R be a ring and let M be a multiplication R-module. Then every proper submodule of M is a radical submodule, i.e., radN = N.

PROOF. By [3, Theorem 2.12], radN = rad(N : M)M. But $rad(N : M)M \subseteq \langle E(N) \rangle \subseteq radN$, hence M (s.t.r.f) and so (s.t.s.r.f). Then $\langle E(N) \rangle = S - radN = radN$ for every proper submodule N of M. But by [6, Proposition 4.1], S - radN = N and therefore radN = N.

Corollary 2.17. Let R and M and IM be as in Lemma 2.12. Then IM = (radI)M.

PROOF. Let M be a multiplication R-module and IM be an arbitrary non-zero proper submodule of M for some ideal I of R. By Lemma 2.12, rad(IM) = (radI)M and by Lemma 2.16, rad(IM) = IM. Therefore IM = (radI)M.

Theorem 2.18. Let R be a ring and let M be a multiplication R-module. Then N is a primary submodule of M if and only if it is a prime submodule of M.

PROOF. \Leftarrow . It is clear.

 \implies . Let *M* be a multiplication *R*-module and let *N* be an arbitrary primary submodule of *M*. Then by [2, Corollary 2], there exists a primary ideal q (radq = p) of *R* such that N = qM.

But by Lemma 2.16 and Corollary 2.17, qM = (radq)M = pM. Therefore the proof is now completed.

Corollary 2.19. Let R be a ring which satisfies ascending chain condition on semiprime ideals and let M be a multiplication R-module. Then M is a Noetherian R-module.

PROOF. Let M be a multiplication R-module. Then M (s.t.r.f) and hence (s.t.s.r.f). Thus by [6, Proposition 4.1], every proper submodule of M is a semiprime submodule of M. Now, let $I_1M \subseteq I_2M \subseteq I_3M \subseteq ...$ where I_i are ideals of R be ascending chain of submodules of M. Then $(I_1M:M) \subseteq (I_2M:M) \subseteq (I_3M:M) \subseteq ...$ But by [6, Proposition 2.3(ii)], (N:M) is a semiprime ideal of R for any semiprime submodule N of M, hence by assumption there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $(I_nM:M) = (I_{n+k}M:M)$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$. But then $(I_nM:M)M = (I_{n+k}M:M)M$ and so $I_nM = I_{n+k}M$. Therefore M is a Noetherian R-module.

It should be noted that the above results (Lemma 2.16, Corollary 2.17, Theorem 2.18, Corollary 2.19) they are not necessarily true if M = R, the ring itself. Because according to [6, Theorem 4.4], R (s.t.s.r.f) if we have one of the following. (i) For every free R-module F, F (s.t.s.r.f). (ii) For every faithful R-module B, B (s.t.s.r.f).

Acknowledgement. The authors would like to thank the referee for his/her careful reading and very useful comments and suggestions which improved the final version of this paper.

References

[1] Barnard, A., "Multiplication modules", J. Algebra, 71:1(1981), 174–178.

52

- [2] Ebrahimi Atani, S., and Callialp, F., and Tekir, Ü., "A short note on the primary submodules of multiplication modules", Int. J. Algebra,1:8(2007), 381–384.
- [3] EL-Bast, Z.A., and Smith, P.F., "Multiplication modules", Comm. Algebra, 16:4(1988), 755-779.
- [4] Jenkins, J., and Smith, P.F., "On the prime radical of module over a commutative ring", Comm. Algebra, 20:12 (1992), 3593–3602.
- [5] Lu, C.P., "Prime submodules of modules", Comment. Math. Univ. Sancti Pauli, 33:1(1984), 61-96.
- [6] Tavallaee, H.A., "Modules satisfying the semi-radical formula", Hadronic Journal, 32(2009), 407–424.
- [7] Tavallaee, H.A. and Ghalandarzadeh, S., "Semiprime submodules and envelope of modules", *IUST-International Journal of Engineering Sciences*, 14:4(2003), 131–142.