J. Indones. Math. Soc. Vol. 21, No. 1 (2015), pp. 71–72.

## CORRIGENDUM TO NEW INEQUALITIES ON HOMOGENEOUS FUNCTIONS, J. INDONES. MATH. SOC. 15 (2009), NO. 1, 49-59

V. Lokesha<sup>1</sup>, K.M. Nagaraja<sup>2</sup>, and Y. Simsek <sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics, Acharya Institute of Technology, Soldevana- halli, Bangolore-90, India lokiv@yahoo.com

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Sri Krishna Institute of Technology, Chikkabanavara, Hesaraghata Main Road, Karnataka, Bangolore-90, India 2406@yahoo.co.in <sup>3</sup> University of Akdeniz, Faculty of Arts and Science, Department of Mathematics, 07058, Antalya, Turkey

ysimsek@akdeniz.edu.tr

The paper contains typing errors.

**Theorem 2** Let  $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in (-2, \infty), r < 1$ . If  $\mu_1 \le \frac{4}{1-r} \le \mu_2$ , then

$$m_{\mu_2,r}(a,b) \le L(a,b) \le Gn_{\mu_1,r}(a,b).$$
 (12)

Furthermore  $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = \frac{4}{1-r}$  is the best possibility for inequality (12). Also for r = 0,

$$gn_{\mu_2,0}(a,b) \le L(a,b) \le Gn_{\mu_1,0}(a,b).$$
 (13)

Furthermore  $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = 4$  is the best possibility for inequality (13).

**Theorem 3** For  $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in (-2, \infty), r \neq \frac{2}{3}, r < 1$  and if  $\mu_1 \leq \frac{2}{2-3r} \leq \mu_2$ , then

$$n_{\mu_2,r}(a,b) \le I(a,b) \le Gn_{\mu_1,r}(a,b).$$
 (15)

Furthermore  $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = \frac{2}{2-3r}$  is the best possibility for inequality (15). Also for r = 0,

$$gn_{\mu_2,0}(a,b) \le I(a,b) \le Gn_{\mu_1,0}(a,b).$$
(16)

Furthermore  $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = 1$  is the best possibility for inequality (16).

**Theorem 4** For 
$$\mu_1, \mu_2 \in (-2, \infty), r \neq 0$$
 and if  $\mu_2 \leq \frac{2}{r} - 2 \leq \mu_1$ , then  
 $gn_{\mu_2,0}(a,b) \leq M_r(a,b) \leq Gn_{\mu_1,0}(a,b).$  (17)

Furthermore  $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = \frac{2}{r} - 2$  is the best possibility for inequality (17)

71

The above Theorems should be corrected to as follows:

**Theorem 2** For  $r \neq \frac{1}{3}$  and  $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in (-2, \infty)$  such that  $\mu_1 \leq \frac{4}{1-3r} \leq \mu_2$ , then  $gn_{\mu_2,r}(a,b) \leq L(a,b) \leq Gn_{\mu_1,r}(a,b).$  (12)

Furthermore  $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = \frac{4}{1-3r}$  is the best possibility for inequality (12). Also for r = 0,

$$gn_{\mu_2,0}(a,b) \le L(a,b) \le Gn_{\mu_1,0}(a,b).$$
 (13)

Furthermore  $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = 4$  is the best possibility for inequality (13).

**Theorem 3** For  $r \neq \frac{2}{3}$  and  $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in (-2, \infty)$  such that  $\mu_1 \leq \frac{2}{2-3r} \leq \mu_2$ , then  $gn_{\mu_2,r}(a,b) \leq I(a,b) \leq Gn_{\mu_1,r}(a,b).$  (15)

Furthermore 
$$\mu_1 = \mu_2 = \frac{2}{2-3r}$$
 is the best possibility for inequality (15). Also for

$$gn_{\mu_2,0}(a,b) \le I(a,b) \le Gn_{\mu_1,0}(a,b).$$
 (16)

Furthermore  $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = 1$  is the best possibility for inequality (16).

**Theorem 4** For 
$$r \neq 1$$
 and  $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in (-2, \infty)$  such that  $\mu_2 \leq \frac{r}{1-r} \leq \mu_1$ , then  
 $gn_{\mu_2,0}(a,b) \leq M_r(a,b) \leq Gn_{\mu_1,0}(a,b).$  (17)

Furthermore  $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = \frac{r}{1-r}$  is the best possibility for inequality (17).

**Remark**. Carlson [1] and Lin [2] gave some inequalities on mean and logarithmic mean.

## References

[1] Carlson, B.C., "The logarithmic mean", Amer. Math. Monthly, 79 (1972), 615-618.

[2] Lin, T.P., "Mean and logarithmic mean", Amer. Math. Monthly, 81 (1974), 879-883.

r = 0,